Effect of Oral Hygiene and 0.12% Chlorhexidine Gluconate Oral Rinse in Preventing Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia After Cardiovascular Surgery

Liliana Noemí Nicolosi MD PhD, Maria del Carmen Rubio MD PhD, Carlos Daniel Martinez MD, Nidia Noemí González DMD, and Marisa Edith Cruz DMD

BACKGROUND: Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is a nosocomial infection of multifactorial etiology and has a negative influence on cardiovascular surgery (CVS) outcomes. OBJECTIVES: Determine the effect of toothbrushing plus 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate oral rinse in preventing VAP after CVS. METHODS: In a quasi-experimental study, patients undergoing heart surgery were enrolled in a protocol for controlling dental biofilm by proper oral hygiene (toothbrushing) and oral rinses with 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate (Group 1), and they were compared with a historical control group (Group 2), which included patients who underwent cardiac surgery between 2009 and 2010 and who received regular oral hygiene care. Seventy-two hours before surgery, a dentist provided instruction and supervised oral hygiene with toothbrushing and chlorhexidine oral rinses to patients in Group 1. RESULTS: Each group comprised 150 patients. A lower incidence of VAP (2.7% [95% CI 0.7-7.8] vs 8.7% [95% CI 4.9-14.7], P = .04) and a shorter hospital stay (9 \pm 3 d [95% CI 8.5–9.5] vs 10 \pm 4 d [95% CI 9.4–10.7], P = .01) were observed in Group 1. No significant differences in all-cause in-hospital death were observed between groups (5.3% vs 4.7%, P > .99). The risk for developing pneumonia after surgery was 3-fold higher in Group 2 (3.9, 95% CI 1.1-14.2). CONCLUSIONS: Oral hygiene and mouth rinses with chlorhexidine under supervision of a dentist proved effective in reducing the incidence of VAP. Key words: ventilator-associated pneumonia; prevention; chlorhexidine; cardiovascular surgery. [Respir Care 2014;59(4):504–509. © 2014 Daedalus Enterprises]

Introduction

The risk of developing postsurgical infection is a threat to early clinical recovery after cardiovascular surgery (CVS). Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is a serious postoperative complication and has a high impact on hospital stay and health care costs.¹⁻³

VAP occurs in 9–27% of patients with endotracheal intubation, resulting in an 8-fold increase in the risk of death in patients undergoing CVS.^{1,4} It is therefore essen-

The authors are affiliated with the Department of Clinical and Oral Pathology, School of Dentistry, University of Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina. Drs Nicolosi, del Carmen Rubio, and Martinez are also affiliated with the Spanish Hospital of Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

Drs Nicolosi and del Carmen Rubio are co-first authors.

The authors have disclosed no conflicts of interest.

tial to further efforts to prevent VAP and to identify predisposing risk factors to control them.⁵

The patient's own flora is a primary source of microorganisms for the development of this pathology. Aspiration of microorganisms from the aerodigestive tract has been involved in the physiopathology of VAP and is the most important risk factor for colonization of the oropharynx.^{6,7}

Different strategies have been implemented to decrease the bacterial load by oral decontamination, including the use of local antiseptics. For example, chlorhexidine is employed because of its high level of antibacterial, antiviral, and antifun-

Correspondence: Liliana Nicolosi MD PhD, Cardiología, Hospital Español de Buenos Aires, Av. Belgrano 2975, C1209AAN Buenos Aires, Argentina. E-mail: cardiologia@hospitalespanolba.com.ar, mcrubio@live.com.ar.

DOI: 10.4187/respcare.02666

gal activities and high substantivity (ability to bind to oral tissues with subsequent slow release of antiseptic properties and therefore a long period of antibacterial action).^{8,9} Although generally safe, chlorhexidine is not free of adverse events.

Most studies on VAP have been conducted in ICUs and therefore with critically ill patients, so in addition to heterogeneity of the underlying pathology, the patients have other risk factors for VAP, including duration of endotracheal intubation and immunologic compromise. 10,11 There is less information on VAP prevention in patients undergoing elective cardiac surgery, and therefore, the efficacy of using only local antiseptics to decrease the incidence of VAP in these patients remains unclear. Contributing to the controversy are findings supporting the use of local antibiotics to decrease the oropharyngeal microbial load, the use of which results in higher risk of bacterial resistance, allergic reactions, and increased cost. 12,13

In the present study, we sought to contribute a strategy for oral decontamination in patients undergoing elective CVS, involving a protocol of oral hygiene and 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate oral rinses under the supervision of dental professionals, with the aim to determine the effect of employing the described protocol on the incidence of VAP after major heart surgery.

