# Mechanical Ventilation in Patients With Guillain-Barré Syndrome

Mohamed I Ali MBBS, Evans R Fernández-Pérez MD, Shanthan Pendem MBBS, Daniel R Brown MD PhD, Eelco FM Wijdicks MD, and Ognjen Gajic MD MSc

BACKGROUND: Patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome are commonly exposed to prolonged mechanical ventilation. Specific data on ventilatory management of these patients have been limited. OBJECTIVE: To describe the practice of mechanical ventilation in patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome and evaluate risk factors for morbidity and mortality. METHODS: We describe a historical cohort of mechanically ventilated patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome in a tertiarycare center. We extracted database information on demographics, severity of illness, pulmonary function, and ventilatory management for the period 1976 to 1996. Primary outcomes were development of pulmonary complications, duration of ventilatory support, and mortality. RESULTS: Fifty-four patients met the inclusion criteria. After 1990, lower tidal volume (p = 0.031) and higher positive end-expiratory pressure (p = 0.003) were used than during the 1976 to 1990. Outcomes did not change significantly during the studied period. Forty-six patients (85%) survived to hospital discharge, and 39 (72%) were alive at 1-year follow-up. Ventilator-associated pneumonia was the most frequent complication (56%) and was associated with prolonged mechanical ventilation (p < 0.01). At electasis developed in 49%, and acute lung injury in 13%. All but 6 patients (89%) received tracheostomy. In 14 patients (30%) tracheostomy was placed  $\geq$  14 days after intubation. When adjusted for atelectasis and severity of illness in a stepwise logistic regression analysis, delayed tracheostomy was associated with the development of ventilator-associated pneumonia (odds ratio 8.2, p = 0.029). CONCLUSIONS: Changes in ventilator practice did not affect outcomes of mechanically ventilated patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome. The majority of patients received tracheostomy, which should be considered early in the course of respiratory failure. Key words: neuromuscular disease, respiratory insufficiency, respiration, weaning, atelectasis, tidal volume. [Respir Care 2006;51(12):1403–1407. © 2006 Daedalus Enterprises]

#### Introduction

Guillain-Barré syndrome is an acute, monophasic, symmetrically progressive, peripheral neuropathy that fre-

During this study, Mohamed I Ali MBBS was affiliated with the Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota. He is now affiliated with Saint Mary's Duluth Clinic Health System, Duluth, Minnesota. Evans R Fernández-Pérez MD and Ognjen Gajic MD MSc are affiliated with the Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota. During this study, Shanthan Pendem MBBS was affiliated with the Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota. He is now affiliated with St Alexius Medical Center, Bismarck, North Dakota. Daniel R Brown MD PhD is affiliated with the Department of Anesthesiology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota. Eelco FM Wijdicks MD is affiliated with the Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota

quently involves respiratory muscles and results in respiratory failure. The mortality rate ranges from 2% to 12%, despite technological advances in supportive care, and 15% of Guillain-Barré syndrome patients have persistent disability. Respiratory failure is a relentless problem among patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome, and mechanical ventilation is required in 20–30% of these patients. In many patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome, prolonged ventilatory support is needed because of continuing de-

The authors report no conflicts of interest related to the content of this paper.

Correspondence: Ognjen Gajic MD, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Mayo Clinic, 200 First Street SW, Rochester MN 55905. E-mail: gajic.ognjen@mayo.edu.

Table 1. Trends in Mechanical Ventilation Practice and Outcomes of Patients With Guillain-Barré Syndrome: 1976 to 1996

