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BACKGROUND: Volumetric capnography dead-space measurements (physiologic dead-space-to-tidal-volume ratio \( V_D/V_T \) and alveolar \( V_D/V_T \)) are considered more accurate than the more readily available time-based capnography dead-space measurement (end-tidal alveolar dead-space fraction [AVDSF]). We sought to investigate the correlation between volumetric capnography and time-based capnography dead-space measurements. METHODS: This was a single-center prospective cohort study of 65 mechanically ventilated children with arterial lines. Physiologic \( V_D/V_T \), alveolar \( V_D/V_T \), and AVDSF were calculated with each arterial blood gas using capnography data. RESULTS: We analyzed 534 arterial blood gases from 65 children (median age 4.9 y, interquartile range 1.7–12.8). The correlation between physiologic \( V_D/V_T \) and AVDSF (\( r = 0.66, 95\% \) CI 0.59–0.72) was weaker than the correlation between alveolar \( V_D/V_T \) and AVDSF (\( r = 0.8, 95\% \) CI 0.76–0.85). The correlation between physiologic \( V_D/V_T \) and AVDSF was weaker in children with low \( P_{aO_2}/F_{IO_2} \) (< 200 mm Hg), low exhaled \( V_T \) (< 100 mL), a pulmonary reason for mechanical ventilation, or large airway \( V_D \) (> 3 mL/kg). All 3 dead-space measurements were highly correlated (\( r > 0.7 \)) in children without hypoxemia \( (P_{aO_2}/F_{IO_2} > 300 \text{ mm Hg}) \), mechanically ventilated for a neurologic or cardiac reason, or on significant inotropes or vasopressors. CONCLUSIONS: In mechanically ventilated children without significant hypoxemia or with cardiac output-related dead-space changes, physiologic \( V_D/V_T \) was highly correlated with AVDSF and alveolar \( V_D/V_T \). In children with significant hypoxemia, physiologic \( V_D/V_T \) was poorly correlated with AVDSF. Alveolar \( V_D/V_T \) and AVDSF correlated well in most tested circumstances. Therefore, AVDSF may be useful in most children for alveolar dead-space monitoring. Key words: respiratory dead space; pediatrics; mechanical ventilation; respiratory failure; acute lung injury; capnography. [Respir Care 0;0(0):1–. © 0 Daedalus Enterprises]

Introduction

Monitoring dead space in critically ill children is useful for both prognostic and therapeutic reasons. Large dead space in mechanically ventilated children and adults is an indicator of respiratory disease severity and is associated with increased mortality, longer duration of mechanical ventilation, and higher extubation failure rates.\(^1\)-\(^8\) Optimizing mechanical ventilation settings by minimizing dead space has the potential to improve outcomes in children with respiratory failure.\(^9\)-\(^10\)

Physiologic dead space is composed of both airway dead space and alveolar dead space. In healthy children, the physiologic dead-space-to-tidal-volume ratio \( (V_D/V_T) \)
range from 0.3 to 0.35. Airway dead space represents regions of the respiratory system that receive VT but do not normally participate in gas exchange (eg, large conducting airways and the endotracheal tube [ETT] for intubated children). Alveolar dead space (alveoli receiving ventilation without perfusion) is close to zero in healthy children. However, in critical illness, decreased alveolar perfusion can lead to large alveolar dead space due to alveolar overdistention, decreased cardiac output, or a multitude of other causes. Hence, measuring alveolar dead space provides information on the severity of lung disease and adequacy of pulmonary perfusion, both globally and regionally.

Volumetric capnography measures the volume of CO₂ exhaled with each breath. The mean expiratory P_{CO₂} and breath-by-breath curve analysis obtained from volumetric capnography, in conjunction with P_{aCO₂} level, provide the data needed to estimate physiologic, airway, and alveolar VＤ. Although it has limitations, volumetric capnography is generally considered an accurate method to evaluate dead space. However volumetric capnography requires specialized equipment not used for most mechanically ventilated children.

On the other hand time-based capnography is routinely used for mechanically ventilated children, reporting the end-tidal P_{CO₂} (P_{ETCO₂}) with each breath. Using the P_{ETCO₂}, the end-tidal alveolar dead-space fraction (AVDSF) can be calculated (AVDSF = [P_{aCO₂} - P_{ETCO₂}]/P_{aCO₂}). Some clinicians use AVDSF at the bedside to monitor changes in dead space. P_{ETCO₂} is more representative of alveolar gas than proximal airway gas. Consequently, AVDSF is viewed as a measurement of alveolar VＤ.

