Recommendations of the 6th Long-Term Oxygen Therapy Consensus Conference

Dennis E Doherty MD and Thomas L Petty MD for the Writing and Organizing Committees

Introduction

In 1986, the first of the series of long-term oxygen therapy (LTOT) consensus conferences was held in Denver, Colorado. Its aim and the aim of those that followed was to consider emerging issues and problems in LTOT prescribing, reimbursement, and access, as well as education and research challenges. Subsequent conferences were held in 1987, 1990, 1993, and 1999. The reports of these were published. These conferences helped to create and pave the way for advances in prescribing LTOT, such as the Certificate of Medical Necessity for LTOT (ie, the oxygen prescription and reimbursement criteria). These conferences also stressed the challenges for the education of physicians and other respiratory professionals involved in the care of LTOT patients, and the need for technological standards.

Each conference used a modification of the Delbecq nominal-group interactive method.⁶ This method assures that all participants' issues are aired in an anonymous and/or open-forum fashion, and that all thoughts are considered in small breakout groups and then again in a final

Dennis E Doherty MD is affiliated with the Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky and is Chairman of the National Lung Health Education Program. Thomas L Petty MD is affiliated with the Department of Medicine, University of Colorado Health Sciences Center, and the National Lung Health Education Program, Denver, Colorado.

The 6th Long-Term Oxygen Therapy (LTOT) Consensus Conference was held in Denver, Colorado, August 25–28, 2005. LTOT stakeholders in attendance included LTOT patients, patient groups, physicians, nurses, respiratory therapists and other respiratory-care professionals, governmental and other regulatory agencies, LTOT payers, manufacturers, and providers (see the appendix for the full list of participants). The recommendations and opinions presented in this report do not necessarily represent the thoughts or opinions of the organizations the participants represented, but rather represent a consensus of the entire group of participants. All attendees filled out and signed a disclosure form identifying any potential conflicts of interest before they were allowed to participate in the consensus process.

All participants and/or organizations that they represented financed their own travel to and expenses at the conference, with the exception of some patients and physicians whose expenses were covered in part or in whole session where consensus recommendations are finalized by all participants.

It was important for all participants to understand that the development of consensus is a group process, and not an individual dominance, a majority rule, nor a "voting" method. This process was first used in America by the Ouakers, who needed a nonconfrontational "common sense" (consensus) method in dealing with contentious issues. In the consensus-development method, no votes are taken. This method avoids dualism. This is intended to be a rational way of seeking general agreement. Consensus does not mean unanimity. Those who participate in consensus development do not always get their way; they agree with the group that moving forward in areas of minor disagreement, as well as in areas of agreement, is better than strife over individual issues. This was the concept that the organizers put forth and the participants of the 6th LTOT Consensus Conference followed.

The first day and a half of the conference provided state-of-the-art lectures and discussions by experts in subjects of relevance in LTOT, from "History" to "Current Evidence" to "Current Practical Aspects" to "Needed Research" in the LTOT arena. On the afternoon of the second

by conference funds. All funds generated by the conference from the sponsors listed below were expended on the above costs, conference facilities, and other usual costs of running a conference. Funds left over will be expended on the publication of a manual, "The History of Oxygen," co-authored by the co-chairs of this conference.

Sponsors (in alphabetical order): AirSep; Chart BioMedical (Caire); Cardinal Health Respiratory; CHAD Therapeutics; COPD Partners; DeVilbiss (Sunrise Medical); Inogen; Invacare; Lincare; Luxfer Gas Cylinders; The Med Group; Nonin Medical; OxyTec Medical; Tyco Healthcare/Puritan Bennett; Precision Medical; Respironics; SeQual Technologies; Transtrachael Systems; VGM and Associates. No one sponsor contributed more than 9% of the total funds donated.

Correspondence: Dennis E Doherty MD, Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Kentucky, 740 S Limestone, Room K-528, Lexington KY 40536-0284.

day, 5 smaller breakout consensus-development groups were assigned to consider the following areas: (1) clinical issues, (2) manufacturer issues, (3) home-medical-equipment suppliers issues, (4) patient issues, and (5) research.

The last day of the conference, when all participants reconvened and the chairs/co-chairs of the breakout groups reported on their respective breakout group's conclusions, led to vigorous and very productive discussions about certain features of LTOT technology. One subject that generated healthy discussion, upon which a full consensus was not reached, was what defines systems that are basically "stationary" versus "portable" versus "wearable." Although everyone agreed that many of the newer LTOT devices that are available may fit into the categories "stationary," "portable," or "wearable," no general agreement could be reached about the specifications of such devices. That is, it was agreed that a "portable" or "wearable" device should be a size and weight that allows the patient to do activities of daily living suitable to his or her own lifestyle while maintaining proper oxygen saturation, but the group could not come to a consensus with regard to the specific weight or configuration of these devices. It was agreed that specific recommendations for these variables may be possible in the future if appropriate research studies are designed and performed.

