law," because "good patient care is lawful and right." From that lofty preface the author then lays out her theories of law and ethics and explains in compact, tightly-written prose the general concepts of the law.

This book is a good one for clinicians who want a "to the point" reference on law and ethics, but it is not as comprehensive as some other texts on this topic, and some of the more difficult areas of the law are oversimplified.

Ms Hall tells readers that the core principle of law and ethics is "do unto others as you would have them do unto you." This recodification of the "golden rule" is referenced countless times in the book as the "dountoothers" (do-unto-others) principle. As an author and lecturer, I have frequently given the same advice as Ms Hall, which, distilled to its essence, is: "people don't sue people they like." Though her explication of the ethical principles is sound and makes for good reading, it is but one example of oversimplification of legal issues in this text.

Moreover, not all the principles in the book are well explained. In one section Ms Hall writes that "more insurance means more lawsuits." Her explanation of this is convoluted and difficult to follow. It also does not accurately state reality. Having liability insurance doesn't make a person any more prone to lawsuits than having health insurance makes a person prone to pneumonia. Yet these few minor imperfections in a book that was obviously a labor of love should not cause a clinician to pass it by.

Key concepts in the book are well explained. Issues of strict liability and federal laws relating to health care are adequately detailed. Of course, the problem with any such book is that new cases and new statutes are created almost daily, and the laws differ radically from state to state. For this reason, if for no other, most clinicians won't simply lay down their legal texts, nor will they stop consulting with legal counsel. But a smart clinician, armed with this book, could adequately inform herself on a wide variety of issues, and use the book to determine when either to do additional research or to seek professional legal counsel

Though much of my critical review of this book focuses on the legal insights it offers, the chief value of this book may lie more in its ethical insights for the busy clinician. Ethical values are difficult to codify and even more difficult to discuss, yet this author does a fine job of bringing into focus subjects such as the physician-patient rela-

tionship and the ethics of clinician education. In a chapter titled "Be Free" the author explores the subjects of autonomy, cognitive theory, egoism, and existentialism in concise form. Her writing on these complex issues demonstrates that she understands clinicians and their needs.

No book on ethics and law can be all things to all people, but this one is a fine attempt, and one can only hope that more editions will be forthcoming.

Anthony L DeWitt JD RRT FAARC Bartimus, Frickleton, Robertson & Obetz Jefferson City, Missouri

Writing and Defending Your Expert Report: The Step-by-Step Guide with Models. Steven Babitsky Esq and James J Mangraviti Jr Esq. Falmouth, Massachusetts: Seak. 2002. Hard cover, 404 pages, \$99.95.

This is an outstanding book. For anyone who is now or is even contemplating preparing and writing expert reports, this is required reading. I have been preparing expert reports for nearly 30 years, yet I learned much from reading and rereading this valuable reference work, which pointed out many errors that I have, unfortunately, been making over the years. It also reinforced many of the appropriate things I do but that I learned in the school of hard knocks. Fortunately, not all lawyers are as malevolent and devious as those featured in the sample cross-examinations in this book, but you can never predict when you might come up against one of those attorneys. Following the guidelines in this book will spare you some potentially very uncomfortable sessions in deposition or in the witness chair.

Writing and Defending Your Expert Report is well organized, comprising 16 chapters and 2 appendixes. The Chapter 1 introduction is especially well written, providing easy-to-understand basics of preparing an expert report. Chapters 2 and 3 provide an extensive legal background about expert reports, with many case law citations. Although primarily meant more for attorneys, these chapters also provide a useful background that allows the expert to understand the basis for many of the book's recommendations. Chapters 4-8 provide the basic how-to-do-it of writing an expert report. Chapters 9-11 provide many suggestions for reinforcing the credibility and reliability of your reports and opinions. Chapters 13-16 point out how one can avoid getting into difficulties while on the witness

stand and also help in dealing with an aggressive cross-examining attorney if, despite reading this book carefully, one still makes one or more of the many potential errors. Appendix A provides additional general advice from other authors and Messieurs Babitsky and Mangraviti. Appendix B provides 12 sample reports, along with focused critiques.

The authors emphatically succeed in their aim of preparing the reader to produce an expert report that cannot be effectively challenged by an opposition expert or attorney. It is clear that they have had great experience in reading and critiquing numerous expert reports. Their positions are clearly stated, logically sound, and supported by legal precedent. In a book of this nature there is room for differences of opinion and/or emphasis, but I found nothing that was inaccurate. Despite involving both legal and scientific technical concepts, this book is quite readable and understandable.

The cover of the book is business-like and conservative, but of good quality. The paper and type are also of good quality and quite readable. I found only a few typographical errors. There are no illustrations. The case law references are appropriate and current and the index is adequate.

Lawrence H Repsher MD

Occupational and Environmental
Lung Disease Center
Lutheran Medical Center
Wheat Ridge, Colorado

Evidence-Based Herbal Medicine. Michael Rotblatt MD PharmD and Irwin Ziment MD. Philadelphia: Hanley & Belfus. 2002. Soft cover, 464 pages, \$35.