Methods

A quasi-experimental study was conducted in patients undergoing CVS at the Spanish Hospital of Buenos Aires in Argentina between January 2011 and December 2012. The patients were subjected to an oral decontamination protocol (Group 1) and compared with a historical control group (Group 2), which included patients who underwent CVS between January 2009 and December 2010 at the same hospital, with the same surgical team, ICU staff, and hospital infection control personnel during both periods of time. As part of the inclusion/exclusion surgery criteria, all patients were given intranasal 2% mupirocin ointment twice daily for 3 days before surgery. 14-17 A third-generation cephalosporin was administered 30 min before surgery and was continued for 24 h after surgery (the habitual oral hygiene), 18,19 and patients were not subjected to the dental plaque control and 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate oral rinse protocol.

Our inclusion criteria included patients scheduled for CVS requiring sternotomy. All patients in Group 1 signed an informed consent form. Our exclusion criteria included patients requiring emergency surgery, patients who died within the first 48 h after surgery, patients presenting infection before surgery, patients receiving antibiotic therapy during 30 days before surgery, patients receiving immunosuppressive therapy or who were hypersensitive to chlorhexidine gluconate, and totally edentulous patients.

QUICK LOOK

Current knowledge

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is an expensive complication following cardiovascular surgery (CVS) that portends a poor outcome. The impact of oral hygiene and toothbrushing on the incidence of VAP has met with mixed results.

What this paper contributes to our knowledge

Compared with a historical control, toothbrushing plus 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate oral rinse regimen reduced the incidence of VAP in patients following CVS compared with a standard antibiotic regimen.

This study was conducted in compliance with international standards of data protection and confidentiality, as stated in the Declarations of Helsinki and Tokyo and subsequent documents. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Spanish Hospital of Buenos Aires.

The logistic EuroSCORE (European System for Cardiac Operative Risk Evaluation) was calculated and used as a predictor of operative mortality.^{20,21}

Patients were evaluated by a team of calibrated dentists who determined oral health status and specific dental treatment needs before surgery. The patients were then enrolled in a protocol for oral decontamination, which consisted of instructing the patient on oral hygiene using the modified Bass technique. The latter consists of tipping the toothbrush at a 45° angle and brushing no more than 3 teeth at a time using gentle vibratory/circular movements for $\sim 10-15$ s, ensuring that each tooth is brushed on each surface. Hygiene was complemented with dental floss and interdental brushes, and partial dentures were cleaned as required. The patients rinsed their mouths with 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate every 12 h for 3 days. All patients underwent presurgery prophylaxis as described above.

The end point of the study was development of VAP; cases of VAP diagnosed within 48 h of intubation or 72 h after extubation were included. Criteria for diagnosis of VAP were evidence of a new lung infiltrate on chest x-ray and at least two of the following: leukocytosis, fever, or purulent tracheobronchial secretion. All patients had a high-resolution computed tomography scan as an adjuvant diagnostic tool. All infections occurring after surgery were recorded, and VAP pathogens were identified at the bacteriology and microbiology laboratory of the hospital.

Statistical Analysis

Differences between groups were analyzed using chi-square test and Fisher exact test; independent risk factors that could