The correlation of volumetric capnography dead-space measurements (physiologic and alveolar VＤ/VＴ) with the time-based capnography dead-space measurement (AVDSF) has not been previously described in mechanically ventilated adults or children. Our primary objective was to determine the correlation strength between physiologic VＤ/VＴ and AVDSF. Secondary objectives were to investigate the correlation strength between alveolar VＤ/VＴ and AVDSF and between physiologic and alveolar VＤ/VＴ and to identify factors that may explain the relationships between dead-space measurements.

**Methods**

This was a prospective cohort study of mechanically ventilated children <21 y of age with arterial lines who were admitted to the pediatric ICU at Children’s Hospital Los Angeles between November 2011 and October 2013. Children with an ETT leak of >20% ([inhaled – exhaled VＤ]/inhaled VＤ) × 100), obstructive airway disease (determined by clinical examination or flow-volume loops), on high-frequency oscillatory ventilation, or on CPAP were excluded. Children on CPAP were excluded due to their generally lower VＴ, shorter inspiratory times, and higher breathing frequencies. All children were ventilated with pressure control, pressure-regulated volume control, or pressure support ventilation. The majority of children received continuous sedation during the study period; however, this was not an inclusion criterion. The Children’s Hospital Los Angeles institutional review board approved this study with a waiver of informed consent (CCI 11-00243).

An NM3 device (Philips Respironics, Murrysville, Pennsylvania) was used to monitor volumetric capnography according to the recommendations of the manufacturer. NM3 monitoring adds <1 mL of airway VＤ for neonatal sensors (ETT size of 2.5–4 mm), <4 mL of airway VＤ for pediatric sensors (ETT size of 3.5–6 mm), and <8.5 mL of airway VＤ for adult sensors (ETT size of >5.5 mm).

At the time of each arterial blood gas test (drawn at the discretion of the treating physicians), volumetric capnography physiologic and alveolar VＤ/VＴ and time-based capnography AVDSF were calculated. The NM3 monitor re-
ports $P_{ETCO_2}$, which was used to calculate AVDSF. Respiratory therapists performed arterial blood gas tests during periods of stability (ie, arterial blood gas tests were not performed within 15 min of ETT suctioning or ventilator changes).

Physiologic $V_D/V_T$ was calculated using the Bohr-Enghoff equation: physiologic $V_D/V_T = (P_{aCO_2} - \text{mean expiratory } P_{CO_2})/P_{aCO_2}$. Airway $V_D$ was estimated by breath-to-breath analysis of the volumetric capnography curve by the NM3 monitor (Fowler’s method) (Fig. 1).\textsuperscript{16,17} Alveolar $V_D$ can then be estimated using the calculated physiologic $V_D/V_T$ and airway $V_D$ and the measured exhaled $V_T$ (mL). Alveolar $V_D = \text{exhaled } V_T\times \text{physiologic } V_D/V_T$ - airway $V_D$. Alveolar $V_D/V_T$ is calculated by dividing alveolar $V_D$ by alveolar $V_T$ (obtained from NM3 analysis of the volumetric capnography curve). For each variable, 1 min of data obtained at the time of the arterial blood gas test was averaged and used for all calculations (an average of 25 breaths/min were analyzed for data calculations). Physiologically impossible values for any measurement were excluded from the analysis (eg, values of AVDSF < 0). This represented < 10% of the obtained values.

Physiologically plausible confounders of the correlation between dead-space measurements were evaluated, including physiologic $V_D/V_T$, exhaled $V_T$/kg, exhaled $V_T$, modified inotrope score, $P_{aO_2}/FIO_2$, breathing frequency, reason for mechanical ventilation, PEEP, and airway $V_D$. A modified inotrope score of the most commonly used vasoactive medications in our pediatric ICU was used: dopamine ($\mu g/kg/min$) + epinephrine (mg/kg/min) $\times 100$ + milrinone ($\mu g/kg/min$) $\times 10$.\textsuperscript{18} The reason for mechanical ventilation was classified as primarily for cardiac, neurologic, or pulmonary reasons. Hypoxemia severity was measured using $P_{aO_2}/FIO_2$.

