

# Higher Class of Obesity Is Associated With Delivery of Higher Tidal Volumes in Subjects With ARDS

Saminder S Kalra, Matthew Siuba, Anupol Panitchote, Eduardo Mireles-Cabodevila, Robert L Chatburn, Sudhir Krishnan, and Abhijit Duggal

**BACKGROUND:** Obese subjects are at higher risk of development and progression of ARDS. There are limited data regarding mechanical ventilation practices and use of adjunctive therapies in subjects with ARDS across different obesity classes. We hypothesized that the adherence to lung-protective ventilation would be worse with rising body mass index class in patients with ARDS. **METHODS:** We conducted a retrospective observational study of subjects with ARDS. We evaluated the differences in ventilator settings, airway pressures, gas exchange, use of rescue therapies, length of hospital stay, and mortality among subjects based on the obesity classes of the WHO. **RESULTS:** The study included 613 subjects with ARDS: 21.4% were normal weight, 25% were overweight, and 53.7% were obese; 33.3% of the obese subjects met criteria for class I–II obesity, while 20.4% were class III obese (morbid obesity). On day 1, 53% of subjects with class III obesity had tidal volumes > 8 mL/kg, compared to 26% of the subjects with normal weight. In addition, 48% of the morbidly obese subjects received at least one rescue therapy as compared to 37% of normal weight subjects and 36% of overweight subjects. There were significant differences in the use of rescue therapies among the groups. In a multivariable model, subjects with class III obesity were significantly more likely to receive tidal volume > 8 mL/kg predicted body weight on day 1 when compared with subjects with normal weight (odds ratio 3.14, 95% CI 1.78–5.57). There was no difference in length of stay in ICU or hospital, duration of mechanical ventilation, or adjusted ICU or hospital mortality among the 4 groups. **CONCLUSIONS:** In this study, the risk of exposure to higher tidal volumes and the need for specific rescue therapies rose with higher classes of obesity in subjects with ARDS. More research is needed to identify how to better implement lung-protective ventilation in patients with obesity. *Key words:* ARDS; mechanical ventilation; obesity; morbid obesity; class III obesity; mortality. [Respir Care 2020;65(10):1519–1526. © 2020 Daedalus Enterprises]

## Introduction

Nearly 40% of critically ill patients are classified as obese, which poses unique challenges with mechanical

ventilation.<sup>1</sup> Obesity alters the structural mechanics of the chest wall and the lung parenchyma. These changes manifest physiologically with decreases in total lung capacity, functional residual capacity, and vital capacity. High pleural pressures also occur as a result of decreased chest wall compliance.<sup>2–4</sup> Obese subjects are at a higher risk of

---

Dr Kalra is affiliated with the Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care, Department of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida. Mr Chatburn and Drs Siuba, Mireles-Cabodevila, Krishnan, and Duggal are affiliated with the Department of Critical Care, Respiratory Institute, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, Ohio. Dr Panitchote is affiliated with the Division of Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand.

Dr Kalra presented a version of this paper at the 83rd annual meeting of the American College of Chest Physicians, held October 28 to November 1, 2017, in Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

---

Mr Chatburn has disclosed relationships with IngMar Medical, Drive/DeVilbiss, and imtmedical. The remaining authors have disclosed no conflicts of interest.

Correspondence: Abhijit Duggal MD MPH MSc, Department of Critical Care Medicine, Respiratory Institute, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, Ohio. E-mail: duggala2@ccf.org.

DOI: 10.4187/respcare.07110

exaggerated differences in regional air flow, have a higher incidence of dorsocaudal parenchymal atelectasis, and can have significant differences in plateau pressures ( $P_{plat}$ ) calculated with transrespiratory pressure compared to transpulmonary pressure.<sup>5</sup> These physiologic changes may have a significant impact on the development and progression of ARDS.<sup>6</sup>

Lung-protective ventilation remains the cornerstone of care for patients with ARDS.<sup>7</sup> Important aspects in the management of ARDS include the use of high-PEEP strategies,<sup>8,9</sup> a fluid-restrictive strategy,<sup>10</sup> early use of neuromuscular blocking agents,<sup>11</sup> and prone position ventilation.<sup>12</sup> Previous studies have reported that ventilator strategies in obese subjects are suboptimal because they are more likely to receive tidal volumes based on actual body weight instead of predicted body weight,<sup>13</sup> have higher  $P_{plat}$ ,<sup>14</sup> and receive inadequate PEEP.<sup>15</sup> Use of prone position ventilation is also inconsistent in this population.<sup>16</sup>

The purpose of this study was to describe the practice regarding mechanical ventilation and use of adjunctive therapies in subjects with ARDS across different obesity levels at a tertiary-care academic medical center. We hypothesized that the adherence to lung-protective ventilation worsens with rising body mass index class in subjects with ARDS, with the results including a potentially increased need for rescue therapies and increased mortality.

