Compelling data demonstrate a strong association between sleep-disordered breathing (SDB) and cardiovascular disorders. The association is most consistent between obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) and hypertension. Epidemiologic and clinic-based studies provide evidence for an etiological role of OSA in hypertension, independent of obesity. Furthermore, several studies suggest amelioration of hypertension with therapy for sleep apnea. Emerging data also suggest a role for OSA in causing coronary artery disease. This association is bolstered by evidence suggesting that continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) therapy improves early signs of atherosclerosis and may impede progression to clinically important cardiovascular disease. SDB (both OSA and central sleep apnea) is frequently observed in patients with heart failure. OSA may be a risk factor for incident heart failure. The current data do not provide consistent evidence for whether treatment of SDB will improve survival or other end points in patients with heart failure, and larger trials are currently underway to better elucidate that relationship. Substantial evidence also links SDB to an increased risk of various arrhythmias. Treatment of SDB with CPAP appears to significantly attenuate that risk. Finally, several studies suggest SDB as a risk factor for stroke. Whether treatment of SDB reduces stroke risk, however, remains to be determined. In conclusion, persuasive data provide evidence for an association, probably causal, between sleep-disordered breathing and several cardiovascular disorders. Large randomized controlled trials will further help confirm the association and elucidate the cardiovascular benefits of SDB therapy.
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Introduction

Sleep-disordered breathing (SDB), expressed most frequently as obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), is a common syndrome, and becomes progressively more prevalent with increasing age. For the past several decades, a number of cross-sectional studies, performed primarily in relatively small clinical cohorts or using surrogates such as snoring as markers of SDB, have reported linkages between SDB and cardiovascular disease. For example, a high prevalence of OSA has been observed in patients with hypertension. Conversely, hypertension is found in a large percentage of OSA patients. With respect to cardiac disease, early studies linked OSA to ischemic heart disease. In addition, it has been shown that OSA is associated with deterioration in left-ventricular function and that treatment of OSA with continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) improves cardiac function. If SDB plays a causal role in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular disease, higher mortality would be expected among individuals with OSA. This hypothesis was supported in some but not all retrospective studies. Whether SDB is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease is an important public health question. According to the 1999–2000 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, the prevalence of hypertension in the United States in those over age 55 years is 48%. According to the year 2000 census, there are approximately 59 million Americans age 55 or older. If 25–50% of these individuals also have OSA, then 14–29 million of those people are at increased risk for cardiovascular disease or excess mortality related to OSA. If 25–50% of these individuals also have OSA, then 14–29 million of those people are at increased risk for cardiovascular disease or excess mortality related to OSA.

In the past several years a persuasive body of data now indicates a causal association, independent of obesity, between SDB and cardiovascular disorders such as hypertension, coronary artery disease, arrhythmias, congestive heart failure, and stroke. The association is strongest and most consistent between OSA and hypertension. This review will summarize the most important studies demonstrating the linkages between SDB and cardiovascular disease, and outline potential responsible mechanisms.

Obstructive Sleep Apnea and Hypertension

Cogent data confirm the association between OSA and hypertension. Several epidemiologic and clinic-based studies conducted in cross-sectional as well as longitudinal designs have demonstrated a strong and consistent relationship between these disorders. Peppard et al found a causal association between SDB at baseline and the presence of hypertension 4 years later in 709 subjects in the Wisconsin Sleep Cohort, and the odds of hypertension increased with increasing baseline AHI. The association was independent of age, sex, body mass index, waist and neck circumference, baseline hypertension, smoking, and alcohol use. The odds ratios for hypertension continued to be significant when those hypertensive at baseline were excluded. Recent analyses of data from this cohort demonstrated dose-response increased odds of incident nocturnal non-dipping of systolic blood pressure, a risk factor for hypertensive complications, in participants with SDB over an average of 7.2 years of follow-up.

