Response of Preterm Infants to 2 Noninvasive Ventilatory Support Systems: Nasal CPAP and Nasal Intermittent Positive-Pressure Ventilation
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BACKGROUND: Noninvasive ventilation (NIV) in preterm infants is currently applied using intermittent positive pressure (2 positive-pressure levels) or in a conventional manner (one pressure level). However, there are no studies in the literature comparing the chances of failure of these NIV methods. The aim of this study was to evaluate the occurrence of failure of 2 noninvasive ventilatory support systems in preterm neonates over a period of 48 h. METHODS: A randomized, prospective, clinical study was conducted on 80 newborns (gestational age < 37 weeks, birthweight < 2,500 g). The infants were randomized into 2 groups: 40 infants were treated with nasal CPAP and 40 infants with nasal intermittent positive-pressure ventilation (NIPPV). The occurrence of apnea, progression of respiratory distress, nose bleeding, and agitation was defined as ventilation failure. The need for intubation and re-intubation after failure was also observed. RESULTS: There were no significant differences in birth characteristics between groups. Ventilatory support failure was observed in 25 (62.5%) newborns treated with nasal CPAP and in 12 (30%) newborns treated with NIPPV, indicating an association between NIV failure and the absence of intermittent positive pressure (odds ratio [OR] 1.22, \( P < .05 \)). Apnea (32.5%) was the main reason for nasal CPAP failure. After failure, 25% (OR 0.33) of the newborns receiving nasal CPAP and 12.5% (OR 0.14) receiving NIPPV required invasive mechanical ventilation. CONCLUSIONS: Ventilatory support failure was significantly more frequent when nasal CPAP was used. Key words: continuous positive airway pressure; intermittent positive-pressure ventilation; newborn; infant; positive-pressure breathing. [Respir Care 0;0(0):1–•. © 0 Daedalus Enterprises]

Introduction

Noninvasive ventilation (NIV) is a recognized and appreciated tool for the care of preterm infants. Two modalities are used: nasal CPAP with intermittent positive-pressure ventilation (NIPPV) and nasal CPAP. Studies were conducted to identify the positive and negative effects of these modalities in preterm infants. There is evidence indicating some advantages of NIPPV over nasal CPAP. It has been demonstrated that preterm infants with respiratory distress syndrome receiving NIPPV benefit from a reduction in the need for invasive ventilation during the first 72 h of life compared with those receiving nasal CPAP. However, no difference in the survival rate of extremely premature infants without bronchopulmonary dysplasia was observed between modalities.

NIV using intermittent positive pressure is increasingly used in neonatal ICUs; however, no uniform indications or
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parameters are used by the institutions applying this modality.6,9 Thus, the chances of NIV failure in preterm infants using these 2 modalities remain unknown, but it is believed that NIPPV provides advantages in neonatal outcomes. Therefore, the objective of this study was to evaluate the occurrence of failure of 2 noninvasive ventilatory support systems in preterm neonates over a period of 48 h.

Methods

Subjects

This was a controlled, randomized, single-blind study with 2 parallel arms. The study was conducted in the neonatal ICU of a public tertiary care hospital and was approved by the ethics committee of the hospital (protocol 070/2009). The infants were included in the study after their parents and/or legal guardians had signed the informed consent form.

Criteria for inclusion in the study were a birthweight of <2,500 g, a gestational age of <37 weeks, and the use of noninvasive ventilatory support applied with nasal prongs. Infants of both sexes were included. NIV was chosen as the first option of ventilatory intervention and/or after orotracheal extubation. Newborns who had congenital malformations, abdominal surgeries, and infections and term newborns were excluded.

Infants who were treated with invasive mechanical ventilation before nasal CPAP and who received an exogenous surfactant were diagnosed with respiratory distress syndrome according to clinical (persistent cyanosis and a Silverman-Anderson score of ≥7) and radiological (diffuse reticulogranular pattern in both lungs and positive air bronchogram) criteria.10 Nasal CPAP was selected as the initial therapy based on the clinical evolution of the infants and was applied when oxygen concentrations >40% were necessary for the maintenance of PaO2 at >50 mm Hg.

At the time of indication of nasal CPAP, the infants were randomized into 2 groups by drawing lots using sealed envelopes with the following identification: nasal CPAP group (flow 8 L/min, PEEP 5 cm H2O, FiO2 0.21–0.40) and NIPPV group (peak inspiratory pressure 15 cm H2O, PEEP 5 cm H2O, inspiratory time 0.6 s, breathing frequency 14 breaths/min, flow 8 L/min, FiO2 0.21–0.40).11

In this study, clinical indicators such as heart rate and oxygen saturation were monitored with a Dixtal DX2010 monitor (Dixtal Biomedica, São Paulo, Brazil), and breathing frequency was measured by counting the number of breaths over a period of 1 min. Six records of the clinical indicators were obtained in the first 48 h of NIV. The first recording was performed, on average, 5 h after the installation of noninvasive ventilatory support, and the second was performed 12 h after the first recording.