## Methods

This retrospective cohort study was approved by the Cleveland Clinic Institutional Review board. We included all subjects admitted to the medical ICU with a diagnosis of ARDS based on the Berlin definition<sup>17</sup> from January 2010 to May 2017. We excluded patients  $\leq 18$  y old, pregnant patients, and those with body mass index  $< 18.5$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> due to high risk of confounding given strong association with chronic disease. Subjects who underwent mechanical ventilation for  $< 48$  h or had missing data about body mass index were also excluded. The cohort was divided into 4 subgroups based on the World Health Organization (WHO) obesity classes:<sup>18</sup> normal weight (body mass index 18.5–24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), overweight (25–29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), obese (class I, 30–34.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; class II, 35–39.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and morbidly obese (class III,  $\geq 40$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>). We collected baseline demographics, cause of ARDS, comorbidities, and oxygenation index ( $F_{IO_2} \times \text{mean airway pressure}/P_{aO_2}$ ).

Mechanical ventilation parameters were recorded on days 1, 2, and 3 of mechanical ventilation. Adherence to PEEP guidelines was defined as observed PEEP greater than or equal to that recommended by the ARDSnet PEEP/ $F_{IO_2}$  table.<sup>8</sup> Severity of illness was measured with the Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) and Acute

## QUICK LOOK

### Current knowledge

Studies have indicated that ventilator strategies in obese patients are suboptimal. Obese patients are more likely to receive tidal volumes based on actual body weight instead of predicted body weight, are exposed to higher plateau pressures, and receive inadequate PEEP. The existing literature has not explored whether this risk is higher with increasing class of obesity.

### What this paper contributes to our knowledge

Our study indicates that subjects with ARDS with higher obesity class were more likely to receive higher tidal volumes than normal weight subjects, and the risk increased with increasing class of obesity. With higher classes of obesity, subjects were more likely to need rescue therapies such as neuromuscular blocking agents and inhaled vasodilators.

Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE III), using scores from the first 24 h after the diagnosis of ARDS was made. We also collected data on the ICU-specific outcomes and therapies used, including percentage fluid overload, acute kidney injury, renal replacement therapy, septic shock, and use of sedatives, analgesics, and neuromuscular blocking agents during the first 72 h after ICU admission. Percentage of fluid overload was calculated using the following formula: (fluid intake – total output)/body weight at day 1 of ARDS  $\times 100$ .<sup>19</sup> Acute kidney injury and severity of acute kidney injury were defined according to the Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes 2012 guidelines, using serum creatinine and urine output criteria. Septic shock was defined according to the Sepsis-3 consensus definition.<sup>20</sup> Adjunctive/rescue therapies were defined as the use of neuromuscular blocking agents, positive-pressure ventilation, inhaled vasodilators (prostacyclin or nitric oxide), high-frequency oscillatory ventilation, or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.

The primary outcome of interest was the difference in ventilator settings among the 4 subgroups. Secondary outcomes included the use of rescue therapies, ICU and hospital mortality, 28-d mortality, 90-d mortality, duration of mechanical ventilation, and length ICU and hospital stay.

## Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics are reported as  $n$  (%) for categorical variables; continuous variables are reported as either mean (SD) or median (interquartile range). We used the Student  $t$  test, analysis of variance, Wilcoxon rank-sum test, or Kruskal-Wallis test as appropriate for continuous variables,

# HIGHER CLASS OF OBESITY ASSOCIATED WITH HIGHER V<sub>T</sub>S

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics by Body Mass Index Categories

Variable	Normal Weight	Overweight	Class I–II Obesity	Class III Obesity	P	Missing
Age, y	56 (44–67)	60 (47–70)	56.5 (44–66)	54 (41–63)†	.001	0 (0)
Height, in	66 (64–70)	67 (64–70)	67 (64–71)	67 (63–69)	.61	0 (0)
Male sex	76 (58)	93 (6.8)	105 (51.5)	59 (47.2)	.09	0 (0)
Diabetes mellitus	35 (26.7)	34 (22.2)	63 (3.9)	51 (4.8)†	.01	0 (0)
Congestive heart failure	5 (3.8)	15 (9.8)	31 (15.2)*	18 (14.4)*	.01	0 (0)
Chronic kidney disease	20 (15.3)	22 (14.4)	33 (16.2)	14 (11.2)	.65	0 (0)
Chronic liver disease	21 (16)	25 (16.3)	34 (16.7)	14 (11.2)	.55	0 (0)
Malignancy	38 (29)	37 (24.2)	42 (2.6)	14 (11.2)*	.01	0 (0)
SOFA	12 (9–15)	13 (10–15.8)	12.5 (9–16)	12 (9–15)	.38	11 (7.8)
APACHE III	113 (95–139)	117 (98–146)	119 (92–142)	113 (85–139)	.24	23 (3.8)
Cause of ARDS						
Pneumonia	103 (78.6)	122 (79.7)	141 (69.1)	97 (77.6)	.07	0 (0)
Aspiration pneumonia	28 (21.4)	24 (15.7)	29 (14.2)	18 (14.4)	.32	0 (0)
Extrapulmonary sepsis	16 (18.2)	20 (13.1)	23 (11.3)	8 (6.4)	.31	0 (0)
Acute pancreatitis	2 (1.5)	6 (3.9)	14 (6.9)	6 (4.8)	.14	0 (0)
TRALI	1 (0.8)	1 (0.7)	5 (2.5)	2 (1.6)	.53	0 (0)
ABG analysis on day 1						
F <sub>IO<sub>2</sub></sub>	0.85 (0.55–1)	0.85 (0.6–1)	1 (0.6–1)	0.9 (0.63–1)	.27	88 (14.4)
P <sub>aO<sub>2</sub></sub>	90 (71–114)	89 (74–12.8)	86 (68–118.8)	79.8 (68–101.5)	.10	77 (12.6)
P <sub>aO<sub>2</sub></sub> /F <sub>IO<sub>2</sub></sub>	120 (85–186.7)	126 (89–178.4)	114 (78–161.3)	102 (76–157.1)	.08	82 (13.4)
Oxygenation index	12.7 (8–2.3)	12.1 (8.3–21.5)	15.1 (8.9–25.9)	17.5 (10–27.3)	.054	187 (30.5)
P <sub>aCO<sub>2</sub></sub>	41 (35–5.2)	40 (35–52)	43 (35–48)	44 (40–52)	.046	77 (12.6)
Lactate	2.7 (1.5–3.8)	2.4 (1.7–4.9)	2.2 (1.4–4.8)	1.9 (1.5–4.3)	.47	144 (23.5)