A cross-sectional analysis of the Sleep Heart Health Study arrived at similar conclusions. Persons with an AHI ≥ 30 events/h had a 1.37-fold greater odds of hypertension than those without OSA (AHI < 1.5 events/h), after adjusting for several confounders. However, recent prospective analyses of data from the Sleep Heart Health Study found that the relationship between the baseline AHI and the risk of developing hypertension approached but did not quite reach statistical significance after adjustment for body mass index. However, the odds ratio in the longitudinal analysis was quite similar to that in the cross-sectional analysis, suggesting that a causal relationship is most likely present and obscured by residual confounding. The older age of participants in this study, exclusion of those with baseline hypertension, and different methods of diagnostic testing are among the potential reasons the results of these analyses differ from those of the Wisconsin Sleep Cohort.

Other epidemiologic and clinic-based studies provide corroborating evidence for an association between SDB and hypertension. In the Nurses Health Study, snoring, a surrogate symptom of SDB, was associated with an increased risk of incident hypertension over an 8-year follow-up period. The Outcomes of Sleep Disorders in Older Men Study (an ancillary study of the Osteoporotic Fractures in Men Study) revealed 1.6-fold higher odds of hypertension in elderly men with SDB. Persons with OSA also have a significantly higher prevalence of non-dipping of blood pressure at night. Conversely, drug-resistant or poorly controlled hypertension is associated with a high prevalence of concomitant undiagnosed SDB. Another line of evidence supporting SDB as a causal factor in hypertension is provided by trials demonstrating amelioration, albeit modest, of hypertension with therapy of sleep apnea. The changes in mean blood pressure after CPAP have been suggested to be on the order of 2–5 mm Hg. Studies cumulatively suggest better antihypertensive response with CPAP in patients with daytime sleepiness than those without excessive sleepiness. Refractory hypertension may also improve with CPAP therapy in persons with comorbid OSA. In addition, mandibular advancement devices and otolaryngological surgery are associated with improvement in blood pressure in patients with OSA. However, there has been a lack of consistent demonstration of antihypertensive effects of OSA therapy. This discrepancy may emanate from diverse populations and
In persons with coronary artery disease undergoing elective percutaneous intervention, OSA is associated with restenosis and vessel remodeling. There is also an increase in the incidence of major adverse cardiac events such as revascularizations and cardiac mortality after percutaneous intervention in patients with OSA. Furthermore, OSA patients demonstrate smaller increases in left-ventricular ejection fraction and regional wall motion within the infarct area days after percutaneous intervention. Finally, treatment of OSA after percutaneous intervention is associated with a reduction in the number of cardiac deaths.

Treatment of OSA may alleviate cardiovascular risk. One study revealed significantly lower combined end points of cardiovascular death, acute coronary syndrome, hospitalization for heart failure, or need for coronary revascularization in persons treated for OSA, compared to those with OSA who declined therapy (hazard ratio 0.24). In the study by Marin et al., cardiovascular disease risk in those with severe OSA treated with CPAP was the same as in non-OSA patients.

As outlined in the foregoing discussion, accumulating data in cross-sectional and longitudinal observational studies implicate SDB as an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease. In addition, evidence suggests that CPAP therapy improves early signs of atherosclerosis and may impede progression to clinically important cardiovascular disease. Cardiac biomarkers such as C-reactive protein may decline with CPAP treatment as well. Nevertheless, there have been no large-scale randomized studies demonstrating that treatment of SDB reduces cardiovascular disease risk. Recently, however, 2 large randomized clinical trials were started to determine whether OSA treatment impacts cardiovascular disease risk. In Europe, a large prospective randomized CPAP intervention in 400 patients with coronary artery disease and OSA is aimed at assessing the impact of CPAP treatment on a composite end point of new revascularization, myocardial infarction, stroke, and cardiovascular mortality over a 3-year period in persons with coronary artery disease and OSA. In the United States, the multicenter HeartBEAT study will randomize 352 subjects with OSA and coronary artery disease or coronary artery disease risk factors to CPAP, low-flow nocturnal oxygen, and health-lifestyle instruction to determine whether CPAP or oxygen affects cardiac biomarkers. Another clinical trial, the Continuous Positive Airway Pressure Treatment of Obstructive Sleep Apnea to Prevent Cardiovascular Disease, is being conducted in several international sites to determine whether CPAP will reduce incident cardiovascular disease. Enrollment of 5,000 subjects is planned, with study sites in Australia, China, India and New Zealand.

In conclusion, data suggesting an association between OSA and clinical and subclinical coronary artery disease continue to accrue. Large prospective trials will help better elucidate the impact of OSA therapy on improvement of cardiovascular morbidity.