**Statistical Analysis**

Analysis was performed using R 2.13 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) and SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina). The correlation between (1) physiologic $V_D/V_T$ and AVDSF, (2) alveolar $V_D/V_T$ and AVDSF, and (3) physiologic and alveolar $V_D/V_T$ was calculated using the Pearson correlation coefficient with repeated measurements for correlations within subjects.\textsuperscript{19} Correlation coefficients were also calculated within variable subgroups: physiologic $V_D/V_T$, exhaled $V_T$/kg, exhaled $V_T$, modified inotrope score, $P_{aO_2}/FIO_2$, breathing frequency, reason for mechanical ventilation, PEEP, and airway $V_D$. There is no statistical test to compare Pearson correlation coefficients with repeated measurements excluding a single $P$ value. For this reason, confidence intervals for each correlation coefficient were calculated using a bootstrap methodology. This simulates the variability of the correlation coefficients by resampling with replacement 1,000 times from the repeated measures of the 2 variables being analyzed and computing the corresponding correlation coefficient each time.\textsuperscript{20} The 95% CIs were deduced from the histogram of simulated correlation coefficients.

Multivariate mixed linear regression modeling was used to control for patient-level effects while examining potential confounders. The absolute difference between the 2 variables was normally transformed using the log function and used as the dependent variable in the linear regression models. Univariate models were built for (1) log(absolute [physiologic $V_D/V_T$ − AVDSF]) and (2) log(absolute [alveolar $V_D/V_T$ − AVDSF]) controlling for patient-level effects first and then examining the effect of each potentially influential variable. Variables with $P < .2$ were considered for inclusion in our multivariate model. Multivariate models controlling for patient-level effects were built, and variables with $P < .05$ remained in the final multivariate model.

**Results**

We enrolled 65 mechanically ventilated children (52% male) with a median age of 4.9 y (interquartile range 1.7–12.8) and median weight of 16.8 kg (interquartile range 11.2–31.9). The primary reason for mechanical ventilation was pulmonary disease in 26 children (40%), cardiac disease in 26 children (40%), and neurologic disease in 13 children (20%). A mean ± SD of 8.2 ± 5.2 arterial blood gases (range 2–23) per child were evaluated. In total, 534 arterial blood gases were used for the analysis (Table 1). The mean ± SD difference between the maximum and minimum physiologic $V_D/V_T$ per child was 0.14 ± 0.09 (median difference 0.14, minimum difference 0.02, maximum difference 0.45, interquartile range 0.07–0.19).
Table 1. Pulmonary Characteristics at the Time of Arterial Blood Gas Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>All Arterial Blood Gases (N = 534)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AVDSF</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alveolar VD/VT</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physiologic VD/VT</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pao2/FIO2, mm Hg</td>
<td>234 ± 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaled VT/kg, mL/kg</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaled VT, mL</td>
<td>114 (77–215)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified inotrope score</td>
<td>2.5 (0–15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breathing frequency, breaths/min</td>
<td>25 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEEP, cm H2O</td>
<td>8.6 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway VT, mL/kg</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normally distributed variables are presented as mean ± SD. Non-normally distributed variables are presented as median (interquartile range).

AVDSF = end-tidal alveolar dead-space fraction

VD/VT = dead-space-to-tidal-volume ratio

Correlation Between Physiologic VD/VT and AVDSF

The correlation between physiologic VD/VT and AVDSF for all arterial blood gases was good (r = 0.66, 95% CI 0.59–0.72) (Fig. 2 and Table 2). The correlation between physiologic VD/VT and AVDSF was higher in children with a normal-to-low VD/VT (≤ 0.4), lower VD/VT (≤ 2 mL/kg, r = 0.59). In multivariate analysis, lower breathing frequency (P = .008), smaller airway VD (P = .004), and higher VD/kg (P = .03) were significantly associated with a larger difference between alveolar VD/VT and AVDSF (see Table 3). The correlation between alveolar VD/VT and AVDSF was statistically significantly higher than the correlation between physiologic VD/VT and AVDSF when analyzing all arterial blood gases and in many subgroup analyses. In children with a high physiologic VD/VT (> 0.6), significant hypoxemia (Pao2/FIO2 < 200), a large amount of airway VD (≥ 3 mL/kg), or on no inotropes or vasopressors (modified inotrope score of 0), the correlation between alveolar VD/VT and AVDSF was r = 0.2 higher than the correlation between physiologic VD/VT and AVDSF.