The only other subject of substantial controversy, upon which consensus could not be reached, was the issue of the necessity for recertification of the LTOT prescription, particularly when LTOT is initiated for chronic stable patients with hypoxemia (see Recommendation #13 regarding recertification when LTOT is initially prescribed for an exacerbation of COPD). This topic received liberal discussion. No firm recommendations were made. Of interest, these 2 controversial issues were also vigorously considered at the 5th LTOT consensus conference.⁵ Other less contentious issues were also discussed by all participants, and consensus was strongly reached, as outlined below.

Today approximately one million Americans receive LTOT, at a cost of over 2 billion dollars per year. The recent emergence of new technologies, as well as the definite need for additional evidence-based scientific research to understand the needs and benefits of LTOT, dictated that this 6th LTOT conference be convened. It is whole-heartedly hoped by the organizers and participants of this well-attended 6th LTOT Conference that the following recommendations will help to facilitate not only an improved recognition of the needs and benefits of LTOT, but also to stimulate the design and funding of focused research that will further establish the benefits of LTOT. In the end, this will lead to the unanimous goal of all participants, to help those who are the focus of this conference: the LTOT patients.

Recommendations From the 6th LTOT Consensus Conference

- 1. In order to assure quality LTOT patient care, we recommend comprehensive education for patients, prescribing primary-care and specialist physicians, respiratory therapists (RTs) and other respiratory professionals, regulatory agencies, payers, families, caregivers, and the public. Easy-to-use, understandable, and readily available educational resources should be further developed to meet these needs, including printed and audiovisual materials, as well as Internet resources. LTOT education should also be incorporated into the curriculum of health-professional training programs for those who will provide care to LTOT patients. Consensus was not reached with regard to the practicality and requirement for credentialed educators to initiate and follow LTOT delivery to patients. However, it was agreed that such programs of education and/or certification should be developed and implemented to meet these potential needs in the future.
- 2. Clinical educational materials should be developed and provided to the patient and LTOT caregiver/provider, including but not limited to the following topics:
- Details of competitive bidding, with quality standards (supplier selection process)
- Self-monitoring (eg, spirometry, oxygen liter flow, and oxygen saturation)
- Reimbursement (eg, the criteria of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services [CMS] and other 3rd-party payers, including managed-care organizations)
- Compliance and adherence to the LTOT prescription
- Benefits and availability of pulmonary rehabilitation
- What to do in emergency situations (eg, loss of electrical power or malfunction of stationary/portable delivery devices, such as liquid-oxygen source equipment)
- 3. All patients of all groups should have access to the appropriate LTOT delivery systems and accessories, to optimize maximal medical compliance, activities of daily living inside and outside the home, and travel (planes, trains, automobiles, and cruise ships). Patients should have access to respiratory-care professionals adequately trained in LTOT, on an intermittent basis, in the home/place-of-residence or the clinic, depending on the patient's degree of mobility, as deemed appropriate by the physician or physician-designated respiratory-care professional following that patient's LTOT.
- 4. Standards for LTOT should be further developed that would provide clinical practice guidelines that, whenever possible, are evidence-based and/or supplemented by expert opinion. These standards should be interdisciplinary and address the role of not only physicians, but also of RTs and other allied health and respiratory professionals pro-

viding LTOT care. The pediatric patient should also be considered in the development of these standards. These standards, for example, could include, but should not be limited to, indications for LTOT, patient education, matching the proper LTOT delivery device and accessories to the patient's needs and abilities, appropriate monitoring, the role of pulmonary rehabilitation, and current policies and procedures for travel with supplemental oxygen therapy. Performance measures should be established to evaluate quality of care.

