TITLE

EFFECT OF ORAL HYGIENE AND 0.12% CHLORHEXIDINE GLUCONATE ORAL RINSE IN PREVENTING OF VENTILATOR-ASSOCIATED PNEUMONIA AFTER CARDIOVASCULAR SURGERY

AUTHORS

¹⁻² Liliana Noemí Nicolosi*, ¹⁻²Maria del Carmen Rubio*, ¹⁻² Carlos Daniel Martinez, ²Nidia Noemí González, ²Marisa Edith Cruz.

INSTITUTIONS

- ¹ Spanish Hospital of Buenos Aires, 2975, Belgrano Av. C1209AAN. Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- ² Pathology and Clinical Oral, School of Dentistry, University of Buenos Aires, MT Alvear 2142, 1113 Buenos Aires, Argentina

**Corresponding author: Liliana Nicolosi, Prof. Dr.

Cardiología, Hospital Español de Buenos Aires.

Av. Belgrano 2975, C1209AAN Buenos Aires, Argentina

TEL: + 54 11 49596298

FAX + 54 11 49596298

 $e\text{-mail:}\ \underline{cardiologia@hospitalespanolba.com.ar}$

mcrubio@live.com.ar

^{*}equal contribution to the manuscript

ABSTRACT

Ventilator-associated pneumonia is a nosocomial infection of multifactorial etiology and has a negative influence on cardiovascular surgery outcomes.

OBJECTIVES: To determine the effect of tooth-brushing plus 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate oral rinse in preventing of ventilator-associated pneumonia after cardiovascular surgery.

METHODS: In a quasi-experimental study patients undergoing heart surgery were enrolled on a protocol for controlling dental biofilm by proper oral hygiene (tooth brushing) and oral rinses with 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate (Group 1), and were compared to a group of historical controls (Group 2), which included patients who underwent cardiac surgery between 2009 and 2010 and who received regular oral hygiene care. Seventy-two hours before surgery, a dentist instructed and supervised oral hygiene with tooth brushing and chlorhexidine oral rinses in patients in Group 1.

RESULTS: Each group comprised 150 patients. A lower incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia (2.66%; 95% CI = 0.71-7.8 vs. 8.66%; 95% CI= 4.88- 14.66; p=0.04), and shorter hospital stay (measured in days) (9 \pm 3; 95% CI = 8.51-9.48 vs. 10 \pm 4; 95% CI= 9.35-10.64; p=0.01) were observed in Group 1. No significant differences in all-cause in-hospital death were observed between groups (5.33% vs. 4.66%; p=1.00). The risk for developing pneumonia after surgery was three-fold higher in Group 2 (OR 3.87; 95% CI =1.05-14.19).

CONCLUSIONS: Oral hygiene and mouth rinses with chlorhexidine under supervision of a dentist proved effective in reducing the incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia.

KEY WORDS: ventilator-associated pneumonia- prevention – chlorhexidine – cardiovascular surgery.

INTRODUCTION:

The risk of developing post-surgical infection is a threat to early clinical recovery after cardiovascular surgery (CVS).

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is a serious post-operative complication, and has a high impact on hospital stay and health-care costs. (1-3)

VAP occurs in 9 to 27% of patients with endotracheal intubation, resulting in an 8-fold increase in the risk of death in patients undergoing CVS. (4-5) It is therefore essential to further efforts to prevent VAP and identify predisposing risk-factors, in order to control them. (6)

The patient's own flora is a primary source of microorganisms for the development of this pathology. Aspiration of microorganisms from the aerodigestive tract has been involved in the physiopathology of VAP, and is the most important risk factor for colonization of the oropharynx . (7-8)

Different strategies have been implemented with the aims to decrease the bacterial load by means of oral decontamination, including the use of local antiseptics. Chlorhexidine, for example, is employed on account of its high level of antibacterial, antiviral, and antifungal activity and high substantivity (ability to bind to oral tissues with subsequent slow release of antiseptic properties and therefore a long period of antibacterial action). (9-10) Although generally safe, the Chlorhexidine is not free of adverse events.