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or *n* (%). Normal Weight: *n* = 131 subjects; Overweight: *n* = 153 subjects; Class I–II Obesity: *n* = 204 subjects; Class III Obesity: *n* = 125 subjects.

\* *P* < .05 when compared with normal group.

† *P* < .05 when compared with the overweight group.

APACHE = Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation score

SOFA = Sequential Organ Failure Assessment score

TRALI = transfusion-related acute lung injury

ABG = arterial blood gas

and we used the chi-square test or Fisher exact test for discrete variables. Confidence intervals (CI) and *P* values reflect a 2-tailed alpha level of 0.05.

The missing data were handled by creating and analyzing 50 imputed data sets.<sup>21</sup> The imputation processes included variables that were included in logistic regression models.<sup>22</sup> After creating the complete data sets, we estimated the multiple regression models for each filled-in data set separately. The model estimates and standard errors were combined into a single set of results using Rubin's rules.<sup>23</sup> Multivariable logistic regression was carried out to determine the factors associated with an elevated tidal volume (> 8 mL/kg predicted body weight) on days 1–3. Correlation analysis of the predictors was conducted to avoid the multi-collinearity in regression models. The variables significant at *P* < .1 on univariable analysis were identified as potential predictor variables and entered into a multivariable regression model. Forward and backward stepwise methods were used for model selection in each data set. All the statistical analyses were performed by using R software version 3.5.1 using the

automatic predictor selection tool of the MICE 3.0.0 package.

## Results

### Demographics

A total of 613 subjects were included in our analysis (Table 1), distributed among the different WHO weight classes as follows: 21.4% (131 of 613) were normal weight, 25.0% (153 of 613) were overweight, and 53.7% (329 of 613) were obese. Of the obese subjects, one third (204 of 613) were class I–II, and 20.4% (125 of 613) were class III. There was a greater percentage of diabetic subjects with class III obesity compared to the overweight group. Obese and morbidly obese subjects had a higher occurrence of congestive heart failure compared to the normal weight group. Subjects in obesity class III were younger on average and carried a lower burden of active malignancy compared to the normal weight group. P<sub>aO<sub>2</sub></sub>/F<sub>IO<sub>2</sub></sub> and the SOFA and APACHE III scores were relatively similar in all

4 groups. Oxygenation index increased as the level of obesity increased.

### Primary Outcome

Results for mechanical ventilation parameters are shown in Table 2. Subjects with class III obesity were exposed to significantly higher tidal volumes compared to other groups for the first 3 d of their ICU stay. On day 1, 52.5% (52 of 99) of subjects with class III obesity had recorded tidal volumes  $> 8$  mL/kg, decreasing to 44% (48 of 109) by day 2. In comparison, only 26% (30 of 114) and 28% (34 of 119) of the subjects with normal weight had tidal volumes  $> 8$  mL/kg on days 1 and 2, respectively (Table 3).

Subjects with class I–III obesity had statistically significantly higher PEEP for the first 72 h after diagnosis of ARDS compared to normal or overweight subjects. However, adherence to the PEEP/ $F_{IO_2}$  table was not significantly different among the groups. There was no significant difference in the  $P_{plat}$  and driving pressure among the different obesity categories on day 1 compared to day 3 after diagnosis of ARDS.  $P_{plat}$  was  $> 30$  cm  $H_2O$  for 41.7% (15 of 36) of class III obesity subjects on day 1, and 33.9% of these subjects continued to be exposed to high  $P_{plat}$  on day 2.