- 5. All patients who are provided an intermittent-flow device (which is one category of oxygen-conserving devices) must be clinically evaluated and titrated to the intermittent flow required by the specific device being employed, in order to ensure optimal oxygen delivery for that individual patient during rest and during routine activities of daily living.
- 6. Consideration should be encouraged for improving all of the processes involved in the delivery of LTOT. This would include education for physicians, case managers, discharge planners, home-medical-equipment providers, RTs, and other professionals involved in the management of LTOT patients.
- 7. Evidence-based criteria are needed to define "ambulatory," "portable," and "wearable" oxygen technologies as they apply to each specific patient's clinical and lifestyle needs, on an individualized basis. Until such evidence exists, the physician, patient, and home-medical-equipment provider must effectively collaborate, using their best efforts and state-of-the-art knowledge in that time frame to ensure that all LTOT users have access to the best and most appropriate technologies that fit their clinical and lifestyle needs.
- 8. LTOT should be reimbursed adequately for the LTOT delivery device, accessories, and associated LTOT services provided, linked to approved standards of care when available, and wherever possible based on clinical outcomes research. Reimbursement obstacles to providing quality LTOT in the patient's home or other place of residence by RTs or other respiratory-care professionals should be resolved, as well as obstacles to providing comprehensive pulmonary rehabilitation. Reimbursement should be based on the LTOT device that is "best for the patient," as prescribed by an MD or DO.
- 9. CMS and other payer organizations should be encouraged to support appropriate reimbursement that will ensure access to innovative technologies that are appropriate for the individual patient's clinical and daily lifestyle needs.
- 10. LTOT should be incorporated into the disease-management/health-maintenance approach to the comprehensive care of patients with chronic lung and/or cardiac disease. This recognizes the importance of providing an interdisciplinary continuum of care across all sites, including, but not limited to, facilitating access to pulmonary

- rehabilitation by adequate reimbursement. The benefits of such disease management should be evaluated on an ongoing basis by appropriate outcome evaluations and performance-improvement measures.
- 11. Funding should be provided for research to evaluate the outcomes and cost-effectiveness of LTOT, including, but not limited to, research on the safety and efficacy of established as well as new oxygen-delivery devices, and research on other indications for LTOT, such as enhancing quality of life and reduction of symptoms. This might be accomplished by joint projects with CMS and other payers and research organizations, and by helping to recruit patients needed for ongoing and future research studies.
- 12. All professional and lay organizations and societies should incorporate LTOT patients into their advocacy efforts for LTOT.
- 13. We recommend development of a demonstration project(s) to evaluate the utilization of resources for LTOT and to incorporate compliance data into a recertification process(es) when oxygen is prescribed in acute situations. An example might be establishment of a regional facility for conduct of recertification examinations. Such a center would be capable of evaluating LTOT prescription at rest, during exercise, and during sleep. Studies should utilize the equipment modality that the patient is currently using or will be using in the near future. Recommendations might also be made as to the LTOT modality that would provide greatest benefit for the patient, based on his or her individualized activities of daily living and lifestyle (at rest and during usual daily activity). This would relieve the prescribing primary-care physician, pulmonologist, RT, or home-medical-equipment provider from the responsibility of conducting these examinations. Feedback should be provided to the physician/clinician and home-medical-equipment provider. A study to evaluate the need for an initial LTOT prescription following an exacerbation of COPD and for the need to continue LTOT after recovery and stabilization is recommended.

Writing Committee
Dennis E Doherty MD (Co-Chair)
Thomas L Petty MD (Co-Chair)
William Bailey MD
Brian Carlin MD
Richard Cassaburi MD
Kent Christopher MD
Paul Kvale MD
Barry Make MD
Douglas Mapel MD
Paul Selecky MD
Jon Tiger

RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE 6TH LONG-TERM OXYGEN THERAPY CONSENSUS CONFERENCE

REFERENCES

- 1. Problems in prescribing and supplying oxygen for Medicare patients. Summary of a Conference on Home Oxygen Therapy held in Denver, February 28 and March 1, 1986. Am Rev Respir Dis 1986; 134(2):340–341.
- Further recommendations for prescribing and supplying long-term oxygen therapy. Summary of the Second Conference on Long-Term Oxygen Therapy held in Denver, Colorado, December 11–12, 1987.
 Am Rev Respir Dis 1988;138(3):745–747.
- 3. New problems in supply, reimbursement, and certification of medical necessity for long-term oxygen therapy. Summary of the Third

- Consensus Conference held in Washington, DC, March 15–16, 1990. Am Rev Respir Dis 1990;142(3):721–724.
- Petty TL, O'Donohue WJ Jr. Further recommendations for prescribing, reimbursement, technology development, and research in long-term oxygen therapy. Summary of the Fourth Oxygen Consensus Conference, Washington DC, October 15–16, 1993. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1994;150(3):875–877.
- Petty TL, Casaburi R. Recommendations of the 5th Oxygen Consensus Conference. Writing and Organizing Committees. Respir Care 2000;45(8):957–961.
- Delbecq AL, Van de Ven AH, Gustafson DH. Group techniques for program planning: a guide to nominal group technique and Delphi processes. Glenview, Illinois: Scott Foresman; 1975:174.