Most studies on VAP have been conducted in Intensive Care units and therefore in critically ill patients, so that in addition to heterogeneity of the underlying pathology, the patients have other risk factors for VAP including duration of endotracheal intubation and immunologic compromise. (11-12)

There is less information on VAP prevention in patients undergoing elective cardiac surgery, and therefore the efficacy of only using local antiseptics to decrease the incidence of VAP in these patients remains unclear. Contributing to the controversy are findings supporting the use of local antibiotics to decrease the oropharyngeal microbial load, the use of which results in higher risk of bacterial resistance, allergic reactions, and increased cost. (13-14)

The present study sought to contribute a strategy for oral decontamination in patients undergoing elective CVS, involving a protocol of oral hygiene and 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate oral rinses under the supervision of dental professionals, with the aims to determine the effect of employing the described protocol on the incidence of VAP after major heart surgery.

The aim of the present study was to determine the effect of oral hygiene and 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate oral rinse in preventing of ventilator-associated pneumonia after cardiac surgery.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A quasi-experimental study was conducted in patients undergoing CVS at the Spanish Hospital of Buenos Aires between January 2011 and December 2012. The patients were subjected to an oral decontamination protocol (Group 1) and compared to historical controls (Group 2), which comprised patients who underwent CVS between January 2009 and December 2010 at the same hospital, with the same surgical team, Intensive Care Unit staff and the hospital infection control personnel during both periods of time. As a part of the inclusion/exclusion surgery criteria, all patients were given intranasal 2% mupirocin ointment twice daily for 3 days before surgery. (21-24)

A third-generation cephalosporin was administered 30 minutes before surgery and was continued for 24 hous after surgery (25-26), the habitual oral hygiene, and not subjected

to the dental plaque control and 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate oral rinse protocol.

Inclusion criteria: Patients scheduled for CVS requiring sternotomy. All patients in

Group 1 signed an informed consent form. Exclusion criteria: Patients requiring

emergency surgery, patients who died within the first 48 hours after surgery, patients

presenting infection prior to surgery, patients receiving antibiotic therapy during 30

days prior to surgery, patients receiving immunosuppressive therapy or who were

hypersensitive to chlorhexidine gluconate, totally edentulous patients.

The study was conducted in compliance with international standards of data protection

and confidentiality, as stated in the declarations of Helsinki, Tokyo, and subsequent

documents.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Spanish Hospital of Buenos

Aires.

The logistic EuroSCORE (European System for cardiac operative risk evaluation) was

calculated and used as a predictor of operative mortality. (15-16)

The patients were evaluated by a team of calibrated dentists who determined oral health

status and specific dental treatment needs prior to surgery. The patients were then

enrolled in a protocol for oral decontamination, which consisted of instructing the

patient on oral hygiene using the modified Bass technique. (17) The latter consists of

tipping the toothbrush at a 45° angle and brushing no more than 3 teeth at a time using

gentle vibratory/circular movements for around 10 to 15 seconds, ensuring that each

tooth is brushed on each surface. Hygiene was complemented with dental floss and

interdental brushes, and cleaning partial dentures as required. The patients rinsed their

mouth with 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate every 12 h for 3 days. (18-20) All patients underwent pre-surgery profilaxis as described above.

The endpoint of the study was development of VAP; cases of VAP diagnosed within 48 hours of intubation or 72 hours after extubation, were included. Criteria for diagnosis of VAP were evidence of new lung infiltrate in chest X-rays in addition to at least two of the following: leukocytosis, fever, or purulent tracheobronchial secretion. (27-28) All patients had a High Resolution Computed Tomography scan as an adjuvant diagnostic tool.

All infections occurring post-surgery were recorded, and VAP pathogens were identified at the bacteriology and microbiology laboratory of the hospital.

Statistical analysis

The differences between groups were analyzed using Chi square test and Fisher's test; independent risk factors that could influence the incidence of VAP were determined using multivariate logistic regression analysis (variables are shown in Table 1). Statistical significance was set at $\alpha < 0.05$; 95% confidence intervals (CI). All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 17. Sample size was calculated in accordance with the formula of sample size with the hypothesis testing for difference of frequency mean of two independent groups (group 1: 1.5% and group 2: 8.66%). Significance level of α =5% and a power of 80% were used. Based on these considerations, a total of 123 patients in each group were required.

RESULTS

Two-hundred and ten patients scheduled for elective CVS were studied. However, according to inclusion/exclusion criteria, one-hundred and fifty of these patients were enrolled on a protocol for oral decontamination under the supervision of a dentist

(Group 1) and compared to a group of 150 patients receiving CVS in previous years, with no oral decontamination before surgery (Group 2).