### Secondary Outcomes

The obese and morbidly obese subjects had a lower percentage of septic shock compared to the normal weight group ( $P = .002$ ). Although diuretic use was not different among the groups, subjects with morbid obesity had a significantly lower percent of fluid overload on day<sup>1</sup> to day<sup>3</sup> compared to the other groups ( $P < .001$ ). There was no difference in prevalence of acute kidney injury, but the class III obesity group underwent renal replacement therapy more than the other groups ( $P = .046$ ).

Approximately 48% (60 of 125) of the morbidly obese subjects received at least one rescue therapy as compared to 36.6% (48 of 131) of normal weight subjects and 35.9% (55 of 153) of overweight subjects. Overall, the use of most rescue therapies was higher in the class III obesity group compared to the normal weight group, including neuromuscular blocking agents, prone positioning, and inhaled vasodilators. The use of sedation for  $> 48$  h was also higher in obese subjects ( $P = .01$ ) (Table 4).

**Effect of Body Mass Index Categories on Tidal Volume.** After a multivariable adjustment for  $P_{aCO_2}$ , lactate, and driving pressure on day 1 (model on day 1) and  $P_{aCO_2}$ ,  $P_{aO_2}/F_{IO_2}$ , and lactate on day 2 (model on day 2), subjects with class III obesity received tidal volumes  $> 8$  mL/kg predicted body weight on day 1–2 when compared with subjects with normal weight. Adjusted odds ratios for class III obesity on

day 1 and day 2 were 3.61 (95% CI 2.00–6.53) and 2.35 (95% CI 1.31–4.22), respectively (Table 5).

**Effect of Body Mass Index Categories on Stay and Mortality.** There was no difference in duration of mechanical ventilation or length of ICU or hospital stay among the groups. Subjects with class III obesity had a lower unadjusted 28-d mortality compared to the overweight group ( $P = .01$ ) and had a lower unadjusted 90-d mortality compared to normal weight and overweight group ( $P = .002$ ) (Table 6).

After adjustment for confounding factors including age, APACHE III score, history of liver disease and malignancy, and septic shock, we did not find any association between 28-d survival and 90-d survival among overweight, obese, and morbidly obese subjects compared to normal weight subjects. Adjusted hazard ratios for mortality of overweight, obese, and morbidly obese subjects at day 28 were 1.22 (95% CI 0.88–1.7), 1.21 (95% CI 0.87–1.68), and 1.02 (95% CI 0.69–1.50) respectively; at day 90, 1.01 (95% CI 0.75–1.37), 0.99 (95% CI 0.73–1.33), and 0.86 (95% CI 0.61–1.22).

### Discussion

Subjects with ARDS in the higher obesity class were more likely to receive higher tidal volumes than normal weight subjects, and risk increases with increasing class of obesity. Roughly half of those with class III obesity had tidal volumes  $> 8$  mL/kg predicted body weight throughout the first 72 h of ARDS diagnosis, compared with  $< 30\%$  of those with normal body mass index. Subjects with class III obesity were also more likely to receive rescue therapy with neuromuscular blockade or pulmonary vasodilators, despite no significant difference in severity of ARDS or critical illness. Obese subjects were more likely to be treated with higher PEEP, although the difference was only 2 cm  $H_2O$  on average. Compliance with recommended PEEP strategies based on the ARDSNet PEEP/ $F_{IO_2}$  tables was suboptimal through all weight classes. The majority of these subjects were treated at this facility prior to the creation of an institutional ARDS protocol emphasizing PEEP:  $F_{IO_2}$  table adherence, which may explain the low overall compliance.

Additionally, the increased use of neuromuscular blockade and pulmonary vasodilators may have been necessary as a result of higher airway pressures attributable to nonprotective tidal volumes delivered. Delivering higher-than-ideal tidal volumes raises  $P_{plat}$ , which can be injurious itself<sup>7</sup>; obese patients are already more likely to have elevated  $P_{plat}$  compared to the general population.<sup>14</sup> Furthermore, an elevated (or borderline elevated)  $P_{plat}$  may make treating clinicians hesitant to increase PEEP to improve oxygenation, despite the fact that obese patients have a