Sleep-Disordered Breathing and Congestive Heart Failure

SDB is present in approximately three fourths of patients with symptomatic or decompensated systolic heart failure. The prevalence is very high, even in those with unstable chronic heart failure. Cross-sectional analyses from the Sleep Heart Health Study data revealed an adjusted odds ratio of 2.2 for self-reported heart failure among subjects with OSA. Of all heart-failure patients with sleep apnea in one study, 40% had AHI > 30 events/h. Patients with SDB were older and had higher body mass...
index and brain-natriuretic peptide, despite similar left-
ventricular ejection fraction and functional class of heart
failure. There is little published longitudinal data, but pre-
liminary longitudinal analyses of data from the Sleep Heart
Health Study indicate that men, but not women, have an
increased risk of incident congestive heart failure as a
consequence of SDB, even after exclusion of subjects with
central sleep apnea.52

Both OSA and central sleep apnea are encountered in
heart-failure patients, and the proportion of these events
has differed in different studies. It has been suggested that
the reduction in PaCO2 and increase in lung-chemoreceptor
circulation time in such patients can result in an overnight
shift in the predominant apnea type from obstructive to
central. Central sleep apnea is frequently accompanied by
Cheyne-Stokes respiration, a breathing pattern character-
ized by repetitive sinusoidal waxing and waning of tidal
volume amplitude.

Central sleep apnea is an independent predictor of mor-
tality in patients with heart failure or cardiac transplanta-
tion.50,51 The hazard ratio for early mortality in heart-fail-
ure patients with central sleep apnea in one study was 2.1
relative to those without central sleep apnea.50 Cheyne-
Stokes respiration is also associated with higher mortal-
ity.52 Furthermore, central sleep apnea/Cheyne-Stokes res-
piration may also promote cardiac electrical instability,
with impaired heart-rate variability and enhanced occur-
rence of cardiac arrhythmias.53

Obstructive events are associated with a marked nega-
tive swing in intrathoracic pressure, which can lead to
increased pre-load and after-load. Furthermore, sympa-
thetic activation resulting from SDB and arousals can
deteriorate cardiac function. CPAP therapy improves left-
ventricular ejection fraction and quality of life in heart-
failure patients with OSA.54,55 CPAP use also decreases
myocardial irritability and risk of arrhythmias.56

The prevalence of SDB is high not only in systolic heart
failure but also in heart failure with normal ejection fra-
tion. One study of 247 patients with heart failure with
normal ejection fraction found SDB in 69%, OSA in 40%,
and central sleep apnea in 29%.57 Central sleep apnea was
associated with higher pulmonary artery wedge pressure
and brain-natriuretic peptide, and lower PaCO2. Persons
with central sleep apnea had a larger left atrial diameter than
those with OSA, who in turn had more pronounced atrial
enlargement than those with no SDB. However, whether
diastolic dysfunction leads to SDB or vice versa is yet to
be clearly elucidated. Presence of left-ventricular hyper-
trophy in OSA and regression of hypertrophy with CPAP
has been documented in smaller studies.58,59

The effect of PAP therapy on central sleep apnea in
patients with heart failure is not clearly understood. The
Canadian Continuous Positive Airway Pressure Trial for
Congestive Heart Failure Patients With Central Sleep Ap-
nea (CanPAP) trial was designed to answer this question.60
CPAP improved nocturnal oxygenation, cardiac ejection
fraction, and 6-minute walk distance, and lowered norepi-
nephrine level, but did not improve heart-transplant-free
survival or significantly alleviate central sleep apnea in
many of the trial participants. Post-hoc analyses revealed
significantly better transplant-free survival (hazard ratio
0.371, P = .043) in subjects whose AHI had been reduced
to less than 15 events/h, compared to control subjects.
Whether advanced methods of applying PAP in heart-fail-
ure patients will be more efficacious is unknown. Small
clinical studies suggest that both bi-level PAP and adap-
tive servo ventilation may benefit some patients with cen-
tral sleep apnea and heart failure.61 Larger trials are un-
derway.