The characteristics of the population as well as the type of surgery are shown in Table 1. The presence of post-operative infection was recorded; a lower incidence of VAP was observed in Group 1 (2.66 %; 95% CI = 0.71-7.8) as compared with Group 2 (8.66%; 95% CI = 4.88-14.66) (p=0.04).

On average, the risk of developing VAP after surgery was three-fold higher in patients who did not receive oral decontamination (OR 3.8; 95% CI =1.05-14.19).

As regards the remaining infections, no significant differences were observed between groups as shown in Table 2.

A significant decrease in length of hospital stay was observed in Group 1 (9 \pm 3; 95% CI = 8.51-9.48) as compared to Group 2 (10 \pm 4; 95% CI = 9.35-10.64) (p=0.01).

The pathogens identified in VAP patients are shown in Table 3.

No significant differences in all-cause in hospital death were observed between groups: 5.33% (n=8) and 4.66% (n=7) (p=1.00) for patients receiving and not receiving oral decontamination, Group 1 and Group 2; respectively.

DISCUSSION

The present study shows that the oral hygiene protocol was associated with a lower incidence of VAP. Our patient population was at low risk of developing VAP which increases with age (more than 70 years of age), perioperative transfusions, previous heart surgery, emergency surgery, intraoperative inotropic support, endotracheal reintubation, and duration of mechanical ventilation support, with the incidence of VAP reaching 45.9% after 48 h (6); however, differences between groups receiving and not receiving oral decontamination were detected.

VAP as a nosocomial infection prolongs hospital stay and increases mortality and medical costs. (29-30) Great effort has been devoted to identifying the risk factors of VAP, in an attempt to diminish the incidence and consequences of this disease. (31-33) Aspiration of bacteria from the upper digestive tract has been identified as a key mechanism in the pathogenesis of VAP. (34) The normal flora of the oral cavity can comprise a variety of up to 350 bacterial species that have the potential to colonize different oral surfaces. (35) The host defense mechanisms in the critically ill are diminished, generating a suitable environment for the adhesion of microorganisms to epithelial cells in the mouth and pharynx. (36) Tackling dental biofilm (bacterial plaque) formation by optimizing oral hygiene and performing oral decontamination in critically ill patients is an essential strategy for decreasing the incidence of VAP. (37-38)

The present study showed that chlorhexidine gluconate effectively diminished the bacterial load of the dental plaque reducing its pathogenic potential and oral decontamination effectively decreased the incidence of VAP in patients hospitalized in intensive care unit. These data are in agreement with the effects shown by others. (39-41)

Though not critically ill and not usually requiring mechanical ventilation support for more than 24 h, patients undergoing elective cardiac surgery are likely to develop VAP.

For instance, this study found a decreased incidence of VAP with oral hygiene and the chlorhexidine gluconate oral rinses, seventy-two hours before surgery, and therefore, before endotracheal intubation.

There are reports showing that oropharyngeal cleansing with 0.2% chlorhexidine solution was similar in antimicrobial properties to oral cleansing with potassium

permanganate. Moreover, published results regarding the efficacy of a local antiseptic and oral hygiene in preventing VAP are controversial. (42-43)

The prospective, randomized, controlled trial conducted by Segers et al to determine the efficacy of chlorhexidine gluconate in decreasing nosocomial infection after cardiac surgery showed a lower incidence of deep surgical site infections and lower respiratory tract infections, including tracheobronchitis and VAP, in chlorhexidine gluconate treated patients. In contrast with other reports, analysis of postoperative infections showed similar percentages of surgical site infections in both groups. (44)

The Guidelines of the Centers for the Control and Prevention of Diseases recommend topical application of oral 0.12% chlorhexidine during the preoperative period in adults undergoing CVS (level II evidence). (28)

The meta-analysis by Tantipong et al showed that oral antiseptic prophylaxis significantly reduced the incidence of VAP. (45)

According to the meta-analysis by Pineda et al, which included four studies, the use of chlorhexidine as a local antiseptic of the oral cavity did not result in a lower incidence of VAP. (46-47) In contrast, our observations are in agreement with other reports showing that oral decontamination effectively decreased the incidence of VAP. (48-50)

Our results showed no differences in mortality which are similar to that reported in other studies by Segers (44) and Chan et al (38). This finding may be associated with the low expected mortality of our group of patients, as predicted by the EuroSCORE, which was below 5%. (38)