## HIGHER CLASS OF OBESITY ASSOCIATED WITH HIGHER V<sub>T</sub>S

Table 2. Mechanical Ventilation Parameters by Body Mass Index Categories

Variable	Normal Weight	Overweight	Class I–II Obesity	Class III Obesity	P	Missing
<b>Mode on day 1</b>						
VCV	54 (49.1)	69 (58.5)	81 (51.3)	55 (56.1)	.58	129 (21.0)
PCV	42 (38.2)	44 (37.3)	59 (37.3)	31 (31.6)		
PRVC	11 (10)	4 (3.4)	14 (8.9)	9 (9.2)		
Others	3 (2.7)	1 (0.8)	4 (2.5)	3 (3.1)		
<b>Mode on day 2</b>						
VCV	53 (45.3)	68 (51.5)	82 (47.4)	60 (56.6)	.80	85 (13.9)
PCV	43 (36.8)	46 (34.8)	65 (37.6)	35 (33)		
PRVC	15 (12.8)	12 (9.1)	20 (11.6)	7 (6.6)		
Others	6 (5.1)	6 (4.5)	6 (3.5)	4 (3.8)		
<b>Mode on day 3</b>						
VCV	49 (41.5)	65 (47.8)	87 (5.3)	57 (51.8)	.79	76 (12.4)
PCV	48 (4.7)	51 (37.5)	62 (35.8)	37 (33.6)		
PRVC	14 (11.9)	11 (8.1)	18 (1.4)	9 (8.2)		
Others	7 (5.9)	9 (6.6)	6 (3.5)	7 (6.4)		
<b>Tidal volume, mL/kg PBW</b>						
Day 1	7.1 (6.6–8.2)	7.4 (6.7–8.4)	7.5 (6.6–8.8)	8.2 (7.3–9.4)*†‡	< .001	108 (17.6)
Day 2	7.2 (6.6–8.3)	7.1 (6.4–8.1)	7.5 (6.6, 8.4)	7.8 (7–9.6)*†‡	< .001	75 (12.2)
Day 3	7.3 (6.5–8.2)	7.4 (6.6–8.1)	7.3 (6.4, 8.5)	7.8 (7–9.2)*†‡	.01	75 (0.012)
<b>PEEP, cm H<sub>2</sub>O</b>						
Day 1	8 (5–12)	10 (8–12)	10 (8–14)*	10 (8, 14.3)*	.003	97 (15.8)
Day 2	10 (7.5–12)	10 (8–12)	10 (8–14)*	12 (10–15)*†	< .001	65 (1.6)
Day 3	8 (5–12)	10 (8–12)	10 (8–14)*†	12 (8–16)*†	< .001	66 (1.8)
<b>PEEP adherence§</b>						
Day 1	14 (12.4)	9 (7.2)	15 (8.9)	16 (16.7)	.11	110 (17.9)
Day 2	25 (25.5)	16 (16.7)	35 (23.2)	18 (19.6)	.44	176 (28.7)
Day 3	23 (28.7)	21 (23.6)	36 (25.9)	20 (26)	.90	228 (37.2)
<b>P<sub>plat</sub>, cm H<sub>2</sub>O</b>						
Day 1	28 (22–33)	26 (22–30)	29 (22–31)	28.5 (23–32.5)	.47	436 (71.1)
Day 2	26 (22.8–31)	25 (21–28)	27 (22–32)	28 (23–32.3)	.06	368 (0.061)
Day 3	26.5 (21–3.3)	25 (21–29)	26 (22–30.5)	28.5 (23–33)	.19	371 (60.5)
<b>P<sub>plat</sub> &gt; 30 cm H<sub>2</sub>O</b>						
Day 1	11 (35.5)	11 (24.4)	20 (3.8)	15 (41.7)	.40	436 (71.1)
Day 2	14 (29.2)	10 (16.9)	25 (30.5)	19 (33.9)	.18	368 (6.0)
Day 3	11 (25)	12 (22.6)	22 (25.3)	22 (37.9)	.25	371 (60.5)
<b>Driving pressure, cm H<sub>2</sub>O</b>						
Day 1	16 (10.5–20.5)	14 (12–17)	14 (11–20)	15.5 (12–19.5)	.92	436 (71.1)
Day 2	15 (12–18)	14 (10.5–17)	13 (11–19)	14 (11–18)	.69	368 (6.0)
Day 3	16 (12–21)	14 (11–17.3)	13 (11–18)	14 (11.3–18)	.44	372 (6.7)

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or n (%). Normal Weight: n = 131 subjects; Overweight: n = 153 subjects; Class I–II Obesity: n = 204 subjects; Class III Obesity: n = 125 subjects.

\* P < .05 when compared with the normal group.

† P < .05 when compare with the overweight group.

‡ P < .05 when compared with the class I and II obese group.

§ PEEP adherence is PEEP that was set ≥ PEEP/F<sub>IO<sub>2</sub></sub> table.

PBW = predicted body weight

VCV = volume control ventilation

PCV = pressure control ventilation

PRVC = pressure regulated volume control

P<sub>plat</sub> = plateau pressure

disproportionately positive response to increased PEEP in terms of ventilator-free days and mortality.<sup>24</sup> One rescue therapy potentially underused in this cohort of obese subjects was prone position ventilation, especially given the physiologically plausible benefit (ie, offloading the contribution of decreased abdominal and

chest wall compliance from the lung). Prone positioning has been reported not only to decrease mortality in moderate to severe ARDS but also to be feasible and safe in the morbidly obese.<sup>12,16</sup>

Despite the higher tidal volumes in subjects with class III obesity, this group had a lower unadjusted mortality rate

