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BACKGROUND: The aim of this study was to evaluate the performance of the recently described timed inspiratory effort (TIE) index in comparison with 4 other previously reported indices as to the weaning outcome in patients with neurologic or neuromuscular disorders.

METHODS: This observational prospective study included subjects undergoing weaning from mechanical ventilation. The performance of the indices was evaluated by calculation of the area under the receiver operating characteristic curves. The areas under the curve were compared using the Hanley and McNeil method. \( P \) values < .05 were considered significant. RESULTS: Seventy-two subjects (57 ± 20 y old) were selected for the study. Forty-three subjects were weaned, and 21 died during the study period. The mean duration of mechanical ventilation was 22.3 ± 19.4 d. The areas under the curve of 5 weaning predictors (TIE index, integrative weaning index, noninvasive tension-time index, maximum inspiratory pressure, and breathing frequency/tidal volume index) were significantly higher than those of the other indices. The TIE index had the largest area under the curve (0.96 ± 0.02) in comparison with the integrative weaning index (0.82 ± 0.05, \( P = .009 \)), noninvasive tension-time index (0.80 ± 0.05, \( P = .001 \)), maximum inspiratory pressure (0.77 ± 0.06, \( P = .001 \)), and breathing frequency/tidal volume index (0.72 ± 0.06, \( P = .001 \)). CONCLUSIONS: In patients with neurologic or neuromuscular impairment, the TIE index had a better performance than the best weaning indices used in clinical practice. Key words: mechanical ventilation; weaning; maximum inspiratory pressure; respiratory muscle; critical care. [Respir Care 2015;60(2):1–*. © 2015 Daedalus Enterprises]

Introduction

Previous studies have reported that ventilator weaning and extubation failures in subjects with neurologic and neuromuscular diseases are related to severity of the injury.\(^1\,\,2\) Moreover, lower Glasgow coma scores on admission and/or lower pulmonary capacity and inadequate toilet have been associated with increased duration of ventilation.\(^1\)

Weaning decisions based solely on expert clinical judgment are not always correct.\(^3\) Previous reports suggest that clinical judgment based on traditional respiratory parameters and the level of neuromuscular impairment\(^4\,\,7\) may prevent unnecessary delay of withdrawal from mechanical ventilation and mitigate complications such as diaphragm atrophy and weakness.\(^8\,\,9\)

A number of procedures to verify patients’ readiness for weaning have been proposed.\(^10\) Currently, the 3 weaning readiness assessments should include the following steps: measurement of weaning predictor indices, unassisted breathing trial (ie, low-pressure support or T-tube trial), and extubation trial.\(^11\)
Spontaneous ventilation is dependent on the drive from the central nervous system, the capacity of the respiratory muscles, and the load imposed on them. Factors most likely to be predictive of extubation outcome are those assessing the effectiveness of breathing efforts and/or the load of the respiratory muscles. In fact, univariate indices that examine a single aspect of physiologic function often have poor predictive power probably because they do not fully reflect all the pathophysiologic processes affecting weaning outcome. Accordingly, predictions that use multivariate indices that integrate a number of physiologic functions are more accurate. On reviewing the literature for ventilator weaning, 5 indices demonstrated enough accuracy for predicting the weaning outcome: frequency/tidal volume ($f$/$V_T$), maximum inspiratory pressure with unidirectional valve ($P_{\text{Imax(UV)}}$), integrative weaning index (IWI), tension-time index of inspiratory muscles (TT$_{\text{mus}}$) and timed inspiratory effort (TIE). Unfortunately, conventional weaning predictive indices have less accuracy in patients with neurologic or neuromuscular diseases. We hypothesized that the recently reported TIE index, which combines the ability to generate an inspiratory pressure with the time needed to achieve $P_{\text{Imax}}$, could perform better in such a group of patients. Thus, the objective of this study was to compare the predictive performance of the TIE index with the 4 best previously described weaning indices in patients with neurologic or neuromuscular diseases.

**Methods**

**Subjects and Settings**

This study was conducted in the ICU of a general hospital. The ICU is a closed 33-bed unit attended by 4 physicians certified and trained in critical care, two 24-h respiratory physiotherapists, 2 senior nurses, and nurse assistants (at a ratio of one for 3 patients). The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Fluminense Federal University (number 259/09). Informed consent was obtained from each subject, whenever possible, or from the subject’s next-of-kin. We utilized the same database used in a previous report, complementing the analysis focusing on subjects with neurologic or neuromuscular diseases.