A study reported in the literature found the use of intravenous and topical antibiotics in intensive care unit patients receiving mechanical ventilation for more than 48 h to result in lower mortality. (51)

The patients studied herein received prophylactic intravenous antibiotic therapy in keeping with current recommendations, and this likely explains the similar mortality in patients receiving and not receiving oral decontamination. (52)

The decontamination strategies and the pathologies found in patients requiring mechanical ventilation are varied. Different oral decontamination protocols have been used, and have ranged from including oral hygiene and local antiseptics, to topically applied antibiotics. In addition, other strategies involve decontamination of the aerodigestive tract and intravenous antibiotic prophylaxis. The differences among studies regarding the choice and use of these strategies would account for discrepancies among results, which in turn contribute to sustaining the controversy.

Patients undergoing CVS are a special group within the wide spectrum of patients, and the use of oral decontamination in these subjects has also yielded controversial results. (53-54) Prevention of VAP should focus on optimizing the host's defenses in order to avoid the pathogens to get through the defense barriers. Examination of the oral cavity of patients undergoing CVS provides an excellent opportunity to reduce the risk of nosocomial infection.

The results of the present study further highlight the need to maximize resources by optimizing hygiene and local antisepsis with chlorhexidine in order to decrease the oral pathogen load preoperatively. The protocol used in the present study was designed for this purpose, and allowed reducing the incidence of VAP.

Among the limitations to our study is the fact that it is not a prospective randomized case-control study. We compared a group of historical controls, with similar characteristics, treated at the same Center but at a previous time, not receiving oral decontamination because the chlorhexidine gluconate oral rinse was not implemented at

that time, to a group of patients enrolled in the study protocol and assessed prospectively. The present study design was based on current scientific evidence of the benefits of decontamination with chlorhexidine before surgery in reducing the risk of VAP. We consider unethical to conduct a clinical trial study in which a group of patients would be denied the chance to decrease the bacterial plaque load before a high-risk procedure, as is the case of cardiac surgery.

CONCLUSIONS:

Oral hygiene and chlorhexidine gluconate oral rinses before elective cardiac surgery proved effective in reducing the incidence of postoperative ventilator associated pneumonia.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS:

The lower incidence of VAP in patients undergoing elective CVS resulted in a shorter hospital stay, but had no significant impact on mortality, which must be analyzed in a larger study sample.

In view of the safety, simplicity, and efficacy of the protocol described herein, it would seem suitable as a prevention strategy to be used preoperatively in patients undergoing elective cardiovascular surgery.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Dr Susana Piovano for her technical assistance.

Dr Vicente Castiglia for his assistance in perfoming the statistical analyses.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES:

- 1-Rello J, Ollendorf DA, Oster G. Epidemiology and outcomes of ventilator-associated pneumonia in a large US database. *Chest* 2002; 122:2115–2121.
- 2-Arabi Y, Al-Shirawi N, Memish Z Ventilator-associated pneumonia in adults in developing countries: a systematic review. *Int J Infect Dis* 2008; 12:505–512.
- 3-Anonymous National nosocomial infections surveillance (NNIS) system report, data summary from January 1992 through June 2004, issued October 2004. *Am J Infect Control* 32:470–485.
- 4- Chastre J, Fagon JY. Ventilator associated pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002; 165: 867-903
- 5-Rello J, Ollendorf DA, Oster, Montserrat V, Bellm L, Redman R, Kollef MH. Epidemiology and outcomes of ventilator- associated pneumonia in a large US database. *Chest* 2002; 122:2121
- 6- Hortal J, Giannella M, Perez MJ, Barrio JM, Desco M, Bouza E, Muñoz P. Incidence and risk factors for Ventilator Associated Pneumonia after Major Heart Surgery. *Intensive Care Med* 2009; 35 (9):1518-25
- 7- De Jonge E, Schultz MJ, Spanjaard L, Bossuyt PM, Vroom MB, Dankert J, Kesecioglu J. Effects of selective decontamination of digestive tract on mortality and acquisition of resistant bacteria in intensive care: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2003 Sep 27; 362(9389):1011-6.
- 8- Bergmans DC, Bonten MJ, Gaillard CA, Paling JC, van der Geest S, van Tiel FH, Beysens AJ, et al. Prevention of Ventilator-associated Pneumonia by Oral Decontamination: a Prospective, Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled Study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001; 164 (3):382-8