Characteristics of the Study Population

Patient Characteristics	Receiving Oral Decontaminationn (n and % of Patients), Group 1 ($n = 150$)	No Oral Decontamination $(n \text{ and } \% \text{ of Patients}),$ Group 2 $(n = 150)$
Mean age ± SD, y	62.3 ± 12.4 (95% CI 60.7–63.8)	63.10 ± 9.3 (95% CI 62.0–64.1)
Men	112 (81.3)	129 (86)
Mean EuroSCORE ± SD	$4.7 \pm 1.8 (95\% \text{ CI } 4.44.9)$	$4.6 \pm 1.8 (95\% \text{ CI } 4.3-4.8)$
Surgical procedure		
Coronary bypass	66 (44)	68 (45.3)
Bypass with pump	21 (14)	25 (16.66)
Valve replacement	38 (25.3)	35 (23.3)
Combined	18 (12)	9 (14)
Thoracic aortic artery	4 (2.7)	5 (3.3)
Bentall-De Bono	3 (2)	3 (2)
Diabetes	22 (14.7)	21 (14)
COPD	9.33 (14)	12 (8)
Active smoker	9 (6)	11 (7.3)
Mean EF \pm SD	51 ± 14.3 (95% CI 49.1–52.8)	$50 \pm 13.2 (95\% \text{ CI } 48.4-51.5)$
Previous myocardial infarction	18 (12)	19 (12.7)
Renal clearance < 60 ml/min*	5 (3.3)	6 (4)
Peripheral arteriopathy	11 (7.3)	13 (8.7)
Postoperative re-operation	15 (10)	16 (10.7)
> 24 h of inotropic support	11 (7.3)	14 (9)
Mean duration of mechanical ventilation \pm SD, h	$12.8 \pm 10.4 (95\% \text{ CI } 11.414.3)$	$13.4 \pm 10.2 (95\% \text{ CI } 12.1-14.6)$
* Renal clearance was calculated using the Cockroft-Gault equation. Combined = coronary bypass and valve replacement Bentall-De Bono = replacement of the ascending aorta and the aortic valve EF = ejection fraction		

influence the incidence of VAP were determined using multivariate logistic regression analysis (variables are shown in

Table 1). Statistical significance was set at $\alpha < 0.05$ (95% CI). All statistical analyses were performed using statistics software (SPSS 17, SPSS, Chicago, Illinois). Sample size was calculated in accordance with the formula of sample size with the hypothesis testing for difference in frequency mean of two independent groups (Group 1, 1.5%; and Group 2, 8.7%). A significance level of $\alpha = .05$ and a power of 80% were used. Based on these considerations, a total of 123 patients in each group were required.

Results

We studied 210 patients scheduled for elective CVS. However, according to the inclusion/exclusion criteria, 150 of these patients were enrolled in a protocol for oral decontamination under the supervision of a dentist (Group 1) and compared with a group of 150 patients receiving CVS in previous years, with no oral decontamination before surgery (Group 2). The characteristics of the population, as well as the type of surgery, are shown in Table 1.

The presence of postoperative infection was recorded. A lower incidence of VAP was observed in Group 1 (2.7%, 95% CI 0.7-7.8) compared with Group 2 (8.7%, 95% CI 4.9-14.7) (P = .04).

On average, the risk of developing VAP after surgery was 3-fold higher in patients who did not receive oral decontamination (3.9, 95% CI 1.1-14.2). No significant differences were observed between groups regarding the remaining infections, as shown in Table 2. The pathogens identified in VAP patients are shown in Table 3.

A significant decrease in length of hospital stay was observed in Group 1 (9 \pm 3 d, 95% CI 8.5–9.5) compared with Group 2 (10 \pm 4 d, 95% CI 9.4–10.6) (P = .01). No significant differences in all-cause in-hospital death were observed between groups: 5.3% (n = 8) and 4.7% (n = 7) (P > .99) for patients receiving (Group 1) and not receiving (Group 2) oral decontamination, respectively.

Discussion

The present study showed that the oral hygiene protocol was associated with a lower incidence of VAP. Our patient population was at low risk of developing VAP, which increases with age (> 70 y of age), perioperative transfusions, previous heart surgery, emergency surgery, intraoperative inotropic support, endotracheal re-intubation, and

Table 2. Postoperative Infections

VAP = ventilator-associated pneumonia

Nosocomial Infections	Receiving Oral Decontamination (n and % of Patients), Group 1 (n = 150)	No Oral Decontamination (n and % of Patients), Group 2 (n = 150)	P
VAP	4 (2.7)	13 (8.7)	.04
Urinary infection	19 (12.7)	16 (10.7)	.71
Superficial incisional surgical site infection	10 (6.7)	18 (12)	.59
Deep incisional surgical site infection	8 (5.3)	12 (8)	.57
Deep sternal surgical site infections	5 (3.3)	10 (6.7)	.28

Table 3. VAP Pathogens Identified in Each Group

	Receiving Oral Decontamination, Group 1 $(n = 4)$	No Oral Decontamination, Group 2 $(n = 13)$
Klebsiella pneumoniae	2	3
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	0	4
Staphylococcus aureus	2	3
Escherichia coli	0	2
Streptococcus viridans	0	1
VAP = ventilator-associated pneumo	nia	

duration of mechanical ventilation support, with the incidence of VAP reaching 45.9% after 48 h.⁵ However, differences between groups receiving and not receiving oral decontamination were detected.