NIV failure requiring modification of ventilatory support was defined as presentation by the infant of apnea (suspension of breathing for >20 s accompanied by bradycardia and cyanosis),12 nose bleeding (blood in the nasal cavity causing nasal obstruction), agitation (continuous crying with persistent body movement and contracted facial expression representing pain), and increased respiratory distress (based on the Silverman-Anderson score). The need for intubation and re-intubation after NIV failure was also observed.

Statistical Analysis

The sample size was calculated considering a frequency of NIPPV and nasal CPAP failure of 30% and 60%, respectively; a bilateral test with a 5% level of significance; and a test power of 80%: 40 infants per group. The chi-square test was used for statistical analysis to verify the association between NIV failure and pressure level and between the first option of ventilation at birth and newborn birthweight. The total number of occurrences of failure per pressure level and not the individual occurrence was considered for the analysis of NIV failure. All statistical analyses were performed using InStat 3.06 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California). Differences were considered to be significant when P < .05.
Table 1. Biological Characteristics of the Preterm Infants Monitored in the Study Who Received Noninvasive Ventilation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Nasal CPAP (n = 40)</th>
<th>NIPPV (n = 40)</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight, g</td>
<td>1.4 ± 401</td>
<td>1.3 ± 446</td>
<td>.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age, wk</td>
<td>30.3 ± 2.4</td>
<td>30.4 ± 2.4</td>
<td>.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breathing frequency, breaths/min</td>
<td>49.8 ± 6.0</td>
<td>47.8 ± 9.8</td>
<td>.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, beats/min</td>
<td>141.7 ± 12.5</td>
<td>143.8 ± 14.8</td>
<td>.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen saturation, %</td>
<td>95.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>96.4 ± 1.5</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean ± SD.
* Chi-square test.
NIPPV = nasal intermittent positive-pressure ventilation

Table 2. Characteristics of the Preterm Infants Monitored in the Study Who Received Noninvasive Ventilation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Total Cohort (N = 80)</th>
<th>Nasal CPAP (n = 40)</th>
<th>NIPPV (n = 40)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prenatal steroids</td>
<td>21 (26.2)</td>
<td>10 (25)</td>
<td>11 (27.5)</td>
<td>.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfactant use</td>
<td>39 (48.7)</td>
<td>19 (47.5)</td>
<td>20 (50.0)</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cesarean section</td>
<td>56 (70.0)</td>
<td>27 (67.5)</td>
<td>29 (72.5)</td>
<td>.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>44 (55.0)</td>
<td>23 (57.5)</td>
<td>21 (52.5)</td>
<td>.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as n (%).
NIPPV = nasal intermittent positive-pressure ventilation

**Results**

Maternal and Infant Characteristics

Eighty preterm infants with birthweights of 540–2,450 g (1.337 ± 422 g) and gestational ages of 24–36 weeks (30.3 ± 2.4 weeks) participated in this study. Of these, 55% were boys. None of the infants died during the study period. The clinical profile was homogeneous, with no significant difference between groups (Tables 1 and 2). All infants were treated with noninvasive ventilatory support, and they were divided into 2 groups: nasal CPAP (n = 40) or NIPPV (n = 40). The mean age of the infants at the time of introduction of noninvasive ventilatory support was 3.9 ± 4.8 d.

The influence of birthweight and the first option of ventilatory support at birth were compared between groups. There was no significant difference in weight between nasal CPAP (up to 1,500 g [72–5%] vs 1,501–2,450 g [27–5%], P = .97) and CPAP (up to 1,500 g [75–0%] vs 1,501–2,450 g [25–0%], P > .99) or the first option (P = .44) between groups.

The age of the mothers of the infants participating in the study was 26.3 ± 5.8 versus 26.2 ± 5.6 y in the nasal CPAP and NIPPV groups, respectively. The cesarean section rate was 57.5% versus 72.5%. The percentage of multiparous women was 67.5% versus 57.5%.

Indicators Analyzed

No significant differences in mean breathing frequency, heart rate, or oxygen saturation were observed between the groups of newborns, with the values being within the normal range for age (see Table 1). The newborns monitored in this study were homogeneous in terms of biological characteristics, with no significant differences between groups. Half of the infants in each group received exogenous surfactant, prenatal steroids were used by only 26.1% of the mothers, and 70% of the infants were born by cesarean section (see Table 2).

A significant association was observed between ventilation failure and the absence of intermittent positive pressure (odds ratio 1.22, P = .02). During 48 h of NIV, the failure frequency was higher in infants treated with nasal CPAP (62.5%) compared with infants treated with NIPPV (only 30%) (Table 3).

In the group treated with nasal CPAP, apnea was the main reason for NIV failure (32.5%), followed by respiratory distress and nose bleeding (12.5%) and agitation at a lower frequency (5%). However, the reasons for NIV failure were less frequent in the NIPPV group (apnea 12.5%, respiratory distress 7.5%, agitation 10%) (see Table 3). After NIV failure due to the reasons cited, the introduction of invasive mechanical ventilation was necessary in 25% of the infants treated with nasal CPAP (odds ratio 0.33) and in 12.5% of those treated with NIPPV (odds ratio 0.14).