- 9- Seguin, P, Tanguy, M, Laviolle B, Tirel O, Mallédant Y. Effect of oropharyngeal decontamination by povidone-iodine on ventilator-associated pneumonia in patients with head trauma. *Crit Care Med.* 2006; Vol. 34 (5): 1514-1519
- 10- Denton GW: Chlorhexidine. In Disinfection, Sterilization, and Preservation. 5th edition. Edited by Block SS. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2001:321-326.
- 11- Hunter JD. Ventilator associated pneumonia. BMJ 2012; 344: e3325
- 12- Zandstra DF, Petros AJ, Silvestri L, van Saene HKF. Critical illness related pneumonia rather than ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) *Respir Care* 2012; 57: 329-331.
- 13- D'Amico R, Pifferi S, Leonetti C, Torri V, Tinazzi A, Liberati A.

 Effectiveness of antibiotic prophylaxis in critically ill adult patients: systematic review of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* 1998; 316(7140):1275-85
- 14- Falagas ME, Siempos II, Bliziotis IA, Michalopoulos A: Administration of antibiotics via respiratory tract for the prevention of ICU-acquired pneumonia: a meta-analysis of comparative trials. *Crit Care* 2006, 10: R123
- 15- F Bhatti, A D Grayson, G Grotte, B M Fabri, J Au, M Jones, and Bridgewater.

 The logistic EuroSCORE in cardiac surgery: how well does it predict operative risk? *Heart.* 2006; 92(12): 1817–1820
- 16-Karthik S, Srinivasan AK, Grayson AD, Jackson M, Sharpe DA, Keenan DJ, Bridgewater B, Fabri BM, Limitations of additive EuroSCORE for measuring risk stratified mortality in combined coronary and valve surgery. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2004; 26(2):318-22.
- 17- Bass CC: An effective method of personal hygiene. *J La State Med Soc* 1954; 106(3): 100-112

- 18- Imai PH, Yu X, MacDonald D. Comparison of interdental brush to dental floss for reduction of clinical parameters of periodontal disease: a systematic review.

 CJDH 2012; 46(1): 63-78.
- 19- Rajapakse P S, McCracken G I, Gwynnett E, Steen N D, Guentsch A, Heasman P A. Does tooth brushing influence the development and progression of non-inflammatory gingival recession: a systematic review. *J Clin Periodontol* 2007; 34(12): 1046-1061.
- 20- Newman MG, Takei HH, Klokkevold PR, Carranza FA. Carranza's Clinical Periodontology. 11th edition. St. Louis: Elsevier/Saunders 2011: 422-426
- 21- Konvalinka A, Errett L, Fong IW. Impact of treating Staphylococcus aureus nasal carriers on wound infections in cardiac surgery. *J Hosp Infect* 2006; 64(2):162-8.
- 22- Mori N, Hitomi S, Nakajima J, Okuzumi K, Murakami A, Kimura S. Unselective use of intranasal mupirocin ointment for controlling propagation of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in a thoracic surgery ward. *J Infect Chemother* 2005; 11(5):231-3.
- 23- Trautmann M, Stecher J, Hemmer W, Luz K, Panknin HT. Intranasal mupirocin prophylaxis in elective surgery. A review of published studies. *Chemotherapy* 2008; 54(1): 9-16.
- 24- Walsh EE, Greene L, R Kirshner. Sustained reduction in methicillin-resistant
 Staphylococcus aureus wound infections after cardiothoracic surgery. *Arch Intern Med*2011; 171 (1): 68-73
- 25- Inkster T. Antibiotic prophylaxis for cardiac surgery: a shift away from traditional cephalosporins? *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2009; 23: 933–5.

- 26- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Surgical Site Infection CG74.
 London: National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, 2008.
 http://www.nice.org.uk
- 27- Rotstein C, Evans G, Born A, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for hospital-acquired pneumonia and ventilator-associated pneumonia in adults. *Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol* 2008; 19:19–53.
- 28- Guidelines for the Management of Adults with Hospital-acquired, Ventilator-associated, and Healthcare-associated Pneumonia. American Thoracic Society and the Infectious Diseases Society of America Am *J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005; Vol 171: 388–416
- 29- Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention. National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance (NNIS) System report, data summary from January 1992 through June 2004. *Am J Infect Control* 2004; 32:470-487.
- 30- Safdar N, Dezfulian C, Collard HR, Saint S. Clinical and economic consequences of ventilator associated pneumonia: a systematic review. *Crit Care Med* 2005; 33: 2184-2193
- 31- Coffin SE, Klompas M, Classen D, Arias KM, Podgorny K, Anderson DJ, Burstin H, et al. Strategies to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia in acute care hospitals. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2008; 29 Suppl 1:31-40.
- 32- Richards MJ, Edwards JR, Culver DH, Gaynes RP. Nosocomial infections in combined medical surgical intensive care units in the United States. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2000;21:510-515
- 33- Ibrahim EH, Tracy L, Hill C, Fraser VJ, Kollef MH. The occurrence of ventilator associated pneumonia in a community hospital: risk factors and clinical outcomes. *Chest* 2001;120:555-561.