All mechanically ventilated subjects who were clinically stable and judged ready to undergo a weaning trial by their primary physicians and respiratory physiotherapists were enrolled in this prospective observational study. Inclusion criteria included: 18 y or older, a neurologic or neurosurgical condition, and mechanical ventilation for > 24 h. Subjects were accepted for enrollment if they met the American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society 2007 guidelines to start a weaning trial. These criteria were as follows: resolution of the acute phase of the disease for which the subject was intubated, adequate cough reflex or absence of excessive tracheobronchial secretions, stable cardiovascular status (heart rate of ≤ 120 beats/min and systolic arterial blood pressure of 90–160 mm Hg, with no or minimal use of vasopressors), stable metabolic status, adequate oxygenation (arterial oxygen saturation $\{S_{\text{aO}_2}\}$ of > 90% on $F_{\text{O}_2}$ ≤ 0.4 or $\text{P}_{\text{aO}_2}/F_{\text{O}_2}$ ≥ 200 mm Hg with PEEP ≤ 8 cm H$_2$O), adequate ventilatory pattern (breathing frequency of ≤ 35 breaths/min) with pressure support of ≤ 16 cm H$_2$O, no significant respiratory acidosis (pH > 7.30), and adequate mentation or a Glasgow coma score of > 10 (only for subjects with an endotracheal tube).

Exclusion criteria were as follows: tracheal stenosis, intracranial pressure of > 20 mm Hg, sedation, overt cardiac failure or hemodynamic instability, and signs of systemic infection/re-infection during the weaning process. The Taema eXtend ventilator (Air Liquide, Gentilly, France) was used.

**Study Procedures**

Discontinuation from mechanical ventilation was attempted when the physician in charge judged that the subject was ready to be weaned according to the aforementioned criteria. Before testing, all subjects were under pressure support ventilation (ranging from 5 to 16 cm H$_2$O), with PEEP varying from 5 to 8 cm H$_2$O. The subjects were
not sedated (they were all between +1 and −1 on the Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale\textsuperscript{21}) and were allowed to breathe spontaneously for 10 s before performing the test.\textsuperscript{22-23} They were in a semirecumbent position (45°), with their Airways suctioned, and were pre-oxygenated for 2 min with an \textit{F\textsubscript{IO\textsubscript{2}}} of 1.0.\textsuperscript{22-23} The endotracheal tube cuff was hyperinflated to prevent air leakage during measurements.\textsuperscript{22-23}

First, the variables that could be registered under mechanical ventilation were obtained. Second, spirometry was performed, and the subject was returned to mechanical ventilation. After stabilization, subjects were connected to a vacuumometer and a unidirectional valve. After measurements of all indices, subjects were to left to recover for 5–10 min and underwent a 30-min spontaneous breathing trial with a T-piece at a \textit{F\textsubscript{IO\textsubscript{2}}} of 0.4. All subjects were continuously monitored by pulse oximetry and electrocardiography, with continuous respiratory physiotherapist attention. The following 5 weaning indices were evaluated:

\[ P_{\text{I\textsubscript{100}}} \times \text{f/V\textsubscript{T}}, \text{IWI}, \text{TT\textsubscript{mus}}, \text{and TIE}. \]

The \textit{f/V\textsubscript{T}} index was measured with a bedside spirometer (Wright MK-20, Ferraris Medical, Hertford, United Kingdom) attached to an artificial airway for 1 min. The spontaneous \textit{V\textsubscript{T}} was calculated by dividing the minute ventilation by the breathing frequency, and \textit{f/V\textsubscript{T}} was calculated by dividing the breathing frequency by \textit{V\textsubscript{T}} (average of 60 s, in L).\textsuperscript{13}