The correlation between physiologic and alveolar VD/VT (r = 0.66, 95% CI 0.57–0.74) was similar to the correlation between physiologic VD/VT and AVDSF. The correlations between physiologic VD/VT, alveolar VD/VT, and AVDSF were all r > 0.7 in children with a high VD/kg (> 8 mL/kg), minimum hypoxemia (Pao2/FIO2 > 300), low PEEP (< 6 cm H2O), mechanically ventilated for neurologic or cardiac disease, or on significant inotropes or vasopressors (modified inotrope score of > 10).

Discussion

Our data demonstrate that AVDSF is highly correlated with both physiologic and alveolar VD/VT in mechanically ventilated children with changing alveolar VD related to cardiac output and in the absence of significant hypoxemia. However, in children with significant hypoxemia, physiologic VD/VT may not adequately indicate changing alveolar VD, as physiologic VD/VT is poorly correlated.
with both AVDSF and alveolar $V_D/V_T$. In most of the conditions tested in this group of children, alveolar $V_D/V_T$ and AVDSF correlated well.

All measurements of $V_D$ make assumptions and have limitations. Volumetric capnography uses the Enghoff modification of the Bohr equation to estimate the physiologic dead-space fraction by substituting the $P_{aCO_2}$ for the alveolar $P_{CO_2}$, assuming they are equal. Alveolar $V_D$ calculated by volumetric capnography makes this assumption and assumes that the dead-space volume attributable to alveolar $V_D$ during phase II of the volumetric capnography curve is equal to airway $V_D$ (see Fig. 1). Because $P_{ETCO_2}$ is the maximum CO$_2$ pressure, typically measured at the end of exhalation, AVDSF would be expected to be most representative of alveoli with long emptying times. In contrast, alveolar and physiologic $V_D/V_T$ may better account for alveolar emptying heterogeneity represented by the slope of phase III. Furthermore, volumetric and time-based capnography dead-space measurements are affected by a large amount of pulmonary or cardiac shunting, increasing

### Table 2. Correlation Coefficients for All Arterial Blood Gases and Subgroup Analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$r$ (95% CI)</th>
<th>$r$ (95% CI)</th>
<th>$r$ (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Physiologic $V_D/V_T$ and AVDSF</td>
<td>Alveolar $V_D/V_T$ and AVDSF</td>
<td>Physiologic and Alveolar $V_D/V_T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All arterial blood gases</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>0.66 (0.59–0.72)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.76–0.85)</td>
<td>0.66 (0.57–0.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physiologic $V_D/V_T$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 0.4</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.42 (0.34–0.54)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.33–0.56)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.58–0.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4–0.6</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>0.65 (0.61–0.69)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.65–0.76)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.54–0.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 0.6</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>0.41 (0.28–0.45)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.69–0.78)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.30–0.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaled $V_T$/kg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 6</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>0.66 (0.58–0.71)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.72–0.82)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.56–0.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–8</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>0.70 (0.67–0.75)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.79–0.84)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.80–0.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 8</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>0.86 (0.81–0.96)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.83–0.89)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.79–0.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaled $V_T$, mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 100</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>0.54 (0.52–0.61)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.65–0.72)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.47–0.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100–200</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0.81 (0.75–0.84)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.78–0.87)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.71–0.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 200</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0.77 (0.70–0.80)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.83–0.91)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.71–0.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified inotrope score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>0.48 (0.35–0.52)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.63–0.73)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.34–0.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>0.64 (0.61–0.76)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.75–0.87)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.51–0.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 10</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>0.77 (0.75–0.83)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.89–0.93)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.80–0.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{aCO_2}/P_{O_2}$, mm Hg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 200</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>0.52 (0.40–0.52)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.76–0.82)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.40–0.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200–300</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>0.70 (0.64–0.72)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.81–0.87)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.68–0.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 300</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0.73 (0.68–0.77)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.71–0.80)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.68–0.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breathing frequency, breaths/min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 20</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>0.63 (0.50–0.64)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.74–0.82)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.55–0.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–30</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>0.74 (0.69–0.77)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.85–0.89)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.74–0.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 30</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>0.58 (0.46–0.59)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.61–0.71)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.29–0.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for mechanical ventilation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>0.75 (0.67–0.82)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.73–0.86)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.66–0.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologic</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.73 (0.62–0.81)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.87–0.95)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.62–0.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>0.46 (0.29–0.60)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.65–0.81)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.28–0.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEEP, cm H$_2$O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 6</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>0.74 (0.69–0.78)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.74–0.83)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.65–0.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–12</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>0.59 (0.53–0.66)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.79–0.86)</td>
<td>0.64 (0.58–0.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 12</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.61 (0.45–0.66)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.56–0.70)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.22–0.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway $V_D$, mL/kg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 2</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0.70 (0.65–0.76)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.50–0.68)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.45–0.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>0.69 (0.62–0.74)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.77–0.85)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.65–0.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 3</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>0.54 (0.46–0.57)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.78–0.84)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.53–0.63)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Within-subject Pearson correlation coefficient ($r$) with 95% CI are reported for all subgroups. Subgroups with $r \geq 0.7$ are shown in boldface for clarity.