- 34- Estes RJ, Meduri GU. The pathogenesis of ventilator- associated pneumonia: Mechanisms of bacterial transcolonisation and airway inoculation. *Intensive Care Med* 1995; 21: 365-83
- 35- Bagg J, Mac Farlane TW, Poxton IR, Miller CH, Smith AJ. Essentials of Microbiology for Dental Students. 3rd Ed. New York: Oxford University Press, 1999: 227-310.
- 36- Gibbons RJ. Bacterial adhesion to oral tissues: a model for infectious diseases. *J Dent Res* 1989; 68 (5): 750-760.
- 37- Berry AM, Davidson PM, Masters J, Rolls K. Systematic literature review of oral hygiene practices for intensive care patients receiving mechanical ventilation. *Am J Crit Care* 2007; 16(6):552-62
- 38- Chan EY, Ruest A, Meade MO, Cook DJ. Oral decontamination for prevention of pneumonia in mechanically ventilated adults: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2007; 334 (7599):889.
- 39- O'Reilly M. Oral care of the critically ill: a review of the literature and guidelines for practice. *Crit Care* 2003; 16(3):101-110.
- 40- Kola A, Gastmeier P. Efficacy of oral chlorhexidine in preventing lower respiratory tract infections. Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Hosp Infect* 2007; 66(3):207-16.
- 41- Pileggi C, Bianco A, Flotta D, Nobile CG, Pavia M. Prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia, mortality and all intensive care unit acquired infections by topically applied antimicrobial or antiseptic agents: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials in intensive care units. *Crit Care* 2011; 15 (3): R155.
- 42- Panchabhai TS, Dangayach NS, Krishnan A, Kothari VM, Karnad DR.

 Oropharyngeal cleansing with 0.2% chlorhexidine for prevention of nosocomial

- pneumonia in critically ill patients: an open-label randomized trial with 0.01% potassium permanganate as control. *Chest* 2009; 135 (5):1150-6.
- 43- Munro CL, Grap MJ, Jones DJ, McClish DK, Sessler CN. Chlorhexidine, toothbrushing, and preventing ventilator-associated pneumonia in critically ill adults. *Am J Crit Care* 2009; 18(5):428-37.
- 44- Segers P, Speekenbrink RG, Ubbink DT, van Ogtrop ML, de Mol BA.

 Prevention of nosocomial infection in cardiac surgery by decontamination of the nasopharynx and oropharynx with chlorhexidine gluconate: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2006; 296(20):2460-6
- 45- Tantipong H, Morkjareonpong C, Jaiyindee S, V Thamlikitkul. Randomized controlled trial and meta-analysis of oral decontamination with 2% chlorhexidine solution for the prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Infect Control de Hosp Epidemiol* 2008; 29 (2):131-6.
- 46- Pineda LA, Saliba RG, EL Solh AA. Effect of oral decontamination with chlorhexidine on the incidence of nosocomial pneumonia: a meta-analysis. *Crit Care* 2006; 10: R35.
- 47- Bellissimo Rodrigues F, Bellissimo Rodrigues WT, Viana JM, Teixeira GC, Nicolini E, Auxiliadora Martins M, Passos AD, et al. Effectiveness of oral rinse with chlorhexidine in preventing nosocomial respiratory tract infections among intensive care unit patients. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2009; 30 (10):952-8.
- 48- Koeman M, Van der Ven A J, Hak E. Oral decontamination with chlorhexidine reduces the incidence of ventilator associated pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006
- 49- Chlebicki MP, Safdar N. Topical chlorhexidine for prevention of ventilator associated pneumonia: a meta analysis. *Crit Care Med* 2007; 35: 595-602.