## HIGHER CLASS OF OBESITY ASSOCIATED WITH HIGHER V<sub>TS</sub>

Table 3. Tidal Volume by Body Mass Index Categories

Tidal Volume, mL/kg PBW	Normal Weight	Overweight	Class I–II Obesity	Class III Obesity	<i>P</i>
Day 1					
< 6	10 (8.8)	6 (4.8)	12 (7.2)	3 (3)	.01
6–8	74 (64.9)	77 (61.6)	97 (58.1)	44 (44.4)	
> 8	30 (26.3)	42 (33.6)	58 (34.7)	52 (52.5)	
Day 2					
< 6	14 (11.8)	13 (9.8)	14 (7.9)	8 (7.3)	.12
6–8	71 (59.7)	84 (63.2)	104 (58.8)	53 (48.6)	
> 8	34 (28.6)	36 (27.1)	59 (33.3)	48 (44)	
Day 3					
< 6	14 (12)	12 (9)	20 (11.2)	6 (5.5)	.12
6–8	71 (6.7)	85 (63.9)	98 (55.1)	58 (52.7)	
> 8	32 (27.4)	36 (27.1)	60 (33.7)	46 (41.8)	

Data are presented as *n* (%). Normal Weight: *n* = 131 subjects; Overweight: *n* = 153 subjects; Class I–II Obesity: *n* = 204 subjects; Class III Obesity: *n* = 125 subjects.  
PBW = predicted body weight

Table 4. Subject Course in the ICU by Body Mass Index Categories

Variable	Normal Weight	Overweight	Class I–II Obesity	Class III Obesity	<i>P</i>
Fluid overload, %					
Day 1	2.3 (–0.1 to 5.1)	1.8 (0.1–3.7)	1.4 (–0.2 to 3)	0.4 (–0.3 to 1.5)*†‡	< .001
Day 2	4.6 (2.1–9.9)	3.6 (1.3–6.7)	3 (0.7–5.2)*	1.8 (0.1–3.1)*†‡	< .001
Day 3	6.5 (2.4–14)	4.1 (1.9–9)*	4.6 (1.1–6.7)*	2.6 (–0.1 to 4.1)*†‡	< .001
Septic shock	91 (69.5)	89 (58.2)	106 (52.2)*	59 (47.2)*	.002
Diuretic use on day 2–7	60 (45.8)	70 (46.1)	91 (44.6)	72 (57.6)	.11
Renal replacement therapy	46 (35.1)	59 (38.6)	90 (44.1)	64 (51.2)	.043
Acute kidney injury	93 (71)	117 (76.5)	162 (79.4)	98 (78.4)	.33
Analgesia > 48 h	93 (71)	110 (71.9)	150 (73.5)	83 (66.4)	.58
Sedation > 48 h	91 (69.5)	104 (68)	160 (78.4)	103 (82.4)	.01
Antipsychotics	51 (38.9)	69 (45.1)	88 (43.1)	55 (44)	.75
Rescue therapies	48 (36.6)	55 (35.9)	90 (44.1)	60 (48)	.11
Neuromuscular blockade	34 (26)	47 (3.7)	73 (35.8)	52 (41.6)	.045
Prone positioning	10 (7.6)	10 (6.5)	30 (14.7)	17 (13.6)	.041
HFOV	9 (6.9)	2 (1.3)	3 (1.5)	3 (2.4)	.036
Inhaled vasodilators	21 (16)	25 (16.3)	47 (23)	39 (31.2)*†	.01
ECMO	2 (1.5)	6 (3.9)	4 (2)	3 (2.4)	.60

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or *n* (%). Normal Weight: *n* = 131 subjects; Overweight: *n* = 153 subjects; Class I–II Obesity: *n* = 204 subjects; Class III Obesity: *n* = 125 subjects.

\* *P* < .05 when compared with the normal group.

† *P* < .05 when compare with the overweight group.

‡ *P* < .05 when compared with the class I and II obese group.

HFOV = high-frequency oscillation ventilation

ECMO = extracorporeal membrane oxygenation

compared to the rest of the cohort. An obesity paradox regarding ARDS has been described previously,<sup>2,25–27</sup> suggesting that patients with higher body mass index have a lower mortality rate compared to normal weight patients. This may be a result of more frequent but less severe episodes of critical illness compared to populations at lower weight classes. Importantly though, in multivariable analysis adjusting for comorbidities and severity of illness, there were no significant differences in mortality.

Unlike previous studies, we have looked at multiple classes of obesity rather than using a dichotomous cutoff. Use of the WHO obesity classes provides a better understanding of the distribution of tidal volumes across the spectrum of obesity. Our study not only confirms findings from previous studies where obesity has been identified as a risk factor for exposure to higher tidal volumes,<sup>28</sup> but further shows that subjects with higher classes of obesity are more likely to receive higher tidal volumes. Clinicians

## HIGHER CLASS OF OBESITY ASSOCIATED WITH HIGHER V<sub>T</sub>S

Table 5. Multivariable Analysis of Covariates Associated With Higher Tidal Volume Ventilation (> 8 mL per kg PBW)\*