AVDSF = end-tidal alveolar dead-space fraction

$V_D/V_T$ = dead-space-to-tidal-volume ratio
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Table 3. Multivariate Regression Models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1: Log(absolute [physiologic (V_D/V_T - AVDSF]) (\times V_T))</td>
<td>-0.030</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expired (V_D/\text{kg})</td>
<td>-0.0001</td>
<td>.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expired (V_D/\text{T})</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified inotrope score</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breathing frequency</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway (V_D)</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2: Log(absolute [alveolar (V_D/V_T - AVDSF]) (\times V_T))</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expired (V_D/\text{kg})</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expired (V_D/\text{T})</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breathing frequency</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEEP</td>
<td>-0.030</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway (V_D)</td>
<td>-0.152</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multivariate mixed linear regression modeling controlling was used to control for patient-level effects. Variables with a univariate association (\(P < .2\)) with the dependent variable were evaluated for the multivariate model. \(\Delta V_D/\Delta V_T\) = dead-space-to-tidal-volume ratio\(\Delta AVDSF = \text{end-tidal alveolar dead-space fraction}\)

![Fig. 3. Scatterplot of volumetric capnography alveolar dead-space-to-tidal-volume ratio \(V_D/V_T\) and end-tidal alveolar dead-space fraction with 95\% CI (dashed lines). Within-subjects Pearson correlation coefficient = 0.8 (95\% CI 0.76–0.85).](image)

the \(P_{aCO_2}\) more than the alveolar \(P_{CO_2}\) and creating shunt-related dead space.\(^{21}\)

Given that physiologic \(V_D/V_T\), alveolar \(V_D/V_T\), and AVDSF are each unique dead-space measurements, with none precisely measuring the alveolar or physiologic dead-space fraction, we analyzed how they trended together rather than their ability to estimate each other. Although the correlation between alveolar \(V_D/V_T\) and AVDSF could be affected by changes in phase III slope, this is unlikely in the subjects in this group, who, for the most part, were not ventilated on high PEEP and had minimum obstructive airway disease.\(^{22}\) Although we did not collect data on phase III slopes, we did not find evidence of a weaker correlation between alveolar \(V_D/V_T\) and AVDSF in children with significant hypoxemia (low \(F_{aO_2}/F_\text{O}_2\)). Rather, technical limitations in capnography curve interpretation (smaller airway \(V_D\), lower \(V_D/V_T\)) appeared to have more impact on the correlation between alveolar \(V_D/V_T\) and AVDSF. This is logical, as the correlation would be more affected by small variations in capnography curve analysis in these situations. As AVDSF does not represent airway \(V_D\), it should be less correlated with physiologic \(V_D/V_T\) when changes in airway \(V_D\) are more prominent than changes in alveolar \(V_D\). This is supported by our multivariate analysis, in which increasing airway \(V_D\) was associated with a larger difference between physiologic \(V_D/V_T\) and AVDSF. A higher breathing frequency, common in small children, can make it more difficult to interpret both volumetric and time-based capnography curves.\(^{23,24}\) This issue was confirmed by our multivariate analysis, demonstrating an association between increasing breathing frequency and a larger difference between physiologic \(V_D/V_T\) and AVDSF.

