Effects of Ventilatory Settings on Pendelluft Phenomenon During Mechanical Ventilation
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BACKGROUND: Pendelluft phenomenon is defined as the displacement of gas from a more recruited nondependent (ND) lung region to a less recruited dependent (D) lung region. This phenomenon may cause lung injury. Thus, a lung model for pendelluft was established, and the effects of ventilatory settings on pendelluft were examined. METHODS: Two sets of the twin-bellows-type training test lung (TTL) model were utilized. One set of bellows simulated the diaphragm, and the other simulated the lung. One TTL model represented the ND region, and the other represented the D region. The lung bellows were connected to each other and were ventilated with 1 ventilator. The diaphragm bellows were ventilated with 2 synchronized ventilators that regulated pleural pressure levels. We simulated pendelluft by applying different pleural pressure levels to the D and ND bellows. The increment of the tidal volume in the D region from the "no breathing effort" condition was defined as the pendelluft volume. The effects of ventilator settings, such as ventilatory modes, triggering sensitivity, inspiratory pressurization, and inspiratory cycling-off, were examined. The changes in tidal volumes in the D region based on the control settings were compared to assess the severity of pendelluft. RESULTS: The gas flow from the D region to the ND region was found to be essential in pendelluft, but the severity of this phenomenon was not always proportional to gas flows. The severity increased with the increase in the differences in pleural pressure levels between the ND and D regions, and it was amplified by the difference in lung mechanics between the ND and D regions. However, the ventilator settings had minimal effect on the severity of pendelluft. CONCLUSIONS: The pendelluft was affected by the heterogeneity of lung mechanics and pleural pressure. Furthermore, a minimal association was observed between the ventilator settings and the severity of pendelluft. Key words: pendelluft phenomenon; model lung; pleural pressure; ventilatory modes; triggering sensitivity; inspiratory pressurization; inspiratory cycling-off. [Respir Care 2021;66(1):1–10. © 2021 Daedalus Enterprises]

Introduction

Pendelluft phenomenon is a recently reported concept in mechanically ventilated subjects with ARDS who have preserved spontaneous breathing effort. This phenomenon is defined as gas movement inside the lung involving the displacement of gas from a more recruited nondependent (ND) lung region to a less recruited dependent (D) lung region without minimal changes in the tidal volume (V̇T) of the ventilator. Moreover, pendelluft causes overinflation in the D lung region and collapse in the ND lung region, which may cause lung injury. Therefore, if possible, appropriate ventilatory settings must be used to reduce the occurrence of
pendelluft. However, because pendelluft develops without changes in the VT of the ventilator, it is difficult to recognize via ordinary monitoring during mechanical ventilation. Porcine models of ARDS were used in the first report about pendelluft. Because a similar simulated condition of pendelluft is challenging to establish using animal models, the effects of ventilatory settings on this phenomenon cannot be accurately assessed. Thus, this study aimed to establish a lung model for pendelluft and to examine factors, including ventilatory settings, that are more likely to affect the occurrence of this phenomenon. Moreover, the effects of various ventilatory settings on pendelluft phenomenon were examined to identify which settings can minimize its severity.

Methods

Simulation of Pendelluft Using a Lung Model System

As shown in Figure 1, 2 sets of twin-bellows-type training test lung (TTL) models (Dual Adult Training Test Lung, Michigan Instruments, Grand Rapids, Michigan) were used in the experiment, and these models were similar to those utilized in the simulation of spontaneous breathing in a previous study. In this model, one set of bellows simulated the diaphragm, which established a negative pleural pressure (Ppl), and the other model simulated the lung region. The diaphragm and lung bellows were connected to each other to simulate spontaneous breathing. One TTL model represented the ND lung region, and the other represented the D lung region. The 2 lung region bellows were connected to each other via the artificial bronchus and trachea.

The PB840 (Covidien, Boulder, Colorado) ventilated both lung region bellows via an endotracheal tube with a 7.5-mm internal diameter, a heat-and-moisture exchanger (DAR Hygrobac S, Covidien), and a standard ventilator circuit (DAR adult ordinary circuit, Covidien). The flow was measured using 3 pneumotachographs (model 4700; Hans
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Fig. 1. Lung model setup. We developed the following devices: 2 pairs of twin-bellows-type (TTL) models, 2 AVEA ventilators, one PB 840 ventilator, 1 amplifier, 1 analog/digital converter (A/D), and a personal computer. Each pair of TTL and AVEA represented the nondependent and dependent lung regions, respectively.
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VENTILATORY SETTINGS AND PENDELLUFT PHENOMENON