VAP as a nosocomial infection prolongs hospital stay and increases mortality and medical costs.^{3,28} Great effort has been devoted to identifying the risk factors of VAP in an attempt to diminish the incidence and consequences of this disease.²⁹⁻³¹

Aspiration of bacteria from the upper digestive tract has been identified as a key mechanism in the pathogenesis of VAP.³² The normal flora of the oral cavity can comprise a variety of up to 350 bacterial species that have the potential to colonize different oral surfaces.³³ The host defense mechanisms in critically ill patients are diminished, generating a suitable environment for the adhesion of microorganisms to epithelial cells in the mouth and pharynx.³⁴ Tackling dental biofilm (bacterial plaque) formation by optimizing oral hygiene and performing oral decontamination in critically ill patients is an essential strategy for decreasing the incidence of VAP.^{35,36}

The present study showed that chlorhexidine gluconate effectively diminished the bacterial load of dental plaque, reducing its pathogenic potential, and oral decontamination effectively decreased the incidence of VAP in patients hospitalized in ICUs. These data are in agreement with the effects shown by others.³⁷⁻³⁹

Although not critically ill and not usually requiring mechanical ventilation support for > 24 h, patients undergoing elective cardiac surgery are likely to develop VAP. For example, this study found a decreased incidence of VAP with oral hygiene and chlorhexidine gluconate oral rinses 72h before surgery and therefore before endotracheal intubation.

There are reports showing that oropharyngeal cleansing with 0.2% chlorhexidine solution was similar in antimicrobial properties to oral cleansing with potassium permanganate. Moreover, published results regarding the efficacy of a local antiseptic and oral hygiene in preventing VAP are controversial.^{40,41}

The prospective, randomized, and controlled trial conducted by Segers et al⁴² to determine the efficacy of chlorhexidine gluconate in decreasing nosocomial infection after cardiac surgery showed a lower incidence of deep surgical site infections and lower respiratory tract infections, including tracheobronchitis and VAP, in chlorhexidine gluconate-treated patients. In contrast with other reports, analysis of postoperative infections showed similar percentages of surgical site infections in both groups.⁴²

The guidelines of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommend topical application of oral 0.12% chlorhexidine during the preoperative period in adults undergoing CVS (level II evidence).²⁷

The meta-analysis by Tantipong et al⁴³ showed that oral antiseptic prophylaxis significantly reduced the incidence of VAP. According to the meta-analysis by Pineda et al,⁴⁴ which included four studies, the use of chlorhexidine as a local antiseptic of the oral cavity did not result in a lower incidence of VAP.⁴⁵ In contrast, our observations are in agreement with other reports showing that oral decontamination effectively decreased the incidence of VAP.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸

We found no differences in mortality, which is similar to the results reported by Segers et al⁴² and Chan et al.³⁶ This finding may be associated with the low expected mortality of our group of patients as predicted by Euro-SCORE, which was below 5%.³⁶ A study reported in the literature found that the use of intravenous and topical antibiotics in ICU patients receiving mechanical ventilation for > 48 h resulted in lower mortality.⁴⁹ The patients studied herein received prophylactic intravenous antibiotic therapy in keeping with current recommendations, and this likely explains the similar mortality in patients receiving and not receiving oral decontamination.⁵⁰

The decontamination strategies and the pathologies found in patients requiring mechanical ventilation are varied. Different oral decontamination protocols have been used, and they have ranged from the use of oral hygiene and local antiseptics to topically applied antibiotics. In addition, other strategies involve decontamination of the aerodigestive tract and intravenous antibiotic prophylaxis. The differences among

studies regarding the choice and use of these strategies would account for discrepancies among results, which in turn contribute to sustaining the controversy.