|  | 1976 to 1980 $(n = 12)$ | 1980 to 1990 $(n = 31)$ | 1990 to 1996 $(n = 11)$ | p     |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Age (median and range y)                                       | 55 (36 to 70)           | 63 (53 to 71)           | 39 (19 to 58)           | 0.058 |
| Female (n and %)   | 7 (58)                  | 14 (45)                 | 4 (36)                  | 0.561 |
| SAPS II (median and IQ range score)                            | 23 (7 to 29)            | 24 (13 to 33)           | 17 (8 to 27)            | 0.147 |
| P <sub>aO2</sub> /F <sub>IO2</sub> (median and IQ range mm Hg) | 229 (213 to 357)        | 291 (174 to 350)        | 348 (306 to 440)        | 0.071 |
| Vital capacity (median and IQ range L)                         | 1.3 (0.8 to 2.1)        | 1.9 (1.2 to 2.6)        | 2.2 (1.7 to 3.4)        | 0.074 |
| Ventilation mode (n and %)                                     |                         |                         |                         | 0.335 |
| Assist-control   | 5 (50)                  | 11 (41)                 | 2 (20)                  |       |
| SIMV   | 5 (50)                  | 16 (60)                 | 8 (80)                  |       |
| V <sub>T</sub> (median and IQ range mL/kg)                     | 12.8 (11.1 to 13.6)     | 12.0 (10.2 to 14.0)     | 10.9 (9.5 to 11.4)      | 0.031 |
| PEEP (median and IQ range cm H <sub>2</sub> O)                 | 0 (0 to 5)              | 0 (0 to 5)              | 5 (5 to 5)              | 0.003 |
| Peak airway pressure (median and IQ range cm H <sub>2</sub> O) | 24 (18 to 30)           | 25 (22 to 35)           | 24 (20 to 29)           | 0.309 |
| Atelectasis (n and %)  | 4 (33)                  | 15 (60)                 | 4 (30)                  | 0.258 |
| Acute lung injury (n and %)                                    | 1 (8)                   | 6 (19)                  | 0                       | 0.141 |
| Time to tracheostomy (n and IQ range d)                        | 5 (3 to 15)             | 10 (7 to 15)            | 6 (6 to 16)             | 0.053 |
| VAP (n and %)  | 8 (73)                  | 14 (52)                 | 5 (50)                  | 0.453 |
| Duration of mechanical ventilation (n and IQ range d)          | 49 (18 to 82)           | 40 (26 to 88)           | 38 (11 to 46)           | 0.314 |
| ICU stay (median and IQ range d)                               | 56 (26 to 87)           | 41 (27 to 64)           | 38 (16 to 54)           | 0.216 |
| Hospital mortality (n and %)                                   | 2 (20)                  | 4 (15)                  | 1 (10)                  | 0.819 |
| 12-month mortality (n and %)                                   | 3 (27)                  | 9 (33)                  | 2 (20)                  | 0.722 |

SAPS II = Simplified Acute PHysiology Score II

cline in neuromuscular respiratory function and, ultimately, superimposed pulmonary infections increase morbidity and mortality.<sup>6,7</sup> Though 2 small studies<sup>6,7</sup> have described the outcomes of patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome who required mechanical ventilation, specific ventilator management and the timing of tracheostomy have not been reported.

Given the existing evidence that requirement for mechanically assisted ventilation correlates closely with poor outcome, we aimed to describe practice trends in mechanical ventilation, pulmonary complications, and mortality in a historical cohort of mechanically ventilated patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome.

## Methods

From a database of patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome<sup>8</sup> we retrospectively identified consecutive patients admitted to the neurologic intensive care unit (ICU) at the Mayo Clinic in Rochester, Minnesota, who had the diagnosis of Guillain-Barré syndrome and required mechanical ventilation. We collected data from 1976 to 1996. Our neurologic ICU is a 24-bed unit staffed by neurologists, neurosurgeons, and critical care anesthesiologists. Stan-

dard criteria for diagnosis of Guillain-Barré syndrome were used. 9,10 Patients under the age of 16 years and those with atypical variants of Guillain-Barré syndrome were excluded. We also excluded patients who were intubated for more than 24 hours and were transferred from an outside facility, and those who had a tracheostomy in place at the time of admission. The study was approved by our institutional review board.

We collected data on demographics, comorbidities, preintubation arterial blood gas values, pulmonary function tests, ventilator settings, and pulmonary complications as primary outcome variables. Simplified Acute Physiology Score (SAPS II) was calculated from data collected at the time of ICU admission.<sup>11</sup> Ventilator settings were extracted from the respiratory therapy flow sheet, and included ventilation mode, tidal volume (V<sub>T</sub>), positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP), and peak airway pressure. The dominant ventilator setting during the first 3 days was chosen as the initial ventilation mode. The largest V<sub>T</sub> used during days 1-3 was recorded. The PEEP and peak airway pressure were selected from the information collected simultaneously with the V<sub>T</sub>. Tracheostomy was considered delayed if performed more than 14 days after initiation of mechanical ventilation.