Table 1. Simulation Protocol for Negative Pleural Pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspiratory Pressure, cm H2O</th>
<th>Inspiratory Time, s</th>
<th>Pressurization</th>
<th>PEEP, cm H2O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Slow 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>Medium 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>Fast 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremely strong</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>Fast 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To simulate negative pleural pressure, Avea ventilators were used based on this protocol. A larger inspiratory pressure in an Avea represented a higher level of negative pleural pressure. When we chose “None,” Avea was kept on stand-by, thereby representing the condition with no breathing effort. NA = not applicable

Rudolph, Kansas City, Missouri), which were placed at the proximal end of the endotracheal tube and the points between the artificial bronchus and the lung region bellows, as well as differential pressure transducers (TP602T; Nihon Kohden, Tokyo, Japan), and amplifiers (AR601G; Nihon Kohden.). Moreover, airway pressure was measured using a differential pressure transducer (TP603T) and an amplifier (AR601G) at the proximal end of the endotracheal tube. The signals from the amplifiers were recorded at a frequency of 100 Hz and were analyzed using a data acquisition system (WINDAQ, Dataq Instruments, Akron, Ohio).

The diaphragm bellows of the TTLs, for which the compliance was set at 20 mL/cm H2O, were ventilated with 2 Avea ventilators (CareFusion, San Diego, California), which were connected by wire and synchronized with a ventilatory frequency of 20 breaths/min. The negative Ppl levels were regulated using the ventilatory settings of the Avea ventilators. We simulated pendelluft phenomenon by applying 2 different levels of negative Ppl to the ND and D bellows. The ventilatory settings of the Avea ventilators were changed to establish 5 levels of negative Ppl, as shown in Table 1. The level of “None” simulated no breathing effort without using diaphragm bellows by stopping the Avea ventilators. The compliance and airway resistance of the lung region bellows were set according to previous reports.3,5 We established 2 patterns of regional lung mechanics: the mechanics referred to as normal included a compliance of 40 mL/cm H2O and a resistance of 5 cm H2O/L/s, and the pattern referred to as damaged included a compliance of 10 mL/cm H2O and a resistance of 20 cm H2O/L/s.

To validate the effects of Ppl and lung mechanics on pendelluft, 4 different negative Ppl levels in the D lung region (Table 1) were applied with a weak negative Ppl in the ND lung region under 2 different mechanics (ie, normal and damaged) in the D region. The experimental setting, according to the previous report,1 was decided based on the following reasons: the pathological abnormality of the D region is more prominent than that of the ND region in the lungs with ARDS; spontaneous effort was associated with a large vertical gradient of Ppl swings from the ND to the D regions in the injured lung; and pendelluft resulted from the development of a more negative swing in Ppl in the D lung region than that in the ND lung region. The settings ventilating the lung model were continuous mandatory ventilation with pressure control ventilation, ventilatory frequency of 15 breaths/min, inspiratory pressure of 12 cm H2O, inspiratory time of 1.2 s, inspiratory pressurization of 50%, trigger sensitivity of −2 cm H2O, and PEEP of 15 cm H2O. PEEP set at 15 cm H2O is higher than its usual range, but it was necessary to hold a certain amount of residual gas volume in the lung region to examine gas movements from one lung region to another. The normal physiologic end-expiratory Ppl is negative to maintain the residual lung volume. However, the pressure around the lung model was atmospheric, so we used high PEEP to maintain residual volume.

Ventilatory volumes were calculated via the integration of the flow signals at 3 parts, namely, the D lung region, the ND lung region, and the whole lung. Based on previous reports, pendelluft is defined as a ventilatory volume change caused by the use of a negative Ppl level.1 The incremental increase in VT in the D lung region with no breathing effort was used to assess the extent of pendelluft. Representative waveforms acquired from the model are shown in Figure 2. Moreover, gas inflow to the D lung region and a corresponding outflow from the ND lung region was assessed during early inspiration (red band in Fig. 2). The gas movement in this study, which is considered as the “to” gas movement, was consistent with that in a previous report.1 Furthermore, we observed a gas inflow to the ND lung region and a corresponding outflow from the D lung region during end inspiration (blue band in Fig. 2); this is considered as the “from” gas movement, which is the counterpart of the “to” gas movement.

Effects of Ventilatory Settings on Pendelluft

To identify various ventilatory settings that can minimize the severity of pendelluft, we examined the effects of mode, trigger sensitivity, inspiratory pressurization, and cycling-off criteria. The changes in VT in the D lung region based on the control setting were used to assess the effects of ventilatory settings on the severity of pendelluft.