Patients undergoing CVS are a special group within the wide spectrum of patients, and the use of oral decontamination in those subjects has also yielded controversial results.^{51,52} Prevention of VAP should focus on optimizing the host's defenses to avoid the pathogens that get through the defense barriers. Examination of the oral cavities of patients undergoing CVS provides an excellent opportunity to reduce the risk of nosocomial infection.

The results of the present study further highlight the need to maximize resources by optimizing hygiene and local antisepsis with chlorhexidine to decrease the oral pathogen load preoperatively. The protocol used in the present study was designed for this purpose, and its use reduced the incidence of VAP.

One of the limitations of our research is that it is not a prospective randomized case-control study. A group of historical controls who had similar characteristics, who were treated at the same center but at a previous time, and who did not receive oral decontamination because the chlorhexidine gluconate oral rinse was not implemented at that time were compared with a group of patients enrolled in the study protocol and assessed prospectively. The present study design was based on current scientific evidence of the benefits of decontamination with chlorhexidine before surgery in reducing the risk of VAP. We consider it unethical to conduct a clinical trial study in which a group of patients would be denied the chance to decrease the bacterial plaque load before a high-risk procedure, as is the case of cardiac surgery.

Conclusions

Oral hygiene and chlorhexidine gluconate oral rinses before elective cardiac surgery proved effective in reducing the incidence of postoperative VAP. The clinical implications are that the lower incidence of VAP in patients undergoing elective CVS resulted in a shorter hospital stay but had no significant impact on mortality, which must be analyzed in a larger study sample. In view of the safety, simplicity, and efficacy of the protocol described herein, it would seem suitable as a prevention strategy to be used preoperatively in patients undergoing elective CVS.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Drs Susana Piovano and Vicente Castiglia (School of Dentistry, University of Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina) for technical assistance and for assistance in performing the statistical analyses, respectively.

REFERENCES

 Rello J, Ollendorf DA, Oster G. Epidemiology and outcomes of ventilator-associated pneumonia in a large US database. Chest 2002; 122(6):2115-2121.

- Arabi Y, Al-Shirawi N, Memish Z. Ventilator-associated pneumonia in adults in developing countries: a systematic review. Int J Infect Dis 2008;12(6):505-512.
- National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System. National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance (NNIS) System Report, data summary from January 1992 through June 2004, issued October 2004.
 Am J Infect Control 2004;32(8):470-485.
- Chastre J, Fagon JY. Ventilator-associated pneumonia. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2002;165(7):867-903.
- Hortal J, Giannella M, Pérez MJ, Barrio JM, Desco M, Bouza E, Muñoz P. Incidence and risk factors for ventilator-associated pneumonia after major heart surgery. Intensive Care Med 2009;35(9):1518-1525.
- De Jonge E, Schultz MJ, Spanjaard L, Bossuyt PM, Vroom MB, Dankert J, Kesecioglu J. Effects of selective decontamination of digestive tract on mortality and acquisition of resistant bacteria in intensive care: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2003;362(9389):1011-1016.
- Bergmans DC, Bonten MJ, Gaillard CA, Paling JC, van der Geest S, van Tiel FH, et al. Prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia by oral decontamination: a prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled Study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2001;164(3):382-388.
- Seguin P, Tanguy M, Laviolle B, Tirel O, Mallédant Y. Effect of oropharyngeal decontamination by povidone-iodine on ventilator-associated pneumonia in patients with head trauma. Crit Care Med 2006; 34(5):1514-1519.
- Denton GW. Chlorhexidine. In: Block SS, editor. Disinfection, sterilization, and preservation. 5th edition. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2001:321-326.
- 10. Hunter JD. Ventilator associated pneumonia. BMJ 2012;344:e3325.
- Zandstra DF, Petros AJ, Silvestri L, van Saene HKF, Taylor N. Critical illness related pneumonia rather than ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) Respir Care 2012;57(2):329-330.
- D'Amico R, Pifferi S, Leonetti C, Torri V, Tinazzi A, Liberati A. Effectiveness of antibiotic prophylaxis in critically ill adult patients: systematic review of randomised controlled trials. BMJ 1998;316(7140): 1275-1285.
- Falagas ME, Siempos II, Bliziotis IA, Michalopoulos A. Administration of antibiotics via respiratory tract for the prevention of ICU-acquired pneumonia: a meta-analysis of comparative trials. Crit Care 2006;10(4): R123.
- Konvalinka A, Errett L, Fong IW. Impact of treating *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal carriers on wound infections in cardiac surgery. J Hosp Infect 2006;64(2):162-168.
- Mori N, Hitomi S, Nakajima J, Okuzumi K, Murakami A, Kimura S. Unselective use of intranasal mupirocin ointment for controlling propagation of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a thoracic surgery ward. J Infect Chemother 2005;11(5):231-233.
- Trautmann M, Stecher J, Hemmer W, Luz K, Panknin HT. Intranasal mupirocin prophylaxis in elective surgery. A review of published studies. Chemotherapy 2008;54(1):9-16.
- Walsh EE , Greene L , R Kirshner. Sustained reduction in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* wound infections after cardiothoracic surgery. Arch Intern Med 2011;171(1):68-73.
- Inkster T. Antibiotic prophylaxis for cardiac surgery: a shift away from traditional cephalosporins? J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2009;23(6):933-935.
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Surgical site infection (CG74). London: National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, October 2008. http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg74
- Bhatti F, Grayson AD, Grotte G, Fabri BM, Au J, Jones M, et al. The logistic EuroSCORE in cardiac surgery: how well does it predict operative risk? Heart 2006;92(12):1817-1820.
- Karthik S, Srinivasan AK, Grayson AD, Jackson M, Sharpe DA, Keenan DJ, et al. Limitations of additive EuroSCORE for measuring