The weaker correlation between physiologic \(V_D/V_T\) and AVDSF may be related to inaccuracies in physiologic \(V_D/V_T\) or AVDSF calculation or changes in airway \(V_D\) that affect physiologic \(V_D/V_T\) and not AVDSF. Although not often considered at the bedside, airway \(V_D\) can change in mechanically ventilated children.\(^{25,26}\) For example, increasing PEEP in a child with non-recruitable lungs may lead to overdistention of conducting airways. The consistently stronger correlation between alveolar \(V_D/V_T\) and AVDSF versus physiologic \(V_D/V_T\) and AVDSF suggests that the weaker correlation may frequently be related to changing airway \(V_D\).

Although there are limitations in the accuracy of all these measurements of dead space, both volumetric capnography and time-based capnography dead-space measurements have been consistently associated with worse outcomes in mechanically ventilated children and adults.\(^{2-5,27}\) Larger dead space is associated with mortality in children with acute hypoxemic respiratory failure and longer duration of mechanical ventilation in neonates with congenital heart disease.\(^{2,28}\) Increased alveolar \(V_D\) can be due to decreased pulmonary perfusion (microvascular thrombosis, low cardiac output, pulmonary hypertension) or alveolar overdistention. Although it cannot be determined without further study, physiology would suggest that the association between mortality and elevated dead space is due primarily to alveolar \(V_D\), not airway \(V_D\).\(^{29}\) Therefore, future studies should focus on deciphering the source of mortality risk (alveolar or airway \(V_D\)) and should not report only physiologic dead-space fraction (\(V_D/V_T\)).

There is evidence to suggest that dead-space measurement trends, perhaps with the easily monitored AVDSF, should also be investigated further to determine whether they are more useful for prognostication than individual
values. Monitoring trends in dead-space measurements can provide continuous bedside information describing improving or deteriorating lung disease and detecting changing pulmonary perfusion. For this reason, capnography is now recommended in cardiopulmonary resuscitation to detect the return of spontaneous circulation by identifying resuming pulmonary perfusion.

Our study has some important limitations. Respiratory therapists actively involved in caring for children rather than research staff recorded the data. This likely resulted in collection of some of the physiologically impossible data. We did not collect breath-to-breath capnogram waveforms with each arterial blood gas test. Evaluation of these may have been helpful to understand how waveform abnormalities affected the correlations. In addition, we did not measure cardiac output, which would have provided data on how pulmonary perfusion directly affected the correlation between AVDSF and physiologic Vₐ/VₐT. We recognize that PαO₂/FIO₂ does not fully characterize severity of lung disease; therefore, additional analysis using subgroups based on dynamic compliance was performed, with results consistent with our reported findings (analysis not shown). ETT leaks could affect the correlation between dead-space measurements, and when we performed an analysis limiting data to an ETT leak of < 10%, there was a trend toward improved correlation that did not reach statistical significance. To have a larger sample size for subgroup analysis, we chose to allow an ETT leak of up to 20%.

An advantage of volumetric capnography over time-based capnography is the ability to distinguish between airway and alveolar Vₐ. This allows a better understanding of how physiologic Vₐ/VₐT, alveolar Vₐ/VₐT, and airway Vₐ change in response to changing PEEP or VₐT and could be used to optimize ventilator settings based on minimizing dead space. The use of dead-space measurements for therapeutic purposes, in addition to prognostication, may be a promising next step in pediatric mechanical ventilation. A more detailed understanding of airway, alveolar, and physiologic Vₐ will help make these therapeutic options a reality.

Conclusions

For most mechanically ventilated children, AVDSF is analogous to alveolar Vₐ/VₐT in estimating changes in alveolar Vₐ and is more easily and simply followed at the bedside. As hypoxemia worsens, the correlation between physiologic Vₐ/VₐT and AVDSF decreases, perhaps related to changing airway Vₐ or limitations in capnography curve analysis. Because alveolar Vₐ likely drives the strong relationship between mortality and large dead space, it may be simpler to monitor AVDSF than physiologic Vₐ/VₐT for prognostic purposes. However, volumetric capnography may be important when using dead-space measurements to guide ventilator support.
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