The effects of ventilatory settings on pendelluft were examined under fixed settings: normal lung mechanics and weak negative Ppl in the ND lung region, and damaged lung mechanics and extremely strong negative Ppl in the D lung region. The 2 Avea ventilators were connected by a wire and synchronized with a ventilatory frequency of 20 breaths/min.
First, we compared 2 ventilatory modes to examine the effects of ventilatory modes on pendelluft phenomenon: (1) as the control test, continuous mandatory ventilation with pressure control ventilation of 12 cm H2O, inspiratory time of 1.2 s, trigger sensitivity of $\frac{1}{cmH2O}$, inspiratory pressurization of 50%, and PEEP of 15 cm H2O; and (2) continuous mandatory ventilation with volume control ventilation with a VT of 600 mL/kg, which is similar to other experiments, inspiratory time of 1.2 s, trigger sensitivity of $\frac{1}{cmH2O}$, constant inspiratory flow, and PEEP of 15 cm H2O. Second, 5 inspiratory triggering settings (flow-triggering sensitivity of 1 and 3 L/min [f-1, f-2] and trigger sensitivity settings of 2 [control], 4, and 6 cm H2O [p-2, p-4, and p-6], respectively) were compared to examine the effects of inspiratory triggering sensitivity on pendelluft. The other settings were continuous mandatory ventilation with volume control ventilation with a VT of 600 mL/kg, which is similar to other experiments, inspiratory time of 1.2 s, trigger sensitivity of $-2 cmH2O$, constant inspiratory flow, and PEEP of 15 cm H2O.

Second, 5 inspiratory pressurization settings (1%, 25%, 50% [control], 75%, and 100%) were compared to examine the effects this variable on pendelluft. The other settings were continuous mandatory ventilation with pressure control ventilation, ventilatory frequency of 15 breaths/min, inspiratory pressure of 12 cm H2O, inspiratory time of 1.2 s, trigger sensitivity of $-2 cmH2O$, and PEEP of 15 cm H2O.

Fourth, the effects of cycling-off criteria on pendelluft phenomenon were examined using 6 inspiratory time settings (0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2, 1.4, and 1.6 s), and the other settings were continuous mandatory ventilation with pressure control ventilation, ventilatory frequency of 15 breaths/min, inspiratory pressure of 12 cm H2O, trigger sensitivity of $-2 cmH2O$, and PEEP of 15 cm H2O. Moreover, the effects of 3 cycling-off criteria of pressure support ventilation of 12 cm H2O, trigger sensitivity of $-2 cmH2O$, and PEEP of 15 cm H2O were examined.

**Statistical Analysis**

We performed a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). If a significant result was obtained, a post hoc analysis was performed by comparing data with those of the control settings using the Dunnett test. A $P$ value < .01 was considered statistically significant. Acquired data distribution ranges were relatively lower in the bench study than those in other studies. A more stringent $P$ value was
applied to reach meaningful conclusions from the bench study, similar to our previous study.6

Results

Effects of Regional Lung Mechanics and Strength of Negative Ppl on Pendelluft

The integrated volumes of the “to” and “fro” flows with 5 different combinations of pleural pressures under the 2 regional lung mechanic settings are shown in Figure 3. Although the volumes of the “to” and “fro” flows were not observed in the absence of spontaneous breathing effort, these volumes were observed in cases of different Ppl on the ND and D lung regions. With a similar weak negative Ppl in the ND and D lung regions, the volumes of the “to” and “fro” flows were not observed in cases involving similar regional lung mechanics in the ND and D lung regions. However, these volumes were noted in cases involving different regional lung mechanics in the ND and D lung regions.

The VT values of the whole lung and the ND and D lung regions with 5 different combinations of Ppl under the 2 regional lung mechanic settings are shown in Figure 4. The total and regional VT were affected by the Ppl. As the Ppl in the D lung region decreased, the VT of the D and ND lung regions increased, even if the Ppl in the ND lung region did not change. The total VT was not significantly different from that without spontaneous breathing effort, except in the case of an extremely strong Ppl in the D lung region. In particular, damaged lung mechanics in the D lung region resulted in larger pendelluft volumes even in the small basal VT of the D lung region.

In a previous study, the volumes of pendelluft (ie, pendelluft volumes) were defined as the regional VT changes in the absence of spontaneous breathing effort (None/None).1 The relationship between the changes in the total VT and pendelluft volumes with 4 different combinations of Ppl are shown in Figure 5. The pendelluft volumes were larger than the changes in total VT. Significant pendelluft volumes were observed in the absence of changes in total VT. Furthermore, the relationship between the integrated volumes of the “to” or “fro” flows and pendelluft volumes with 4 different combinations of Ppl are depicted in Figure 5. The pendelluft volumes were significantly larger than the integrated volumes of the “to” flow, and they were extremely similar to those of the “fro” flow. When the gas flows between the ND and D lung regions, significant pendelluft volumes could develop.