Variable	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P	Area Under ROC (95% CI)
Day 1			
Body mass index			
Normal weight	Reference		
Overweight	1.41 (0.79–2.50)	.24	
Class I and II obesity	1.69 (0.97–2.92)	.061	0.67 (0.62–0.72)
Class III obesity	3.61 (2.00–6.53)	< .001	
P <sub>a</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> on day 1†	0.97 (0.95–0.98)	< .001	
Driving pressure on day 1†	1.05 (1.01–1.09)	.02	
Day 2			
Body mass index			
Normal weight	Reference		
Overweight	1.01 (0.57–1.77)	.98	
Class I and II obesity	1.25 (0.74–2.11)	.41	0.67 (0.62–0.72)
Class III obesity	2.35 (1.31–4.22)	.004	
P <sub>a</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> on day 2†	0.96 (0.94–0.98)	< .001	
Lactate on day 2†	1.08 (1.00–1.16)	.042	
P <sub>a</sub> O <sub>2</sub> :F <sub>I</sub> O <sub>2</sub> on day 2 (per 10 units increase)	0.96 (0.94–0.99)	.01	

\* Analysis using multivariable logistic regression from 50 imputed dataset.

† per 1 unit increase.

ROC = receiver operating curve

PBW = predicted body weight

Table 6. Differences in Outcomes Among Body Mass Index Categories

Variable	Normal Weight	Overweight	Class I–II Obesity	Class III Obesity	P
Length of stay in ICU, d	13 (8–22)	12 (8–20)	12 (7–18)	13 (7–23)	.51
Length of stay in hospital, d	18 (12.5–27)	18 (10–28)	18 (10–25)	19 (12–30)	.50
Duration of mechanical ventilation, d	11 (6–20)	11 (6–19)	11 (6.8–18.3)	13 (7–21)	.38
Hospital mortality	71 (54.2)	85 (55.6)	97 (47.5)	52 (41.6)	.08
ICU mortality	70 (53.4)	81 (52.9)	94 (46.1)	51 (4.8)	.12
Mortality at day 28 (%)	62/122 (50.8)	84/147 (57.1)	92/190 (48.4)	45/121 (37.2)*	.01
Mortality at day 90 (%)	82/117 (70.1)	92/138 (66.7)	101/178 (56.7)	54/113 (47.8)*†	.002

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or n (%). Normal Weight: n = 131 subjects; Overweight: n = 153 subjects; Class I–II Obesity: n = 204 subjects; Class III Obesity: n = 125 subjects.

\* P < .05 when compare with the overweight group.

† P < .05 when compared with the normal group.

may be overestimating predicted body weight or height in obese subjects despite the fact that functional residual capacity decreases as body mass index increases.<sup>4</sup>

Given the retrospective and single-center nature of the study, some results may be difficult to generalize. There are, however, a number of strengths to our study. Our study used the consensus WHO definition and classes of obesity. Patients with very low body mass index were excluded because they tend to have a high burden of disease, and their underlying comorbidities can affect the outcomes of interest. We developed exhaustive models to account for any potential confounding from underlying comorbidities and ICU-specific therapies, and we reported this for both our primary and secondary outcomes of interest.

Globally, compliance with lung-protective ventilation strategies is poor, whether in obese patients or normal weight patients.<sup>29</sup> Collaboration of physicians with respiratory therapists to ensure safe tidal volume ranges are chosen in a protocolized fashion may be a way forward in this area. Evidence suggests initial tidal volumes tend to persist, so engagement of the multiprofessional care team is crucial.<sup>30</sup> Future research should target lung-protective ventilation implementation in this particularly high-risk patient population.

### Conclusions

Subjects with ARDS who have class III obesity were exposed to high tidal volumes at a much higher rate than were nonobese subjects, and they also received

neuromuscular blockade and pulmonary vasodilators more frequently. Prone position ventilation was likely underused given the putative physiologic benefits. The proportion of subjects in this ARDS cohort with class III obesity was very high, although adjusted mortality was not different compared to the other weight classes, which may reflect a component of the obesity paradox in the critically ill. Given the high incidence of disease in this population, it is especially important to provide vigilant lung-protective ventilation.