Appendix Sixth Oxygen Consensus Conference August 25-28, 2005 Final Attendee Roster

Peter Almenoff MD VHA National Program Department of Veterans Affairs Kansas City, Missouri

Charlie Atlas OxyTec Medical Corporation Anaheim Hills, California

William Bailey MD University of Alabama Lung Health Center Birmingham, Alabama

Gary Bain
Emphysema Foundation For Our Right
to Survive (EFFORTS)
Claycomo, Missouri

Peter Bliss OxyTec Medical Corporation Prior Lake, Minnesota

Tim Buckley RRT Walgreen's DME/Homecare Division Deerfield, Illinois (Representing American Association for Respiratory Care)

Mary Burns RN
Pulmonary Education/Research
Foundation
Lomita, California

Vicky Butler Luxfer Gas Cylinders Nottingham, England

Jim Byington Mountain Aire Medical Colorado Springs, Colorado

Brian Carlin MD
Allegheny General Hospital
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
(Representing American Association for
Cardiovascular and Pulmonary
Rehabilitation)

Richard Casaburi MD Harbor-UCLA Medical Center Torrance, California Geralyn Chin

Tyco Healthcare/Puritan Bennett Pleasanton, California

Kent Christopher MD
Pulmonary Division
University of Colorado Health Sciences
Center

Denver, Colorado

Lyn Cole LTOT Patient Littleton Colorado

Ronald Cook Emphysema Foundation For Our Right to Survive (EFFORTS) Morrow, Georgia

Terry deBruyn RRT Nonin Medical. Plymouth, Minnesota

Lexington, Kentucky

Dennis E Doherty MD (Co-Chair)
Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine,
University of Kentucky and
National Lung Health Education
Program (NLHEP)

Patrick Dunne RRT
Healthcare Productions
Fullerton, California
(Representing American Association for Respiratory Care)

Dan Easley COPD Partners Longmont Colorado

Gerard Ely Linde Gas Therapeutics Rueil Malmaison France

Bob Fary RRT Inogen Goleta, California Edna Fiore

NE California Patient/Family Advisory Council

and

COPD Connection newsletter

Littleton, Colorado

Dennis Fitzgerald RRT AirSep Corporation Buffalo, New York

John Frank Respironics Murrysville, Pennsylvania

Mary Gilmartin RN National Jewish Hospital Denver, Colorado

Len Godfrey Cardinal Health Respiratory McGaw Park, Illinois

John Goodman RRT Transtrachael Systems Englewood, Colorado

Laura Havrilla Respironics Murrysville, Pennsylvania

Jeff Hennelly Chart Industries (Caire) Burnsville, Minnesota

Mark Higley VGM and Associates Waterloo, Iowa

Mary Hirsh-Erslon RN Tyco Healthcare/Puritan Bennett Pleasanton, California

John Hiser RRT Respiratory Care Program Tarrant County College Hurst, Texas

Robert Hoover Jr MD Sunrise Medical Nashville, Tennessee

RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE 6TH LONG-TERM OXYGEN THERAPY CONSENSUS CONFERENCE

Eulia Hudson LTOT Patient Denver, Colorado

Pamela Jackson SeQual Technologies. San Diego, California

Stephen Krentler Precision Medical

Northhampton, Pennsylvania

Paul Kvale MD

American College of Chest Physicians

and

Henry Ford Health System

Detroit, Michigan

Marty Lannon LTOT Patient

Prior Lake, Minnesota

Frank Lazzaro Respironics

Murrysville, Pennsylvania

John Ledek

Invacare Corporation North Ridgeville, Ohio

Joe Lewarski RRT

Inogen

Goleta, Georgia

Angelo Libertore AirSep Corporation Buffalo, New York

Michael Littner MD

Pulmonary, Critical Care and Sleep

Medicine

Virginia Greater Los Angeles Healthcare

System

Sepulveda California

(Representing Department of Veterans

Affairs)

Holly Lockwood LTOT Patient

Grand Junction, Colorado

Karen Lui RN

Southwest Florida Heart Group

Fort Meyers, Florida

Barry Make MD Emphysema/Rehab

National Jewish Medical/Research

Center

Denver, Colorado

Douglas Mapel MD Lovelace Clinic Foundation Albuquerque, New Mexico

Jacquelyn McClure RRT National Respiratory Network

and

The Med Group

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Robert McCoy RRT Valley Inspired Products Apple Valley, Minnesota