Somewhere around 80% of the world's population uses herbal plant medicines because they have no access to or money for orthodox western therapies. Increasingly in the western world there has, perhaps for philosophical reasons, been a swing back towards herbal therapies. Despite industrialization, about 50% of our current therapies are derived from plants, and we are belatedly rediscovering a whole range of potential herbal therapies. The difficulty with this rediscovery is that there is very little evidence to support the use of many plant medicines in their proposed clinical indications.

Increasingly doctors are encountering patients who wish to take herbal alternatives or who are taking them in combination with orthodox medicines; it is for this reason that a reliable reference book has been overdue. This book is a timely attempt at providing an evidence-based approach to plant therapy in a subject overwhelmed by advertising hype, misinformation, and wishful thinking. It is intended primarily for use by prescribing doctors but will also be of interest to other medical professionals, particularly as nurse prescribing increases.

The book consists primarily (325 pages out of 460 total) of a series of plant monographs, which are extremely well researched, scientifically evaluated, and well presented. The book uses a symbol rating to indicate at a glance the evidence available, which is very convenient for busy doctors. This same symbol system was used by James Duke in his book The Green Pharmacy Herbal Handbook, a less scientific attempt at providing lay people with information about plant medicines. As is always the case, there is going to be some debate about which plants should be included in these monographs. There are some American plants included, such as pokeroot, that are unknown in Europe. Not listed are a number of commonly used plants from various parts of the world (eg, Tripterygium as a treatment for arthritis, artichoke for diabetes and hyperlipidemia, and pau d'arco, greater celandine, and mistletoe for cancer). Each section, however, is extremely well referenced and each section provides a simple, definitive con-

I would dispute some of the opinions rendered, such as that the benefits of cranberry are likely to be small, that the use of feverfew is still not established in migraine prophylaxis, and that the use of garlic for blood pressure and cholesterol is still controversial, but overall I think that very sound conclusions have been reached. It is also important that the book provides an assessment of safety for plants the efficacy of which has not yet have been proven. Lack of proof of efficacy is not the same as lack of efficacy, and the use of a plant could still be considered as long as safety is assured. The possibility of liver disease secondary to kava use has been raised, but from my personal experience, living in a kava-consuming society in the South Pacific, I think this problem must be rarely if at all related to consumption of kava.

Preceding the monographs there are short sections on plant chemistry, quality control, and herb/drug interactions. Quality control is very difficult to maintain unless a particular chemical (such as hypericin from St John's wort) is known to be the active ingredient and is used as a standard. Doctors need to be aware of the variability of content of some products as well as the problem of plant misidentification. Chemical content differs with different extraction processes, and there are problems with storage and shelf life, which makes clinical trials difficult and efficacy difficult to prove. The brief section on plant chemistry provides a superficial overview that contributes little to the book and would be better omitted. It is difficult to write about herb/drug interactions, because new interactions are discovered all the time. It might be better not to list known interactions, as they may falsely reassure medical practitioners that there is no risk of drug interaction when in fact an interaction has recently been discovered. It is also best to leave out the doses of herbal therapies because they are so variable and dependent on the method of manufacture.

The summary lists the plants the authors think suitable for medical prescription. Despite an evidence-based approach there will be some debate about these conclusions. I would question whether the case for the use of chaste tree for menopausal problems has been made definitively. I would also suggest that use of gingko for dementia and garlic for hypertension and hyperlipidemia has been substantiated and that they should be included in this list. Professor Edzard Ernst, who wrote the introduction to this book and provided many of the meta-analyses that form its evidence base, reached somewhat different conclusions in his publication, Herbal Medicine, A Concise Overview for Professionals. Perhaps for political reasons there is no mention of the cannabinoids (marijuana and its derivatives) as a treatment for vomiting and other medical conditions, although there has been extensive research and this is now a therapeutic option in some countries.

I found the sections on Chinese, Indian, and Mexican traditional therapies and the section on aroma therapy disappointing; because of their brevity they contributed little and were inappropriate in an evidence-based book. Each of these is a sizeable topic on its own, and there is even less research here than in European or North American therapies. The discussion on adulteration of plant medicine with orthodox therapies needs to be expanded; this seems to be particularly common with Indian and Chinese medicines and is under-recognized. A case could be made for a special section on the use of herbal therapy in pregnancy and childhood, as this is increasingly common and there is greater concern about potential complica-

This book is valuable as a reference but does not help the orthodox physician looking for herbal alternatives to treat clinical problems; a more clinically based presentation would have been useful. Unfortunately, the index does not contain any cross-referencing to clinical conditions, as is found in books such as *The Commission E Monographs* and *Physician's Desk Reference for Herbal Medicines*. This makes it of limited use to doctors unless they already know something about herbal therapy and which plant might be relevant to the condition they wish to treat.

"Herbal therapy," although it is the commonly used term, is perhaps not the correct term for the title of this book, as many types of plants are used. "Evidence-Based Phytotherapy" would be a more appropriate title. The book is a very well written, well presented, and very useful collection of monographs for medical reference. I think that it could have been more clinically based to provide a practical guide to plant therapy for practicing doctors. Such a "hands on" book for doctors is still awaited.

T Graham Pinn MBBS Dubai London Clinic Dubai, United Arab Emirates