Relationship Between Pendelluft and Ventilatory Settings

As shown in Figure 6, the integrated volumes of the “to” and “fro” flows of volume control ventilation were lower than those of pressure control ventilation. With a similar total VT condition, VT in the D lung region of volume control ventilation did not differ from that of pressure control ventilation. This result indicated that the ventilatory mode had minimal effect on pendelluft.
As shown in Figure 7, the integrated volumes of the “to” and “fro” flows in f-1, f-3, p-4, and p-6 were different from those in p-2 (control). The inspiratory triggering settings affected the total VT and VT in the ND region. However, the triggering settings did not affect VT in the D region. This result indicated that inspiratory triggering settings had minimal effect on pendelluft.

As shown in Figure 8, the integrated volumes of the “to” or “fro” flow at pressurization settings of 1%, 25%, 75%, and 100% were different from that at 50% (control). Meanwhile, the integrated volume of the “fro” flow at a pressurization setting of 1% was lower than that at 50% (control). Although inspiratory pressurization settings affected the total VT and VT in the ND lung region, the VT in the D lung region at a pressurization setting of 1% was slightly higher than that at 50%. The VT in the D lung region at other pressurization settings did not differ from that at 50%. This result indicated that inspiratory pressurization settings had minimal effect on pendelluft phenomenon.

As shown in Figure 9, the integrated volumes of the “to” flow in all the cycling-off criteria were different from those at a inspiratory time of 1.2 s (control). The integrated volumes of the “fro” flow at all cycling-off criteria except at a inspiratory time of 0.6 s were different from those at 1.2 s (control). The “fro” flow disappeared at a inspiratory time of 0.6 s and at a cycling-off setting of 40% for pressure support ventilation. However, there were no changes observed in pendelluft. Although the inspiratory cycling-off settings affected the “to” and “fro” flow volumes, inspiratory cycling-off criteria had minimal changes influence on VT in the D lung region. This result indicated that inspiratory cycling-off criteria had minimal effect on pendelluft. In
contrast, the total $V_T$ and $V_T$ in the ND region were affected by the inspiratory cycling-off criteria.

**Discussion**

This study showed that a lung model for pendelluft could be established. Although the gas flow from the ND lung region to the D lung region was essential in pendelluft, the severity of pendelluft was not always proportional to gas flow levels. Moreover, severity increased with the increase in the differences in negative $P_{pl}$ levels between the ND and D lung regions. In addition, the severity of pendelluft was amplified by the difference in lung mechanics between the ND and D lung regions. However, the ventilator settings (eg, ventilation mode, trigger sensitivity, inspiratory pressurization, and inspiratory cycling-off) had minimal effects on the degree of pendelluft.

The differences in negative $P_{pl}$ between the ND and D lung regions induce the “to” and “fro” flows. Pendelluft is characterized by the “to” and “fro” flows. As the differences in negative $P_{pl}$ increased, the increase of $V_T$ in the D lung region, which represented the severity of pendelluft phenomenon, increased. However, the increase of $V_T$ in the D lung region was not always proportional to the volume of the “to” flow. Although the increase of $V_T$ in the D lung region might be relatively parallel to the volumes of the “fro” flow in the first experiment, it was not applicable to the experiments with various inspiratory cycling-off criteria. Thus, in pendelluft, the existence of the “to” flows is more essential than that of the “fro” flow in all experiment settings.

A mathematical model of developing the “to” gas movement from the ND lung region to the D lung region is
regions is the major factor for the development of the ventilation changes during inspiration. The distribution time, which was defined as the time available for airway pressure builds toward the preset maximum value. A faster increase in time must be selected during low-impedance conditions, whereas a slower increase in time should be selected during high-impedance conditions to prevent overshoot in airway pressure at the beginning of inspiration. A higher inspiratory pressurization is also required in case of a higher inspiratory drive. Chiumello et al reported that both high and low pressure increase slopes increase discomfort and work of breathing. However, these variables had minimal effect on the severity of pendelluft. The adjustment of each setting resulted in a slight decrease in the degree of pendelluft. However, pendelluft remained even when a condition was optimized (ie, extremely short trigger delay or maximum pressurizing speed). These results indicate that no individual ventilator setting will effectively inhibit pendelluft.