IQ = interquartile

 $F_{IO_2}$  = fraction of inspired oxygen

SIMV = synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation

 $V_T$  = tidal volume

PEEP = positive end-expiratory pressure

VAP = ventilator-associated pneumonia

ICU = intensive care unit

Table 2. Comparison of Patients Who Did or Did Not Require Tracheostomy

|  | Tracheostomy $(n = 48)$ | No Tracheostomy $(n = 6)$ | p       |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Age (median and range y)   | 58 (41 to 70)           | 49 (30 to 62)             | 0.386   |
| Female (n and %)   | 23 (48)                 | 2 (33)                    | 0.675   |
| SAPS II (median and IQ range score)  | 24 (12 to 31)           | 12 (6 to 26)              | 0.110   |
| Vital capacity (median and IQ range L)   | 1.75 (1.05 to 2.4)      | 1.88 (1.35 to 4.23)       | 0.418   |
| P <sub>Imax</sub> (median and IQ range cm H <sub>2</sub> O)  | -32 (-45  to  -21)      | -40 (-70  to  -28)        | 0.482   |
| P <sub>Emax</sub> (median and IQ range cm H <sub>2</sub> O)  | 40 (25 to 57)           | 38 (25 to 70)             | 0.931   |
| P <sub>aO2</sub> /F <sub>IO2</sub> (median and IQ range mm Hg)   | 285 (214 to 361)        | 343 (198 to 400)          | 0.633   |
| pH (median and IQ range)   | 7.44 (7.40 to 7.48)     | 7.40 (7.39 to 7.46)       | 0.390   |
| P <sub>aCO2</sub> (median and IQ range mm Hg)  | 38 (34 to 44)           | 39 (33 to 41)             | 0.952   |
| VAP (n and %)  | 26 (61)                 | 1 (20)                    | 0.106   |
| Hospital mortality (n and %)   | 7 (15)                  | 1 (17)                    | 0.894   |
| Duration of mechanical ventilation<br>(median and IQ range d)  | 42 (27 to 72)           | 11 (4 to 16)              | < 0.001 |
| ICU stay (median and IQ range d)   | 46 (30 to 64)           | 20 (8 to 23)              | 0.002   |
| SAPS II = Simplified Acute Physiology Score II IQ = interquartile $P_{Imax} = maximum inspiratory pressure \\ P_{Emax} = maximum expiratory pressure \\ VAP = ventilator-associated pneumonia$ |                         |                           |         |

The primary outcome measures were the duration of mechanical ventilation, ICU stay, hospital mortality, 12month mortality, and respiratory complications during the ICU course, including ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP), acute lung injury (ALI), and atelectasis. VAP was clinically defined as the presence of a new and persistent radiographic infiltrate in conjunction with one of: positive pleural/blood culture results for the same organism as that recovered in the tracheal aspirate or sputum; radiographic cavitation; or histopathologic evidence of pneumonia; or with two of: fever, leukocytosis, or purulent tracheal aspirate.12 ALI was defined according to the definition of the American European Consensus Conference.<sup>13</sup> New atelectasis was defined as no report of atelectasis on the first chest radiograph but evidence of atelectasis on day 2, 3, or 4 after initiation of mechanical ventilation.14

We integrated the patient's history, physical examination, data from invasive monitoring, nursing and respiratory therapy flow sheets, laboratory tests, and radiologist's interpretation of daily chest radiographs to determine the presence or absence of respiratory complications.

Continuous and categorical variables were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis or Student's *t* test and chi-square or Fisher's exact tests, as appropriate. Stepwise logistic regression models were developed to evaluate independent associations between predictors and outcome. The data were analyzed with statistics software (JMP 5, SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