Some studies have evaluated the effect of cardiac pac-
ing on SDB. While one study suggested that atrial over-
drive pacing can improve SDB,62 other studies have failed
to consistently confirm this effect.53,64 It appears that pac-
ing may have some benefits in alleviating SDB in patients
with heart failure with predominantly central sleep ap-
nea.65 However, larger trials are required to clearly under-
stand the magnitude and physiologic basis of this effect.
The effect of cardiac resynchronization therapy on central
sleep apnea/Cheyne-Stokes respiration in patients with
chronic heart failure has been more consistently demon-
strated.66,67 An improvement in cardiac output and de-
creased pulmonary vascular congestion may be responsi-
ble for improvement of central events in heart failure.

In summary, SDB in the form of both OSA and central
sleep apnea is frequently observed in patients with heart
failure. Improvement in cardiac function with cardiac re-
synchronization therapy may improve central sleep apnea.
OSA may be a risk factor for incident heart failure, but it
is currently unclear whether treatment of either OSA or
central sleep apnea, or both forms of SDB will reduce the
incidence of heart failure or improve survival in patients
with heart failure.

Sleep-Disordered Breathing and Arrhythmias

Diverse cardiac arrhythmias such as atrial fibrillation,
nonsustained ventricular tachycardia, and complex ven-
tricular ectopy have been described in persons with SDB.68
It has been suggested that atrial fibrillation has a strong
association with central sleep apnea, whereas complex ven-
tricular ectopy is more closely associated with OSA.69
Conversely, the prevalence of undiagnosed OSA in pa-
tients with cardiac pacemaker implantation for diverse rea-
sons is extremely high.70 Several mechanisms such as hyp-
oxia, sympathetic activation and swings in intrathoracic
pressure may explain this association. Recent analyses from
the Sleep Heart Health Study demonstrated a 17-fold in-
creased odds of an arrhythmia (atrial fibrillation and non-
sustained ventricular tachycardia) occurring after a respiratory disturbance than an arrhythmia occurring after normal breathing during sleep, providing corroborating evidence for the link between SDB events and arrhythmias. Arrhythmias can be severe and potentially life-threatening. One study of 112 persons who had undergone polysomnography and had died suddenly from cardiac cause suggested that the peak in sudden cardiac death in those with OSA occurs primarily from midnight to 6 AM (ie, during the sleeping hours) (relative risk 2.6).

The risk of arrhythmias in OSA significantly decreases with CPAP therapy. In an elegant study, arrhythmias were evaluated in 23 patients with moderate to severe OSA over a 14-month period, using a subcutaneously implanted loop recorder. The follow-up duration included 2 months with no OSA therapy, and 12 months thereafter on CPAP. The occurrence of severe arrhythmias was common prior to CPAP therapy, but decreased rapidly after initiation of CPAP therapy, with no ectopy recorded during the last 6 months of follow-up. Another study demonstrated lower recurrence of atrial fibrillation after elective cardioversion in OSA patients who were treated with CPAP therapy.

In summary, substantial evidence links SDB as an etiologic factor in the pathogenesis of various arrhythmias, particularly atrial fibrillation. Treatment of SDB with CPAP appears to reduce this risk.

Sleep-Disordered Breathing and Stroke

Several studies suggest that SDB is a risk factor for stroke. However, these studies have been primarily case series, case-control studies, or used snoring as a surrogate for objective documentation of SDB. More recently, an observational cohort study in a clinical population found an increased rate of the composite outcome of stroke or death in patients with OSA over a 4-year interval, in comparison to those without OSA, with an adjusted hazard ratio of 1.97. Similar findings have been observed in the Wisconsin Cohort Study over a 4-year interval, although the fully adjusted odds ratio failed to reach statistical significance, in part because of inadequate study power. More recently, analysis of prospective data from the Sleep Heart Health Study suggested that severe SDB is an independent risk factor for stroke only in men. Whether treatment of SDB reduces stroke risk remains to be determined.

Although there are now substantial data indicating that SDB is a risk factor for stroke, the converse also is true in that stroke appears to be a risk factor for the development of SDB. Unfortunately, CPAP is not well tolerated in post-stroke patients, and long-term adherence to CPAP therapy is low. Nevertheless, it appears that long-term survival after stroke is better among patients who adhere to CPAP therapy.