Moreover, the effects of the differences in inspiratory cycling-off were examined. To improve synchrony during assisted pressure control ventilation, the spontaneous inspiratory time of the patients must match. The duration of inspiratory effort was set at 1.2 s in this study. Thus, the inspiratory time of the control setting was set at 1.2 s. In addition, the inspiratory time of pressure control ventilation should be longer than the 3 time constants of the respiratory system to allow near complete (∼95%) lung filling, thereby maximizing the delivered VT. Although inspiratory times of 1.0–1.5 s were required in ordinary adults with orotracheal intubation, inspiratory times as short as 0.8–1.0 s are recommended in patients with reduced lung compliance. Therefore, the various inspiratory times of pressure control ventilation were examined. Moreover, the cycling-off criteria of pressure support ventilation changed the inspiratory time and affected breathing pattern. The inspiratory times changed the “to” and “fro” flow volumes. The “fro” flow volumes almost disappeared in short inspiratory time settings. However, the VT of the D lung regions were similar. Moreover, the inspiratory cycling-off had minimal effects on pendelluft.

Ppl difference between the ND and D lung regions is the main factor associated with the development of pendelluft. Our results indicate that the ventilator settings had little effect on the degree of pendelluft. However, inappropriate ventilator settings, such as insensitive triggering or inadequate inspiratory pressurization, develop stronger inspiratory efforts, which might enlarge the Ppl difference between the ND and the D lung regions, leading to increased severity of pendelluft. Therefore, ventilator settings that are
more suitable for a patient’s respiration might be an important factor in preventing ventilator-induced lung injury.

**Limitations**

The actual pendelluft volumes were defined as the incremental volumes of the VT at the D lung region from the basal VT without inspiratory efforts in each ventilatory setting. However, because the ventilatory settings affected the levels of total VT themselves, it was difficult to match the basal ventilatory status with several ventilatory settings. Therefore, similar to a common clinical scenario, the changes in the VT at the D lung region caused by the adjustments using each single inspiratory setting were examined. The severity of pendelluft was assessed by comparing VT at the D lung region using several ventilatory settings.

Varying inspiratory flow in volume control ventilation and varying inspiratory pressure in pressure control ventilation or pressure support ventilation would modify the pendelluft by changing all inspiratory effort levels. Unfortunately, determining the entire inspiratory level settings of model lungs was difficult during simulation. Therefore, simple volume control ventilation or pressure support ventilation and pressure control ventilation settings were used in this study. Further animal or human examination is necessary to determine the effects of varying inspiratory flow in volume control ventilation and varying inspiratory pressure in pressure control ventilation or pressure support ventilation.

Because it is extremely difficult to assess ventilatory gas distribution status in the lungs using several ventilatory settings in humans or animals, we used lung models in this study. However, the use of such models, rather than human or animal models, is a major limitation of this study. The regional lung mechanics are an important factor affecting ventilatory gas distribution. Some researchers have measured regional lung compliances using electro-impedance tomography.\(^5\) Becher et al\(^6\) evaluated regional lung compliances during assisted spontaneous breathing in subjects admitted to the ICU. The mean ± SD regional lung compliance of the ventral lung region was 40.9 ± 15.9 mL/cm H\(_2\)O, and that of the dorsal lung region was 18.8 ± 9.3 mL/cm H\(_2\)O. Moreover, Spadaro et al\(^3\) measured regional lung compliances in the D and ND lung regions in 14
subjects with acute hypoxic respiratory failure and ARDS. They reported that median (interquartile range) regional lung compliances in the ND and the D lung regions were 25.3 (21.3–30.4) and 11.9 (10.4–16.7) mL/cm H2O, respectively. The settings used in our study were similar to those in previous studies.3

The settings of the Ppl in each lung region are another limitation of this study. The diaphragmatic bellows, which were ventilated with the Avea ventilators, produced 4 different Ppl levels. The lowest and highest levels of Ppl were used in the experiments to examine the effects of the ventilatory settings. The Ppl at the D lung region was 2 times higher than that at the ND lung region in previous animal experiments. However, the driving pressure of the ventilator at the D lung region was 4-fold higher than that at the ND lung region in our study, and the level of driving pressure was not parallel to Ppl.1 Therefore, the settings of the lung models were evaluated to establish pendelluft. To validate the results of our study, well-designed studies must be conducted in the future.

Conclusions

We established a lung model for pendelluft. Pendelluft was affected by the heterogeneity of lung mechanics and negative Ppl between the ND and D lung regions. Minimal association was observed between the severity of pendelluft and the ventilator settings, such as ventilatory mode, triggering sensitivity, inspiratory pressurization, and inspiratory cycling-off. Moreover, no individual setting eliminated pendelluft.
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