#### Results

Of 60 patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome who required mechanical ventilation during the period 1976 to 1996, medical records with ventilator data were available for 54 patients admitted to the neurologic ICU. Complete data sets were available for 47 patients (87%). None of the patients were tried on noninvasive mechanical ventilation. Forty-six patients (85%) survived to hospital discharge, and 39 (72%) were alive at 1-year follow-up. One-year nonsurvivors were more likely to be older (median 63 y vs 53 y, p = 0.017), to have a higher SAPS II score (median 31 vs 22, p = 0.016), to have evidence of pneumonia at the time of ICU admission (43% vs 15%, p = 0.050), to have a lower ratio of  $P_{aO_a}$  to fraction of inspired oxygen (median 229 mm Hg vs 326 mm Hg, p < 0.001), and to have lower pre-intubation maximum inspiratory pressure  $(-25 \text{ cm H}_2\text{O vs} - 40 \text{ cm H}_2\text{O})$ , p = 0.042). The median ICU stay was 42 days (range 24– 62 d). The median duration of mechanical ventilation was 40 days (range 22–64 d).

Table 1 describes the trends in ventilator practice and outcomes during the studied period. Assist control and synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation were the only ventilation modes used. After 1990, synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation was used more frequently, as were lower  $V_T$  and higher PEEP settings (see Table 1). During the studied period there was no change in the incidence of atelectasis, ALI, VAP, or mortality, no change in the use of therapeutic plasma exchange or in-

Table 3. Comparison of Patients Who Received Tracheostomy Before or After 2 Weeks After Intubation

|   | Tracheostomy in $\ge 14 \text{ d} (n = 14)$ | Tracheostomy in $< 14 \text{ d} (n = 33)$ | p     |
|---|---|---|-------|
| Age (median and range y)  | 66 (53 to 78)                               | 58 (30 to 65)                             | 0.098 |
| Female ( <i>n</i> and %)  | 5 (35%)                                     | 18 (54%)                                  | 0.237 |
| SAPS II (median and IQ range score)                                 | 28 (22 to 38)                               | 23 (9 to 31)                              | 0.201 |
| Vital capacity (median and IQ range L)                              | 1.5 (1.07 to 2.55)                          | 1.85 (0.99 to 2.42)                       | 0.418 |
| P <sub>Imax</sub> (median and IQ range cm H <sub>2</sub> O)         | -30 (-35  to  -17)                          | -40 (-48  to  -24)                        | 0.189 |
| P <sub>Emax</sub> (median and IQ range cm H <sub>2</sub> O)         | 30 (19 to 60)                               | 40 (30 to 60)                             | 0.311 |
| P <sub>aO2</sub> /F <sub>IO2</sub> (median and IQ range mm Hg)      | 317 (174 to 375)                            | 290 (219 to 357)                          | 0.663 |
| pH (median and IQ range)  | 7.45 (7.40 to 7.5)                          | 7.42 (7.39 to 7.45)                       | 0.222 |
| P <sub>aCO2</sub> (median and IQ range mm Hg)                       | 37 (31 to 45)                               | 38 (34 to 44)                             | 0.530 |
| PEEP (median and IQ range cm H <sub>2</sub> O)                      | 0 (0 to 5)                                  | 3 (0 to 5)                                | 0.478 |
| V <sub>T</sub> predicted by body weight (median and IQ range mL/kg) | 11.6 (10 to 14)                             | 11.5 (10 to 13)                           | 0.623 |
| Acute lung injury ( <i>n</i> and %)                                 | 4 (28%)                                     | 3 (9%)                                    | 0.100 |
| Atelectasis (n and %)   | 3 (21%)                                     | 17 (51%)                                  | 0.043 |
| VAP (n  and  %)   | 11 (78%)                                    | 15 (45%)                                  | 0.084 |
| Hospital mortality (n and %)  | 3 (21%)                                     | 3 (9%)                                    | 0.275 |
| Duration of mechanical ventilation (median and IQ range d)          | 63 (44 to 112)                              | 39 (25 to 58)                             | 0.005 |
| ICU stay (median and IQ range d)                                    | 61 (30 to 64)                               | 45 (28 to 60)                             | 0.098 |

SAPS = Simplified Acute Physiology Score II

travenous immunoglobulin, and no formal sedation or ventilator weaning protocols were used.

All but 6 patients (89%) required tracheostomy. Patients who did not require tracheostomy tended to have lower SAPS II scores and shorter duration of mechanical ventilation (Table 2). In 14 patients (30%) tracheostomy was delayed > 14 days after initiation of mechanical ventilation (Table 3).