Discussion

NIV by NIPPV has been a frequent option in ICUs. Some advantages of this modality have been demonstrated, but randomized prospective studies are currently needed to show the performance of this modality in groups of preterm infants.

In this randomized study, preterm infants were selected to receive nasal CPAP and NIPPV. The groups were homogeneous in terms of biological characteristics, with the absence of significant differences, a fact that permitted comparison between groups. None of the infants died during the study period.

Eighty newborns were monitored in this study, which permitted us to determine the number of occurrences of failure of the 2 NIV modalities over a period of 48 h. There are no studies in the literature describing the association between complications of nasal CPAP and the duration of ventilatory support. However, some evidence indicates that a longer duration of nasal CPAP increases nasal septum injuries.13,14

The modality of NIPPV has some advantages over nasal CPAP, the main being a reduction in apnea episodes.6–16 Other advantages include a reduction in respiratory work...
and in the need for invasive ventilation for the preterm infant.\textsuperscript{1-6,17,18} In the present study, a significant association was observed between NIV failure and the absence of intermittent positive pressure ($P = .02$, odds ratio 1.22). Only 30% ($n = 12$) of the infants treated with NIPPV failed this modality. In 5 (42%) newborns, the reason for failure was apnea, and 2 (40%) of these infants required invasive mechanical ventilation. In contrast, failure occurred in 62.5% ($n = 25$) of the infants treated with nasal CPAP. Most failure episodes were due to apnea ($n = 13$, 52%); of these, 10 (77%) infants required orotracheal intubation. Thus, apnea was the most frequent reason for NIV failure, particularly nasal CPAP failure; 69.2% of these infants weighed $<1,200$ g, and the gestational age was $<32$ weeks. The data obtained support the results of other studies.\textsuperscript{6,15,16}

Nose bleeding and agitation were less common reasons for failure of the 2 modalities. The period of 48 h of NIV used in this study may have contributed to the small number of episodes of nose bleeding because this event is usually observed during prolonged periods of ventilatory support.\textsuperscript{12} Another factor was the use of a hydrocolloid plate in the nostrils, which permits better fixation and decreases displacement of the device.

In the nasal CPAP group, 6 (24%) infants failed the modality within 24 h and 19 (76%) infants within 48 h of ventilatory support. In the NIPPV group, 7 (58.3%) infants failed nasal support within 24 h and 5 (41.7%) infants within 48 h. Thus, NIV failure tended to be greater in the nasal CPAP group after 24 h of ventilatory support.

Infants in the nasal CPAP group who did not require mechanical ventilation after NIV failure and who presented with apnea ($n = 3$) and respiratory distress ($n = 5$) received NIPPV, and those with agitation ($n = 2$) and bleeding ($n = 5$) were removed from noninvasive support and placed under an oxygen hood. In the NIPPV group, infants presenting with agitation ($n = 4$) were removed from noninvasive support after failure and placed under an oxygen hood, whereas newborns with apnea ($n = 3$) continued to be treated with this modality for a period of $>48$ h. No difference between groups was observed for newborns who received mechanical ventilation before NIV as a first option and who failed NIV.

Three of the infants monitored in this study had a prescription for bronchopulmonary dysplasia medication. Two of them were part of the NIV failure group: one in the nasal CPAP group due to apnea who required NIPPV and one in the NIPPV group due to respiratory distress who required re-intubation.

The chance for NIV failure was higher for the nasal CPAP modality. The occurrences observed were apnea, respiratory distress, nose bleeding, and re-intubation. Agitation was the least frequent occurrence in this modality. However, the number of occurrences was low in the 2 NIV modalities.

Comparison of the 2 NIV modalities revealed no significant differences in heart rate, breathing frequency, or oxygen saturation between the 2 groups. The mean values of these variables were within the normal range for age during NIV. These findings agree with other studies that also found no significant clinical differences between the 2 modalities.\textsuperscript{2,9,14,19}

Prematurity itself leaves the newborn vulnerable. In this study, the use of NIPPV at the beginning of NIV support was associated with a lower chance of failure. The findings therefore support the use of this modality in clinical practice to attenuate the negative effects in preterm infants.

We believe that the intermittent positive inspiratory pressure generated with NIPPV increases the number of afferent sensory stimuli, and the respiratory system responds with better drive, thus reducing the episodes of apnea. However, it is worth noting that the objective of this study was to observe the indicators over a period of 48 h of NIV,
with the indicators being recorded once/d. Evaluation of outcomes over longer periods of observation is therefore necessary. In this sample, a test was applied for comparison, which revealed no statistically significant difference in gestational age or first-option ventilatory support between groups, in an attempt to reduce confounding factors. In this respect, groups including a larger number of subjects and subdivided according to gestational age may be more representative.

Conclusions

This study showed that the 2 NIV modalities were similar in terms of clinical indicators, demonstrating no advantages of one modality over the other. However, there was evidence that NIV failure was significantly associated with the nasal CPAP group, as demonstrated by the higher frequency of apnea episodes and the need for re-intubation.
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