To summarize, SDB appears to be a risk factor for stroke, and, conversely, stroke is a risk factor for SDB. However, it is unclear whether treatment of SDB reduces long-term stroke risk. SDB also is a risk factor for subsequent cardiovascular disease events after stroke. CPAP treatment of SDB after stroke may reduce that risk, but long-term adherence is difficult to achieve.

Potential Pathogenetic Mechanisms of Cardiovascular Disease in Sleep-Disordered Breathing

Several SDB-related mechanisms, including endothelial dysfunction, hypoxia, inflammation, obesity, metabolic dysregulation, and sympathetic activation, may influence the pathogenesis of cardiovascular disease in persons with SDB. OSA is associated with intermittent hypoxemia, consequent to intermittent upper-airway occlusion. Hypoxia and attendant hypercapnia augment sympathetic nervous system activity. Recurrent hypoxemia-re-oxygenation produces sustained hypertension in rodents, via sympathetic activation. The intrathoracic pressure swings and arousals associated with hypopneic and apneic events also boost sympathetic activity.

Intermittent hypoxemia may also be pivotal in the genesis of systemic inflammation in OSA. Intermittent hypoxemia increases the expression of transcription factors such as activator protein 1 and nuclear factor kappa B, which then up-regulates expression of inflammatory cytokines and adhesion molecules. Indeed, the circulating levels of C-reactive protein, soluble interleukin-6 receptors, intracellular adhesion molecule-1, and vascular-cell adhesion molecule-1 are elevated in persons with OSA. Furthermore, the propensity of monocytes to adhere to vascular endothelium is increased. Increased levels and adherence of inflammatory mediators contribute to atherosclerosis. Production, migration, and adherence of these mediators is favorably modified by OSA treatment.

Additionally, hypoxia re-oxygenation, sympathetic activation, and increased lipid peroxidation amplify free-radical production. There is enhanced free-radical production from neutrophils and monocytes in OSA, and this ameliorates with therapy. Oxidant stress contributes to endothelial injury, increased production of adhesion molecules in the endothelium, diminished vasodilator production, and atherosclerosis.

The hypoxia-regeneration, inflammation, and oxidative stress contribute to endothelial injury and, thence, vasoconstriction, hypercoagulability, and atherosclerosis. These pathways may constitute the mechanistic paradigm whereby OSA mediates the genesis or worsening of hypertension and other cardiovascular disorders (Fig. 1).
Fig. 1. Pathogenetic mechanisms whereby sleep-disordered breathing leads to cardiovascular sequelae. (Adapted from Reference 92.)

Sleep-Disordered Breathing and Excess Mortality

As previously discussed, most retrospective studies indicate that OSA is a risk factor for decreased long-term survival. More recently, 3 observational cohort studies confirmed that SDB appears to increase mortality. In an 18-year follow-up of the Wisconsin Sleep Cohort, the adjusted hazard ratio for all-cause mortality with severe SDB versus no SDB was 3.0, and the cardiovascular-disease-specific hazard ratio was 5.2. Similarly, a 14-year follow-up of the Busselton Health Study found the fully adjusted hazard ratio for all-cause mortality associated with moderate to severe OSA was 6.24. However, in both studies the confidence intervals were wide and the precision of the estimate is uncertain. More recently, with an average follow-up duration of 8.2 years, the Sleep Heart Health Study found a fully adjusted hazard ratio for all-cause mortality associated with moderate to severe OSA was 6.24. Although treatment of OSA with CPAP reduced the risk of fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events in a clinically derived observational cohort composed of only men, a randomized controlled trial has not been performed, so it remains to be definitively determined whether treatment of SDB reduces all-cause mortality.