New atelectasis developed in 49% of the patients. The risk of atelectasis was not affected by the initial choice of  $V_T$  (p = 0.936) or PEEP (p = 0.751). Seven patients developed ALI. There were no significant differences in  $V_T$  (median 10.6 mL/kg predicted body weight vs 11.7 mL/kg predicted body weight, p = 0.331) and PEEP (median 5 cm  $H_2O$  vs 2.5 cm  $H_2O$ , p = 0.189) between patients with or without ALI.

The most common complication was VAP, observed in 27 patients (56%). Patients who developed VAP required longer ventilatory support (median 42 d vs 27 d, p = 0.01) and longer ICU stay (48 d vs 34 d, p = 0.03). To evaluate risk factors associated with development of VAP, the following variables were entered in a stepwise logistic regression model: age, sex, SAPS II score, atelectasis, and timing of tracheostomy. Delayed tracheostomy (odds ratio

8.2, p = 0.029) and atelectasis (odds ratio 4.3, p = 0.069) were associated with the development of VAP.

# Discussion

In this retrospective cohort study we described the ventilator management of and pulmonary complications in a population of patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome who required mechanical ventilation, in an academic medical center during the period 1976 to 1996. Lower  $V_{\rm T}$  and higher PEEP were used in recent years, but that change was not associated with a significant change in mortality, incidence of VAP, duration of mechanical ventilation, or ICU stay. All but 6 patients received tracheostomy for prolonged ventilatory support. Receiving tracheostomy more than 2 weeks after initiation of mechanical ventilation was associated with a longer duration of mechanical ventilation and a higher VAP rate.

Consistent with recommendations from the literature, the initial ventilation mode was either synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation or assist control.  $^{15}$  Trends in ventilator management during the studied period paralleled changes described by others, with lower  $V_T$  and higher PEEP, in accordance with the concept of lung-protective mechanical

IQ = interquartile

 $P_{Imax} = maximum \ inspiratory \ pressure$ 

 $P_{Emax} = maximum expiratory pressure$ 

 $F_{IO_2}$  = fraction of inspired oxygen

PEEP = positive end-expiratory pressure

V<sub>T</sub> = tidal volume

VAP = ventilator-associated pneumonia

ICU = intensive care unit

ventilation.<sup>14</sup> Although V<sub>T</sub> was decreased, we did not observe an increase in the incidence of atelectasis.<sup>14</sup>

Patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome usually have normal lung mechanics and oxygen requirements. The major reason for mechanical ventilation is respiratory muscle weakness and inability to clear secretions. The general recommendation is to intubate these patients when the vital capacity falls to approximately 15 mL/kg or if there is difficulty clearing secretions.8 Tracheostomy is frequently performed for prolonged ventilator weaning and to facilitate secretion clearance.3 Similar to a recent study from India,7 only a minority of the patients in the present study did not receive tracheostomy. In another small series, tracheostomy was performed less often (32%), despite a mean duration of mechanical ventilation of 21 days.<sup>6</sup> Of note, the incidence of VAP in that study was very high (75%).6 Apart from a trend toward lower initial SAPS II score and shorter duration of ventilatory support, we did not identify factors associated with successful extubation and avoidance of tracheostomy. In a previous study, tracheostomy was needed more frequently in elderly patients, those with pre-existing pulmonary disease, and in those with severe axonal involvement, by electromyography criteria (absent motor responses, widespread fibrillations).3

A recent multicenter survey of ventilator practice noted that a major determinant of the need for tracheostomy is neurologic disease that causes respiratory failure.<sup>16</sup> In a recent randomized trial in patients predicted to need mechanical ventilation for more than 14 days, early tracheostomy allowed for less sedation and was associated with lower incidence of VAP, shorter mechanical ventilation, shorter ICU stay, and dramatically lower hospital mortality.<sup>17,18</sup> In our study, delayed tracheostomy (> 14 d after intubation) was associated with higher incidence of VAP and longer duration of mechanical ventilation.

Several limitations need to be recognized. The study was performed in single tertiary-care center, and the severity of illness and complication rates may be different than what would be expected in a population-based cohort. The observational nature of the study and possible multiple confounding factors do not allow us to infer a cause-and-effect relationship between potential risk factors and outcomes. In particular, we did not record the exact time of VAP onset, so we could not determine a causal relationship between the timing of tracheostomy and the development of VAP. Finally, during the 20-year studied period there were changes in equipment and personnel, and inconsistent charting.

