

# The Value of Expired Gas Analysis Is Never Expired

Complications of mechanical ventilation increase with duration. Delayed weaning and premature weaning are both associated with increasing complications. In addition, adverse events and deterioration relating to the ventilator system could happen any time. Therefore, accurate monitoring of the patient's respiratory condition and adjustment of ventilator settings are essential during mechanical ventilation.<sup>1</sup> End-tidal CO<sub>2</sub> (P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub>) monitoring is useful in mechanically ventilated patients in many situations, such as intensive care and anesthesia. Although P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> is not a replacement or substitute for assessing the arterial CO<sub>2</sub> (P<sub>aCO<sub>2</sub></sub>), P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> monitoring allows continuous evaluation of alveolar ventilation, severity of pulmonary disease, and response to therapy in mechanically ventilated patients.<sup>2,3</sup>

The closed-loop knowledge-based algorithm in the SmartCare automated ventilation and weaning system (Dräger Medical, Lübeck, Germany) was originally designed to continuously adapt the ventilatory assistance during pressure support ventilation to the patient's need, to manage a strategy of gradually decreasing the ventilatory assistance, and to indicate when the patient is able to breathe without assistance.<sup>4</sup> As compared to a physician-controlled weaning process, the SmartCare computer-driven system reduced mechanical ventilation duration and intensive-care-unit stay but did not change the re-intubation rate.<sup>5</sup> However, I still am not convinced that SmartCare is any better than skilled clinicians. When the SmartCare system was compared to weaning managed by experienced critical care nurses, with a 1:1 nurse-to-patient ratio, there was no substantial difference in weaning duration.<sup>6</sup>

---

## SEE THE ORIGINAL STUDY ON PAGE 278

---

SmartCare uses P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> as a safety parameter in addition to respiratory rate and tidal volume to automatically control the pressure support level. When the respiratory rate is low, for example, P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> can help differentiate between central hypoventilation leading to hypercapnia and hyperventilation with hypocapnia. In this issue of the Journal, Galia and coworkers<sup>7</sup> extend the role of P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> measurement in patients supported with the SmartCare system. Because a spontaneously breathing patient intermittently has higher individual P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> values than the averaged P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> value during prolonged expiration, they hypothe-

sized that using the maximum P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> value instead of the averaged P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> value would improve P<sub>aCO<sub>2</sub></sub> estimation and would improve the classification of ventilatory diagnosis in the SmartCare system. Galia et al monitored breath-by-breath P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> in 36 patients and compared the maximum P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> values, averaged P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> values, and P<sub>aCO<sub>2</sub></sub> values from arterial blood samples.

As they expected, the maximum P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> was closer to P<sub>aCO<sub>2</sub></sub> than the averaged P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub>. The averaged P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> was smaller than P<sub>aCO<sub>2</sub></sub> by 10 ± 6 mm Hg, whereas that discrepancy decreased to 6 ± 6 mm Hg with the maximum P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub>. They also observed that using the maximum P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> value changed the SmartCare classification in 1.6% of the breaths. A great deal of interesting information was obtained in the study. They confirmed the role of P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> in evaluating P<sub>aCO<sub>2</sub></sub> in mechanically ventilated patients. The maximum P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> may lead to more accurate adjustment of automated ventilation systems.

However, after reading the report I was left with several questions. First, can the findings be extrapolated to other patients with different backgrounds and severity of illness? Second, is the maximum P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> value also useful in other ventilation modes, such as assist control ventilation and intermittent mandatory ventilation? How do auto-PEEP and other cardiorespiratory variables affect the role of the maximum P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub>? And finally, does use of the maximum P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> value improve the weaning outcome in mechanically ventilated patients? The study by Galia et al<sup>7</sup> was a simple observational study, with no randomization. To clarify the role of the maximum P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> value in the weaning process with the SmartCare system, further study may be warranted, using a randomized design and a larger number of patients. Although P<sub>ETCO<sub>2</sub></sub> monitoring is useful in many clinical situations, the challenge to clinicians is to objectively determine when this monitoring is indicated.

**Hideaki Imanaka MD**

Department of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine  
Tokushima University Hospital  
Tokushima, Japan

## REFERENCES

1. MacIntyre NR, Cook DJ, Ely EW Jr, Epstein SK, Fink JB, Heffner JE, Hess D, et al; American College of Chest Physicians; American As-

- sociation for Respiratory Care; American College of Critical Care Medicine. Evidence-based guidelines for weaning and discontinuing ventilatory support: a collective task force facilitated by the American College of Chest Physicians; the American Association for Respiratory Care; and the American College of Critical Care Medicine. *Chest* 2001;120(6 Suppl):375S-95S.
2. McArthur CD. Capnography/capnometry during mechanical ventilation. AARC Clinical Practice Guideline. *Respir Care* 2003;48(5):534-539.
  3. Hess DR. Capnometry. In: Tobin MJ, editor. *Principles and Practice of Intensive Care Monitoring*. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2004; 377-400.
  4. Dojat M, Harf A, Touchard D, Laforest M, Lemaire F, Brochard L. Evaluation of a knowledge-based system providing ventilatory management and decision for extubation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996;153(3):997-1004.
  5. Lellouche F, Mancebo J, Jolliet P, Roeseler J, Schortgen F, Dojat M, Cabello B, et al. A multicenter randomized trial of computer-driven protocolized weaning from mechanical ventilation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;174(8):894-900.
  6. Rose L, Presneill JJ, Johnston L, Cade JF. A randomised, controlled trial of conventional versus automated weaning from mechanical ventilation using SmartCare/PS. *Intensive Care Med* 2008;34(10):1788-1795.
  7. Galia F, Brimiouille S, Bonnier F, Vandenberghe N, Dojat M, Vincent JL, Brochard LJ. Use of maximum end-tidal CO<sub>2</sub> values to improve end-tidal CO<sub>2</sub> monitoring accuracy. *Respir Care* 2011;56(3):278-283.

---

The author has disclosed no conflicts of interest.

Correspondence: Hideaki Imanaka MD, Department of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, Tokushima University Hospital, 3-18-15, Kuramoto, Tokushima 770-8503 Japan. E-mail: imanakah@clin.med.tokushima-u.ac.jp.

DOI: 10.4187/respcare.01237