Jon McLellan RRT Respironics

Murrysville, Pennsylvania

Carolyn McMahon

Cardinal Health Respiratory McGaw Park, Illinois

France Meder

Luxfer Gas Cylinders Riverside, California

Bob Messenger RRT

Invacare Elyria, Ohio

Bob Mogue

OxyTec Medical Corporation Anaheim Hills, California

Peter Mosby Lincare

Indianapolis, Indianapolis

Louise Nett RRT

National Lung Health Education

Program

Denver, Colorado

Marcia Nusgart, RPh

Coalition of Respiratory Care

Manufacturers Bethesda, Maryland

Adrian Oleck MD AdminaStar Federal Indianapolis, Indiana Jenna Pedersen RRT

Lincare

Clearwater, Florida

Vernon Pertelle RRT Alexandria, Virginia

(Representing American Association for

Home Care)

Thomas L Petty MD (Co-Chair)
Department of Medicine
University of Colorado

and

National Lung Health Education

Program

Denver, Colorado

Tom Pontzius

VGM and Associates/Nationwide

Respiratory Waterloo, Iowa

Phillip Porte

National Association for Medical Direction of Respiratory Care

Vienna, Virginia

Terry Preston Chad Therapeutics Leawood, Kansas

Ed Radtke

SeQual Technologies San Diego, California

J Daryl Risinger

Inogen

Goleta, California

Barbara Rogers

National Emphysema/COPD Association

New York, New York

Raj Roychoudhury

Tyco Healthcare/Puritan Bennett

Pleasanton, California

Vlady Rozenbaum COPD-AlabamaERT Silver Springs, Maryland

Shino Sakai

Teijin Pharma Limited

Tokyo, Japan

RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE 6TH LONG-TERM OXYGEN THERAPY CONSENSUS CONFERENCE

Robert Sandhaus MD Alpha-1 Foundation and National Jovich Hoon

National Jewish Hospital Denver, Colorado

Cheryl Sasse Minnesota Lung Association St Paul, Minnesota

Paul Selecky MD Hoag Hospital Newport Beach, California (Representing American College of Chest Physicians)

Len Serafino Chad Therapeutics Chatsworth, California

Steve Shaw Chart BioMedical (Caire) Marietta, Georgia

Clyde Shuman Precision Medical Northhampton, Pennsylvania

Rem Siekmann Sunrise Medical - Respiratory Product Division Somerset, Pennsylvania

Joseph Smith RRT Invacare Corporation Elyria, Ohio

Joseph Sokolowski Jr MD National Association for Medical Direction of Respiratory Care Medford Lakes, New Jersey

Patrick Strollo Jr MD UPMC Sleep Medicine Center Montefiore University Hospital Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Patricia Tanquary PhD Contra Costa Healthcare Martinez, California

Brian Tiep MD
Respiratory Disease Management
Institute
Irwindale, California
(Representing American Thoracic
Society)

Jon Tiger
National Home Oxygen Patients
Association
Wichita, Kansas

John Tooker MD American College of Physicians Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Jun Ueki MD School of Health Care and Nursing Department of Respiratory Medicine Juntendo University Tokyo, Japan

Peter Wagner MD American Thoracic Society and University of California, San Diego La Jolla, California

Scott Wilkinson Invacare Corporation Elyria, Ohio

Thomas Williams Inogen Scottsdale, Arizona

Jeff Wills HME/RT Advisory Council CV Medical Solutions Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Peter Wilson PortableOxygen.org Spotsylvania, Virginia

Monika Worlin Cardinal Health Respiratory McGaw Park, Illinois

David M Young Cardinal Health Respiratory McGaw Park, Illinois

Writing Committee

Lexington, Kentucky

Dennis E Doherty MD (Co-Chair)
Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care,
and Sleep Medicine
University of Kentucky
and
National Lung Health Education
Program

Thomas L Petty MD (Co-Chair)
Department of Medicine
University of Colorado
and
National Lung Health Education
Program
Denver, Colorado

William Bailey MD UAB Lung Health Center Birmingham, Alabama

Brian Carlin MD
Allegheny General Hospital
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
(Representing American Association for
Cardiovascular and Pulmonary
Rehabilitation)

Richard Casaburi MD Harbor-UCLA Medical Center Torrance, California

Kent Christopher MD Denver, Colorado

Paul Kvale MD American College of Chest Physicians Henry Ford Health System Detroit, Michigan

Barry Make MD National Jewish Medical/Research Center Denver, Colorado

Douglas Mapel MD Lovelace Clinic Foundation Albuquerque, New Mexico

Paul Selecky MD Hoag Hospital Newport Beach, California (Representing American College of Chest Physicians)

Jon Tiger National Home Oxygen Patients Association Wichita, Kansas