### Conclusion

When patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome require mechanical ventilation, this support is frequently required for an extended period. In the setting of prolonged intubation and poor secretion clearance, these patients are at high risk of complications, especially VAP. Given the median duration of mechanical ventilation (> 3 weeks), it is reasonable to consider early tracheostomy in most patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome who require mechanical ventilation.

#### REFERENCES

- The Italian Guillain-Barré Study Group. The prognosis and main prognostic indicators of Guillain-Barré syndrome: a multicentre prospective study of 297 patients. Brain 1996;119(Pt 6):2053–2061.
- Fletcher DD, Lawn ND, Wolter TD, Wijdicks EF. Long-term outcome in patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome requiring mechanical ventilation. Neurology 2000;54(12):2311–2315.
- Lawn ND, Wijdicks EF. Tracheostomy in Guillain-Barré syndrome. Muscle Nerve 1999;22(8):1058–1062.
- Ropper AH. Severe acute Guillain-Barré syndrome. Neurology 1986; 36(3):429–432.
- Winer JB, Hughes RA, Greenwood RJ, Perkin GD, Healy MJ. Prognosis in Guillain-Barré syndrome. Lancet 1985;1(8439):1202–1203.
- Cheng BC, Chang WN, Chang CS, Tsai NW, Chang CJ, Hung PL, et al. Predictive factors and long-term outcome of respiratory failure after Guillain-Barré syndrome. Am J Med Sci 2004;327(6):336–340.
- Aggarwal AN, Gupta D, Lal V, Behera D, Jindal SK, Prabhakar S. Ventilatory management of respiratory failure in patients with severe Guillain-Barré syndrome. Neurol India 2003;51(2):203–205.
- Lawn ND, Fletcher DD, Henderson RD, Wolter TD, Wijdicks EF. Anticipating mechanical ventilation in Guillain-Barré syndrome. Arch Neurol 2001;58(6):893–898.
- Ropper AH, Wijdicks EFM, Truax BT. Guillain-Barré syndrome. Philadelphia: FA Davis; 1991.
- Ropper AH. The Guillain-Barré syndrome. N Engl J Med 1992; 326(17):1130–1136.
- Le Gall JR, Lemeshow S, Saulnier F. A new Simplified Acute Physiology Score (SAPS II) based on a European/North American multicenter study. JAMA 1993;270(24):2957–2963. Erratum in JAMA 1994;271(17):1326.
- Pingleton SK, Fagon JY, Leeper KV Jr. Patient selection for clinical investigation of ventilator-associated pneumonia: criteria for evaluating diagnostic techniques. Chest 1992;102(5 Suppl 1):553S-556S.
- Bernard GR, Artigas A, Brigham KL, Carlet J, Falke K, Hudson L, et al. The American-European Consensus Conference on ARDS: definitions, mechanisms, relevant outcomes, and clinical trial coordination. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1994;149(3 Pt 1):818–824.
- Wongsurakiat P, Pierson DJ, Rubenfeld GD. Changing pattern of ventilator settings in patients without acute lung injury: changes over 11 years in a single institution. Chest 2004;126(4):1281–1291.
- Tobin MJ. Advances in mechanical ventilation. N Engl J Med 2001; 344(26):1986–1996.
- Frutos-Vivar F, Esteban A, Apezteguia C, Anzueto A, Nightingale P, Gonzalez M, et al; International Mechanical Ventilation Study Group. Outcome of mechanically ventilated patients who require a tracheostomy. Crit Care Med 2005;33(2):290–298.
- Ahrens T, Kollef MH. Early tracheostomy—has its time arrived? (editorial) Crit Care Med 2004;32(8):1796–1797.
- Rumbak MJ, Newton M, Truncale T, Schwartz SW, Adams JW, Hazard PB. A prospective, randomized, study comparing early percutaneous dilational tracheotomy to prolonged translaryngeal intubation (delayed tracheotomy) in critically ill medical patients. Crit Care Med 2004; 32(8):1689–1694. Erratum in Crit Care Med 2004;32(12):2566.