PREVENTING VAP AFTER CARDIOVASCULAR SURGERY

- risk stratified mortality in combined coronary and valve surgery. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2004;26(2):318-322.
- Bass CC. An effective method of personal hygiene. J La State Med Soc 1954;106(3):100-112.
- Imai PH, Yu X, MacDonald D. Comparison of interdental brush to dental floss for reduction of clinical parameters of periodontal disease: a systematic review. Can J Dent Hyg 2012;46(1):63-78.
- Rajapakse PS, McCracken GI, Gwynnett E, Steen ND, Guentsch A, Heasman PA. Does tooth brushing influence the development and progression of non- inflammatory gingival recession: a systematic review. J Clin Periodontol 2007;34(12):1046-1061.
- Newman MG, Takei HH, Klokkevold PR, Carranza FA. Carranza's clinical periodontology. 11th edition. St. Louis: Elsevier/Saunders; 2011;422-426.
- Rotstein C, Evans G, Born A, Grossman R, Light RB, Magder S, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for hospital-acquired pneumonia and ventilator-associated pneumonia in adults. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol 2008;19(1):19-53.
- American Thoracic Society, Infectious Diseases Society of America. Guidelines for the management of adults with hospital-acquired, ventilator-associated, and healthcare-associated pneumonia. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2005;171(4):388-416.
- Safdar N, Dezfulian C, Collard HR, Saint S. Clinical and economic consequences of ventilator-associated pneumonia: a systematic review. Crit Care Med 2005;33(10):2184-2193.
- Coffin SE, Klompas M, Classen D, Arias KM, Podgorny K, Anderson DJ, et al. Strategies to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia in acute care hospitals. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2008;29(1 Suppl):S31-S40
- Richards MJ, Edwards JR, Culver DH, Gaynes RP. Nosocomial infections in combined medical surgical intensive care units in the United States. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2000;21(8):510-515.
- Ibrahim EH, Tracy L, Hill C, Fraser VJ, Kollef MH. The occurrence of ventilator-associated pneumonia in a community hospital: risk factors and clinical outcomes. Chest 2001;120(2):555-561.
- Estes RJ, Meduri GU. The pathogenesis of ventilator-associated pneumonia: mechanisms of bacterial transcolonisation and airway inoculation. Intensive Care Med 1995;21(4):365-383.
- Bagg J, Mac Farlane TW, Poxton IR, Miller CH, Smith AJ. Essentials of microbiology for dental students. 3rd edition. New York: Oxford University Press; 1999:227-310.
- Gibbons RJ. Bacterial adhesion to oral tissues: a model for infectious diseases. J Dent Res 1989;68(5):750-760.
- Berry AM, Davidson PM, Masters J, Rolls K. Systematic literature review of oral hygiene practices for intensive care patients receiving mechanical ventilation. Am J Crit Care 2007;16(6):552-562.
- Chan EY, Ruest A, Meade MO, Cook DJ. Oral decontamination for prevention of pneumonia in mechanically ventilated adults: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2007;334(7599):889.
- O'Reilly M. Oral care of the critically ill: a review of the literature and guidelines for practice. Crit Care 2003;16(3):101-110.
- Kola A, Gastmeier P. Efficacy of oral chlorhexidine in preventing lower respiratory tract infections. Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Hosp Infect 2007;66(3):207-216.