Summary

There is compelling evidence suggesting an association, probably causal, between SDB, especially OSA, and diabetes and cardiovascular disease. As previously discussed, most retrospective studies indicate that OSA is a risk factor for decreased long-term survival. More recently, 3 observational cohort studies confirmed that SDB appears to increase mortality. In an 18-year follow-up of the Wisconsin Sleep Cohort, the adjusted hazard ratio for all-cause mortality with severe SDB versus no SDB was 3.0, and the cardiovascular-disease-specific hazard ratio was 5.2. Similarly, a 14-year follow-up of the Busselton Health Study found the fully adjusted hazard ratio for all-cause mortality associated with moderate to severe OSA was 6.24. However, in both studies the confidence intervals were wide and the precision of the estimate is uncertain. More recently, with an average follow-up duration of 8.2 years, the Sleep Heart Health Study found a fully adjusted hazard ratio for all-cause mortality associated with moderate to severe OSA was 6.24. Although treatment of OSA with CPAP reduced the risk of fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events in a clinically derived observational cohort composed of only men, a randomized controlled trial has not been performed, so it remains to be definitively determined whether treatment of SDB reduces all-cause mortality.

verse cardiovascular diseases, as well as increased mortality. Large, prospective, long-term studies will help further confirm this relationship. The current data also suggest a beneficial role of CPAP therapy in attenuating the risk of adverse cardiovascular sequelae. However, conclusive evidence of the salutary role of SDB therapy will require large randomized controlled studies designed with careful attention to the potential confounders, as well as aimed at elucidating the mechanistic pathways whereby SDB therapy provides cardiovascular benefits.
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Discussion

Kapur: Stuart, a lot of people accept the fact that OSA is a cause of hypertension, but when we’re talking about coronary disease, congestive heart failure, or stroke, where do you think we are on the continuum to proving causation. If we’re not there yet, what needs to be done to able to make that statement about these other relationships?

Quan: I think it would be good to have more data on women and various other segments of the population. In hypertension there are a lot of (albeit small) clinical studies where they used CPAP and found a decrease in blood pressure or they used less drug. In coronary heart disease we don’t have good data to show that CPAP does a lot. There is the Marin study and the Yaggi study, but neither were randomized controlled studies. I don’t like the Yaggi study because they used a combined outcome variable of stroke or death, and I think that’s very messy. So was it stroke or death?

Anyway, I think that we need interventional studies to demonstrate that doing something is important. We also need to determine if some segments of the population are more susceptible to the adverse cardiovascular impact of sleep apnea.

Owens: In the study you showed with the C-reactive protein data, it seemed that the patients who were non-adherent had a lower C-reactive protein level at the start of the trial, but at the end of the trial their C-reactive protein was about the same as those who had been adherent to treatment. Is C-reactive protein a marker of symptoms from the disease? And can we use it to predict who might be adherent to treatment? Or can we use biomarkers to predict who needs to be treated?


Quan: That’s why we’re doing the HeartBEAT study. About the Chest article, as a clinician reading that sort of paper you say, “Oh yeah, they had a large number of people,” but to an epidemiologist that’s puny. Those differences in C-reactive protein between people who refused and people who got treated weren’t significant, but if they studied 1,000 people, they have might been significant. It’s hard to know.

other cohort studies.

Quan: That was brought up by one of the reviewers for PLoS [Public Library of Science] Medicine, in looking at whether age of death was a pre-specified outcome variable.

Malhotra: But the 70 cut-off wasn’t?

Quan: No, but we had to put a cut-off somewhere. We had tried a few other cut-off points and it didn’t matter too much.

Malhotra: If you had to redesign that study, what would you do differently?

Quan: Basically recruit an entire new cohort.

Malhotra: Not a survivor cohort?

Quan: Not a survivor cohort, but the cohort we used was recruited for financial reasons. The National Institutes of Health wanted to do an observational cohort study. The Sleep Heart Health Study,1 all told, in direct costs was probably $20 million. Observational cohort studies are very expensive, and so they felt that they could reduce the costs by strapping a study onto other studies. They basically came up with the design that’s been used subsequently by several other cohort studies.

Malhotra: Would it have been cheaper if you didn’t have to go 8 years? For example, if you had a bigger sample with more events, could you have after 4 years—which was the original design?

Quan: It’s possible, but I think that although the Wisconsin cohort was much smaller,1 their mortality data are out a long time too. Terry Young’s confidence intervals in the mortality study were very wide,2 which means that the precision was very low.


Malhotra: Terry Young’s study was in a community cohort as well, right? I’m wondering if you wouldn’t have had a more symptomatic, more affected group and a bigger sample and not needed 18 years of follow-up? I don’t know.