The IWI uses the static compliance of the respiratory system (\textit{C\textsubscript{RS}}), \textit{SaO\textsubscript{2}}, and \textit{f/V\textsubscript{T}}: IWI = static \textit{C\textsubscript{RS}} × \textit{SaO\textsubscript{2}}/\textit{f/V\textsubscript{T}}. The static \textit{C\textsubscript{RS}} was calculated as described by Aboussouan et al\textsuperscript{24} using the volume and pressure readout on the ventilator display. An inspiratory hold of 2 s was used to record the plateau pressure (\textit{P\textsubscript{plat}}), and the static \textit{C\textsubscript{RS}} was calculated by dividing the \textit{V\textsubscript{T}} by the difference between the inspiratory \textit{P\textsubscript{plat}} and PEEP: static \textit{C\textsubscript{RS}} = \textit{V\textsubscript{T}}/\textit{P\textsubscript{plat}} − PEEP.\textsuperscript{16,19} 

\textit{TT\textsubscript{mus}} is obtained noninvasively and assesses the respiratory muscle function by the following equation: \textit{TT\textsubscript{mus}} = (\textit{P\textsubscript{0.1}/P\textsubscript{I\textsubscript{100}}}) × (\textit{T\textsubscript{1}/T\textsubscript{tot}}), where \textit{P\textsubscript{0.1}} is inspiratory pressure estimated as 5 × \textit{P\textsubscript{0.1}} × \textit{T\textsubscript{p}}.\textsuperscript{25,26} \textit{T\textsubscript{1}} is inspiratory time, and \textit{T\textsubscript{tot}} is the total respiratory cycle time obtained from the mechanical ventilation parameters during the testing period.\textsuperscript{14,17,25,26}

The TIE index was recorded using the unidirectional valve method and calculated as the ratio between \textit{P\textsubscript{I\textsubscript{100}}} and the corresponding time to achieve it in 60 s of observation.\textsuperscript{19} \textit{P\textsubscript{I\textsubscript{100}}}, and respiratory drive (\textit{P\textsubscript{0.1}}) were obtained using a digital vacuumometer (MVD 300, GlobalMed, Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil). This device has a range of 300 cm H\textsubscript{2}O with a 1 cm H\textsubscript{2}O interval and is designed to perform measurements every 100 ms. Measurements were digitally recorded, allowing easy access to the parameter values whenever needed.\textsuperscript{18,19,23} For this study, \textit{P\textsubscript{I\textsubscript{100}}} was taken as the peak value in the first 20 s of observation, and \textit{P\textsubscript{0.1}} as the mean of the first 3 respiratory cycles.\textsuperscript{18,19,23}

The decision to return to mechanical ventilation was made by a respiratory physiotherapist and the physician in charge (both were blinded to the results of the indices evaluated) based on the signs of poor tolerance described below.\textsuperscript{8,10,16,27,28} Weaning was considered successful if spontaneous breathing was sustained for > 48 h after withdrawal from mechanical ventilation (through the tracheostomy tube for tracheostomized subjects and after extubation for those who were orally intubated).\textsuperscript{10,16,19,23,27,28}

The trial was stopped if at least one of the following intolerance criteria was present: \textit{SaO\textsubscript{2}} < 90% or \textit{P\textsubscript{aCO\textsubscript{2}} < 60 mm Hg with \textit{F\textsubscript{IO\textsubscript{2}}} > 0.4; \textit{P\textsubscript{aCO\textsubscript{2}} > 50 mm Hg or increased by ≥ 8 mm Hg; arterial pH < 7.33 or decreased by 0.07 or more; breathing frequency > 35 breaths/min or increased by 50% for 5 min or longer; heart rate > 140 beats/min or a sustained increase or decrease of > 20%; mean blood pressure > 130 or < 70 mm Hg; or presence of agitation, diaphoresis, disorientation, or depressed mental status.\textsuperscript{10,16,19,23,27,29} Subjects who demonstrated one of these signs during the spontaneous breathing trial or within 48 h after discontinuation from mechanical ventilation were considered to have failed weaning and were returned to ventilatory support.\textsuperscript{8,10,16,27,29} Any death occurring within 48 h of weaning was also computed as a failure.

**Statistical Analysis**

Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± SD, and categorical variables as frequencies. Comparisons between groups were performed by the Mann-Whitney test or chi-square test as appropriate. The end point of the study was successful weaning. The performance of the indices to predict weaning outcome was evaluated by calculation of sensitivity, specificity, predictive values, and likelihood ratios. The areas under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves, which are built integrating sensitivity and specificity, were also obtained; thresholds for the 5 weaning indices were calculated using the Youden method.\textsuperscript{30} Areas under the curves were compared using the method of Hanley and McNeil.\textsuperscript{30} \(P < .05\) was considered significant.