- 50- Zamora Zamora F. Effectiveness of oral care in the prevention of ventilator associated pneumonia. Systematic review and meta- analysis of randomised clinical trials. *Enferm Clin* 2011; 21 (6): 308-19
- 51- de Smet AM, Kluytmans JA, Cooper BS, Mascini EM, Benus RF, van der Werf TS, van der Hoeven, et al. Decontamination of the digestive tract and oropharynx in ICU patients. *N Engl J Med* 2009; 360 (1):20-31.
- 52- Lador A, Nasir H, Mansur N et al. Antibiotic prophylaxis in cardiac surgery: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2012; 67: 541–50.
- 53- DeRiso AJ II, Ladowski JS, Dillon TA, Justice JW, Peterson AC. Chlorhexidine gluconate 0.12% oral rinse reduces the incidence of total nosocomial respiratory infection and non-prophylactic systemic antibiotic use in patients undergoing heart surgery. *Chest* 1996; 109:1556-1561
- 54- Houston S, Hougland P, Anderson JJ, LaRocco M, Kennedy V, Gentry LO. Effectiveness of 0.12% chlorhexidine oral rinse in reducing prevalence of nosocomial pneumonia in patients undergoing heart surgery. *Am J Crit Care* 2002; 11567-570

TABLES

Table 1

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY POPULATION

PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS	Receiving oral decontamination Group 1 (n=150)	No oral decontamination Group 2 (n=150)
Mean age in years (SD)	62.3 ± 12.4 (CI 95% 60.7 - 63.8)	$63.10 \pm 9.3 \text{ (CI 9 5\% 62.0-64.1)}$
Men	112 (81.33)	129 (86)
Mean EuroSCORE (SD)	4.7 ± 1.8 (CI 95% 4.4-4.9)	4.6 ± 1.8 (CI 95 % 4.3 - 4.8)
Surgical Procedure: Coronary Bypass	66 (44)	68 (45.33)
Bypass with pump	21 (14)	25 (16.66)
Valve replacement	38 (25.33)	35 (23.33)
Combined *	18 (12)	9 (14)
	4 (2.66)	5 (3.33)
Thoracic Aortic Artery		
Bentall Bono †	3 (2)	3 (2)
Diabetes	22 (14.66)	21 (14)
COPD ‡	9.33 (14)	12 (8)
Active Smoker	9 (6)	11 (7.33)
Mean EF§ (SD)	51 ± 14.3 (CI 95% 49.1-52.8)	$50 \pm 13.2 \text{ (CI 95 \% 48.4-51.5)}$
Previous myocardial infarction	18 (12)	19 (12.66)
Renal clearance < 60ml/min ¶	5 (3.33)	6 (4)
Peripheral arteriopathy	11 (7.33)	13 (8.66)
Post-operative Reoperation	15 (10)	16 (10.66)
> 24 h Inotropic support	11 (7.33)	14 (9)
Mean duration of Mechanical ventilation in hours (SD)	12.8 ± 10.4 (CI 95% 11.4-14.3)	13.4 ± 10.2 (CI 95% 12.1-14.6)

^{*} Combined: Coronary bypass and valve replacement

[†] Bentall Bono: Replacement of the ascending aorta and of the aortic valve

[‡] COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

[§] EF: Ejection fraction

¶ Renal clearance was calculated using the Cockroft-Gault equation

Table 2

POSTOPERATIVE INFECTIONS

Nosocomial Infections	Receiving Oral Decontamination n and % of patients Group 1 (n=150)	No Oral Decontamination n and % of patients Group 2 (n=150)	p value
VAP	4 (2.66)	13 (8.66)	0.04
Urinary infection	19 (12.66)	16 (10.66)	0.71
Superficial incisional surgical site infection*	10 (6.66)	18 (12)	0.59
Deep incisional surgical site infection†	8 (5.33)	12 (8)	0.57
Deep sternal surgical site infections ‡	5 (3.33)	10 (6.66)	0.28

^{*} Superficial incisional surgical site infection

[†] Deep incisional surgical site infection ‡ Deep sternal surgical site infections

Table 3

VAP PATHOGENS IDENTIFIED IN EACH GROUP

	Receiving Oral Decontamination Group 1 (n=4)	No Oral Decontamination Group 2 (n=13)
Klebsiella pneumoniae	2	3
Pseudomona aeruginosa	0	4
Sraphylococcus auerus	2	3
Escherichia coli	0	2
Streptococcus viridans	0	1