Quan: That’s possible. If I were to design this again and money was no object, I would recruit a huge cohort, more along the size of the Women’s Health Initiative.1 And it would include people who were non-symptomatic. Obviously, by having such a huge cohort you would have symptomatic and non-symptomatic individuals. You would answer the question, which symptoms are important? You’d have a wide spectrum. I say we need to do a birth cohort study like the National Children’s Study.2 That would be ideal. We then could wait for about 40 years for some answers.


Malhotra: I talked to the Nurses’ Health Study (http://www.channing.harvard.edu/nhs) people. They prospectively followed 100,000 women. You can’t do it for less than $100 million unless you have some kind of sub-standard diagnostic technique.

Quan: It is very hard to do these studies inexpensively. You could cut down by doing a limited sleep study. However, the spinoff on these cohort studies is that the Sleep Heart Health Study has published more than 50 papers, many of which don’t relate to the primary hypothesis at all, because we accumulated all this information. If we had not done EEG [electroencephalography], we would not have had those data on arousals, for example.

Kapur: Stuart, given the data you presented on higher cardiovascular risk and higher potential cardiovascular mortality in an observational cohort, particularly in men and those who have more severe apnea, are there ethical limits on what kind of randomized clinical trials we can do? This is the sort of issue that people who design the studies interact with IRBs [institutional review boards] about. The IRB will ask questions like, “Don’t we know this already?” How can you randomize a patient with severe apnea to CPAP versus placebo?

Quan: You have to ask whether there’s equipoise. Certainly, you can do it for 6 months or longer. In the APPLES study1 we had a sham arm of 6 months, and the amount of time from when people were first identified for possible recruitment to when they finished the study was probably in excess of 8 months. Most of those people have had the condition for a long time. You could argue that every second counts and you’ve got to get them treated, but that’s not going to answer your question.


Gay: I was thinking about that when I saw you had an oxygen arm in that. Are you worried that you might make them worse with oxygen, by prolong-
ing apneas in someone with severe apneas? Oxygen isn’t the standard of care anywhere for OSA, so I’m curious how you got to that oxygen arm.

**Quan:** The oxygen was put in there because of our data from the Sleep Heart Health Study\(^1\) that hypoxemia appears to be factor responsible for the increase in cardiovascular disease with sleep apnea. Some data indicate that oxygen might prolong the apneas. However, there are some exclusions from HeartBEAT for people with very bad sleep apnea.\(^2\) I’ve also given oxygen in the clinic to people who have refused to wear CPAP, and they did not appear to get worse.

**Gay:** We all have.

**Quan:** So I don’t worry too much about that, personally.

**Mokhlesi:** How do you translate this? And I assume that some of the data from the Sleep Heart Health Study has not been published yet.

**Quan:** The mortality data are in *PLoS Medicine.*\(^1\) The cardiovascular data\(^2\) are under revision, hopefully the last revision.


**Mokhlesi:** I understand the importance of population-based studies, but what do you do when you have a woman who is 50 years old and has severe OSA with an AHI of 50? At the end of the day, we don’t treat populations, we treat patients. How do we connect these? Do you think there’s a danger of people in the community interpreting these data and saying that a 50-year-old woman with an AHI of 50 shouldn’t even get treated, because there’s no mortality benefit?

**Quan:** Even if sleep apnea wasn’t a risk factor for any subsequent disease, if the person is symptomatic, it’s reasonable to treat them. But what about a person with an AHI of 50 but they’re asymptomatic? I don’t think the data are conclusive enough to say that I wouldn’t treat that individual. I might point out to the person that perhaps it’s a little unclear, but I think that they might want to give this a try.

**Malhotra:** I think that’s the most important point. In the Sleep Heart Health Study there are very few women in their 50s with AHIs in the 50s. I think people are drawing conclusions that aren’t supported by the data.

**Quan:** Absolutely.

**Parthasarathy:** Are there gender differences in biomarkers?

**Quan:** I don’t know. I have no doubt that there probably are differences, but I haven’t completely reviewed that literature yet.

**Malhotra:** Which biomarkers?

**Parthasarathy:** Any.

**Malhotra:** We have done vascular studies in a cohort of obese OSA patients and matched controls, and have seen some gender differences.

**Parthasarathy:** You mean that the augmentation makes a difference?

**Malhotra:** We have some data that are not yet published.