Statistical analysis was performed employing SPSS 18.0 (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois). Comparison between ROC curves was accomplished using MedCalc 11.4.2.0. (Mariakerke Software, Mariakerke, Belgium).

**Results**

From the 990 patients admitted to the ICU of the hospital between July 2011 and July 2012, 67 were eligible for the study (Fig. 1). Subjects who were successfully
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Table 2. Respiratory Parameters According to Weaning Outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Success, Mean ± SD (n = 43)</th>
<th>Failure, Mean ± SD (n = 29)</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P0.1</td>
<td>3.02 ± 0.94</td>
<td>2.60 ± 0.69</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pmax(UV)</td>
<td>39.26 ± 21.45</td>
<td>22.93 ± 11.28</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIE index</td>
<td>1.55 ± 0.80</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.20</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f/VT</td>
<td>75.32 ± 29.44</td>
<td>94.00 ± 22.97</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IWI</td>
<td>59.34 ± 22.08</td>
<td>37.23 ± 18.51</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTmus</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.22</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/Ttot</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.18</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/Trch</td>
<td>14.33 ± 5.30</td>
<td>13.84 ± 4.16</td>
<td>.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/Pmax(UV)</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.29</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.21</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>26.40 ± 4.71</td>
<td>30.5 ± 5.83</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.07</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Nonparametric Mann-Whitney test
P0.1 = respiratory drive
Pmax(UV) = maximum inspiratory pressure with unidirectional valve
TIE = timed inspiratory effort
f/VT ratio = breathing frequency/tidal volume ratio
IWI = integrative weaning index
TTmus = tension-time index of inspiratory muscles
T/Ttot = inspiratory time/tidal cycle time ratio
P0.1 = inspiratory pressure
Table 3. Quality Indicators of Indexes Used to Predict Weaning Outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Cutoff</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Positive Predictive Value</th>
<th>Negative Predictive Value</th>
<th>Positive Likelihood Ratio</th>
<th>Negative Likelihood Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIE</td>
<td>&gt; 1.00</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IWI</td>
<td>≥ 37</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT_{mus}</td>
<td>≤ 0.27</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f/V_{T}</td>
<td>≤ 93</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{Imax(UV)}</td>
<td>&gt; 30</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cutoff values correspond to the maximal Youden index.
TIE = timed inspiratory effort
IWI = integrative weaning index
TT_{mus} = tension-time index of inspiratory muscles
f/V_{T} = frequency/tidal volume ratio
P_{Imax(UV)} = maximum inspiratory pressure with unidirectional valve

Fig. 2. Area under the receiver operating characteristic curves of the 4 predictors of successful weaning in this study: timed inspiratory effort (TIE), integrative weaning index (IWI), tension-time index of inspiratory muscles (TT_{mus}), maximum inspiratory pressure with unidirectional valve (P_{Imax(UV)}), and frequency/tidal volume ratio (f/V_{T}). P values for each comparison are provided.
Table 4. Performance of Weaning Indexes as Evaluated by Areas Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic Curves in Subjects With Orotracheal Tubes or Tracheostomies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Orotracheal Tube, Mean ± SD (n = 18)</th>
<th>Tracheostomy, Mean ± SD (n = 54)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIE</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;Imax(UV)&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IWI</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f/VT</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TIE = timed inspiratory effort
TT<sub>max</sub> = tension-time index of inspiratory muscles
P<sub>Imax(UV)</sub> = maximum inspiratory pressure with unidirectional valve
IWI = integrative weaning index
f/VT ratio = frequency/tidal volume ratio

brain trauma, stroke, and neuromuscular diseases. Heterogeneous sedation levels due to residual effects of drugs could have a role in this regard, but the impact was attenuated by the regular use of the Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale. We think that it would be desirable to assess the performance of these indices in each one of these specific groups in the future. Despite these limitations, our results are encouraging and suggest that the TIE index can be a useful tool in the clinical management of patients whose weaning readiness is difficult to predict.

Conclusions

In summary, the TIE index was found to be useful in predicting successful weaning in subjects with critical neurologic diseases, and it performed better than the other weaning indices tested in this setting. We hope that regular utilization of this index might attenuate the burden of a wrong decision for extubation or unnecessary prolongation of mechanical ventilation in such patients.
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