## REFERENCES

- Singer P, Blaser AR, Berger MM, Alhazzani W, Calder PC, Casaer MP, et al. ESPEN guideline on clinical nutrition in the intensive care unit. *Clin Nutr* 2019;38(1):48-79.
- Ball L, Serpa Neto A, Pelosi P. Obesity and survival in critically ill patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: a paradox within the paradox. *Crit Care* 2017;21(1):114.
- Pelosi P, Luecke T, Rocco P. Chest wall mechanics and abdominal pressure during general anaesthesia in normal and obese individuals and in acute lung injury. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2011;17(1):72-79.
- Jones RL, Nzekwu M-M. The effects of body mass index on lung volumes. *Chest* 2006;130(3):827-833.
- Holley HS, Milic-Emili J, Becklake MR, Bates DV. Regional distribution of pulmonary ventilation and perfusion in obesity. *J Clin Invest* 1967;46(4):475-481.
- Zhi G, Xin W, Ying W, Guohong X, Shuying L. Obesity paradox in acute respiratory distress syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2016;11(9):e0163677.
- Brower RG, Matthay MA, Morris A, Schoenfeld D, Thompson BT, Wheeler A, Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Network. Ventilation with lower tidal volumes as compared with traditional tidal volumes for acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2000;342(18):1301-1308.
- Brower RG, Lanken PN, MacIntyre N, Matthay MA, Morris A, Ancukiewicz M, et al. Higher versus lower positive end-expiratory pressures in patients with the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351(4):327-336.
- Briel M, Meade M, Mercat A, Brower RG, Talmor D, Walter SD, et al. Higher vs lower positive end-expiratory pressure in patients with acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome. *JAMA* 2010;303(9):865-873.
- National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) Clinical Trials Network, Wiedemann HP, Wheeler AP, Bernard GR, Thompson BT, Hayden D, deBoisblanc B, et al. Comparison of two fluid-management strategies in acute lung injury. *N Engl J Med* 2006;354(24):2564-2575.
- Papazian L, Forel J-M, Gacouin A, Penot-Ragon C, Perrin G, Loundou A, et al. Neuromuscular blockers in early acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2010;363(12):1107-1116.
- Guérin C, Reignier J, Richard J-C, Beuret P, Gacouin A, Boulain T, et al. Prone positioning in severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368(23):2159-2168.
- O'Brien JM, Welsh CH, Fish RH, Ancukiewicz M, Kramer AM, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Network. Excess body weight is not independently associated with outcome in mechanically ventilated patients with acute lung injury. *Ann Intern Med* 2004;140(5):338-345.
- Prescott HC, Brower RG, Cooke CR, Phillips G, O'Brien JM, National Institutes of Health Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Investigators. Factors Associated with elevated plateau pressure in patients with acute lung injury receiving lower tidal volume ventilation. *Crit Care Med* 2013;41(3):756-764.
- Pirrone M, Fisher D, Chipman D, Imber DAE, Corona J, Miletto C, et al. Recruitment maneuvers and positive end-expiratory pressure titration in morbidly obese ICU patients. *Crit Care Med* 2016;44(2):300-307.
- De Jong A, Molinari N, Sebbane M, Prades A, Futier E, Jung B, et al. Feasibility and effectiveness of prone position in morbidly obese patients with ARDS. *Chest* 2013;143(6):1554-1561.
- ARDS Definition Task Force, Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, Ferguson ND, Caldwell E, Fan E, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome. *JAMA* 2012;307(23):2526-2533.
- Seidell JC, Flegal KM. Assessing obesity: classification and epidemiology. *Br Med Bull* 1997;53(2):238-252.
- Sutherland SM, Zappitelli M, Alexander SR, Chua AN, Brophy PD, Bunchman TE, et al. Fluid overload and mortality in children receiving continuous renal replacement therapy: the prospective pediatric continuous renal replacement therapy registry. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2010;55(2):316-325.
- Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, et al. The third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA* 2016;315(8):801-810.
- White IR, Royston P, Wood AM. Multiple imputation using chained equations: issues and guidance for practice. *Stat Med* 2011;30(4):377-399.
- Buuren S van, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K. Multivariate imputation by chained equations in R. *J Stat Softw* 2011;45(3):1-67.
- Marshall A, Altman DG, Holder RL, Royston P. Combining estimates of interest in prognostic modelling studies after multiple imputation: current practice and guidelines. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2009;9(1):57.
- Bime C, Fiero M, Lu Z, Oren E, Berry CE, Parthasarathy S, et al. High positive end-expiratory pressure is associated with improved survival in obese patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am J Med* 2017;130(2):207-213.
- Anzueto A, Frutos-Vivar F, Esteban A, Bensalame N, Marks D, Raymondos K, et al. Influence of body mass index on outcome of the mechanically ventilated patients. *Thorax* 2011;66(1):66-73.
- Gong MN, Bajwa EK, Thompson BT, Christiani DC, Christiani C. Body mass index is associated with the development of acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Thorax* 2010;65(1):44-50.
- Ni Y-N, Luo J, Yu H, Wang Y-W, Hu Y-H, Liu D, et al. Can body mass index predict clinical outcomes for patients with acute lung injury/acute respiratory distress syndrome? A meta-analysis. *Crit Care* 2017;21(1):36.
- Lellouche F, Dionne S, Simard S, Bussièrès J, Dagenais F. High tidal volumes in mechanically ventilated patients increase organ dysfunction after cardiac surgery. *Anesthesiology* 2012;116(5):1072-1082.
- Bellani G, Laffey JG, Pham T, Fan E, Brochard L, Esteban A, et al. Epidemiology, patterns of care, and mortality for patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome in intensive care units in 50 countries. *JAMA* 2016;315(8):788-800.
- Fuller BM, Ferguson IT, Mohr NM, Drewry AM, Palmer C, Wessman BT, et al. Lung-protective ventilation initiated in the emergency department (LOV-ED): a quasi-experimental, before-after trial. *Ann Emerg Med* 2017;70(3):406-418.