- 39. Pileggi C, Bianco A, Flotta D, Nobile CG, Pavia M. Prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia, mortality and all intensive care unit acquired infections by topically applied antimicrobial or antiseptic agents: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials in intensive care units. Crit Care 2011;15(3):R155.
- Panchabhai TS, Dangayach NS, Krishnan A, Kothari VM, Karnad DR. Oropharyngeal cleansing with 0.2% chlorhexidine for prevention of nosocomial pneumonia in critically ill patients: an open-label randomized trial with 0.01% potassium permanganate as control. Chest 2009;135(5):1150-1156.
- Munro CL, Grap MJ, Jones DJ, McClish DK, Sessler CN. Chlorhexidine, toothbrushing, and preventing ventilator-associated pneumonia in critically ill adults. Am J Crit Care 2009;18(5):428-437.
- Segers P, Speekenbrink RG, Ubbink DT, van Ogtrop ML, de Mol BA. Prevention of nosocomial infection in cardiac surgery by decontamination of the nasopharynx and oropharynx with chlorhexidine gluconate: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2006;296(20):2460-2466.
- Tantipong H, Morkjareonpong C, Jaiyindee S, Thamlikitkul V. Randomized controlled trial and meta-analysis of oral decontamination with 2% chlorhexidine solution for the prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2008;29(2):131-136.
- Pineda LA, Saliba RG, El Solh AA. Effect of oral decontamination with chlorhexidine on the incidence of nosocomial pneumonia: a meta-analysis. Crit Care 2006;10(1):R35.
- 45. Bellissimo-Rodrigues F, Bellissimo-Rodrigues WT, Viana JM, Teixeira GC, Nicolini E, Auxiliadora-Martins M, et al. Effectiveness of oral rinse with chlorhexidine in preventing nosocomial respiratory tract infections among intensive care unit patients. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2009;30(10):952-958.
- Koeman M, van der Ven AJAM, Hak E, Joore, HCA, Kaasjager K, de Smet AGA, et al. Oral decontamination with chlorhexidine reduces the incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2006;173(12):1348-1355.
- Chlebicki MP, Safdar N. Topical chlorhexidine for prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia: a meta-analysis. Crit Care Med 2007;35(2):595-602.
- Zamora Zamora F. Effectiveness of oral care in the prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia. Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised clinical trials. Enferm Clin 2011;21(6):308-319.
- de Smet AM, Kluytmans JA, Cooper BS, Mascini EM, Benus RF, van der Werf TS, et al. Decontamination of the digestive tract and oropharynx in ICU patients. N Engl J Med 2009;360(1):20-31.
- Lador A, Nasir H, Mansur N, Sharoni E, Biderman P, Leibovici L, Paul M. Antibiotic prophylaxis in cardiac surgery: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Antimicrob Chemother 2012;67(3):541-50.
- DeRiso AJ 2nd, Ladowski JS, Dillon TA, Justice JW, Peterson AC. Chlorhexidine gluconate 0.12% oral rinse reduces the incidence of total nosocomial respiratory infection and non-prophylactic systemic antibiotic use in patients undergoing heart surgery. Chest 1996;109(6):1556-1561.
- Houston S, Hougland P, Anderson JJ, LaRocco M, Kennedy V, Gentry LO. Effectiveness of 0.12% chlorhexidine oral rinse in reducing prevalence of nosocomial pneumonia in patients undergoing heart surgery. Am J Crit Care 2002;11(6):567-570.

This article is approved for Continuing Respiratory Care Education credit. For information and to obtain your CRCE (free to AARC members) visit

www.rcjournal.com

