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Summary

Cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy is one of the factors implicated in the high morbidity and mortality rate in patients with COPD. Thus, several studies and nonsystematic reviews have increasingly reported autonomic function impairment in these subjects. For a better understanding, this systematic review was performed to evaluate not only the evidence for autonomic function impairment, but also factors influencing it. The results of the studies reviewed showed a strong level of evidence to support the impairment of heart rate variability in the time domain. A similar evidence level was also found to support impairment in baroreceptor sensitivity and muscle sympathetic nerve activity. Furthermore, this review identified physical activity level, muscle function, and circadian rhythm as the major influencing factors (strong evidence) of autonomic function in subjects with COPD. However, no definite conclusion could be reached for factors such as dyspnea, anxiety, body composition, pulmonary function, age, breathing frequency, ventilatory effort, quality of life, and disease severity due to limited, conflicting, or lack of existing evidence. The results of this review highlight relevant clinical messages for clinicians and other health-care providers regarding the role autonomic function can play as an important physiological marker for prognostication and stratification. Hence, autonomic function outcomes should be identified and considered during management of patients with COPD. Moreover, this review can serve as basis for future research aimed at assessing the interventions for autonomic function abnormalities in these patients. Key words: nervous system disease; autonomic; sympathetic; parasympathetic; chronic obstructive; pulmonary disease; review. [Respir Care 0:0(0):1–•. © 0 Daedalus Enterprises]
Introduction

COPD is a highly prevalent cause of disability and mortality characterized by air-flow limitation that is not fully reversible.1 Patients with COPD have features that encompass several body systems, such as inflammation of the airways, cachexia or obesity, structural and functional changes in respiratory and peripheral muscles, arrhythmias, fatigue, dyspnea, poor quality of life (QOL), reduced exercise capacity, depression, anxiety, and sleep disturbances.1-8

More recently, COPD has been reported to adversely affect the autonomic nervous system.2-5,6 Consequently, autonomic function in patients with COPD has received a considerable amount of attention in the literature.2-7,9-11 The autonomic nervous system controls and regulates the internal physiology of the body and plays a very important role in the pathophysiology of COPD. It consists of 2 branches (sympathetic and parasympathetic), both of which exert antagonistic effects on most bodily functions and also contribute to homeostasis in the body.12 Moreover, the autonomic nervous system is responsible for maintaining involuntary vital parameters, including blood pressure, heart rate, respiration, gastrointestinal secretions, and temperature control.

Autonomic function can be directly assessed by monitoring and testing certain specific markers of the neurophysiological condition of the autonomic nervous system. These parameters include heart rate variability in time- and/or frequency-domain analysis, baroreceptor sensitivity, muscle sympathetic nerve activity, and sympathetic skin response. Heart rate variability, which is the variability in time and/or frequency of successive R waves of the heartbeats, reflects the integration between the cardiovascular system and the mechanisms it regulates. Moreover, HRV has been well recognized as a parameter for assessing autonomic function and quantifying sympathovagal balance for many decades.1,13 Additionally, baroreceptor sensitivity (which reflects the short-term regulation of the cardiovascular system), muscle sympathetic nerve activity (which shows the degree of sympathetic activity in muscle nerves), and sympathetic skin response (which reflects the sudomotor function of the unmyelinated sympathetic nerves) are reported to be reliable measures of autonomic function.14-16

The available data in the literature suggest that autonomic function parameters of subjects with COPD may be severely impaired.17-20 Nevertheless, for a better understanding, a systematic evaluation of the existing literature is necessary. Also, there is a need to evaluate the factors that are associated with autonomic function in patients with COPD because this has not been clearly elucidated in literature. Therefore, this systematic review evaluated (1) the evidence on the autonomic function of subjects with COPD and (2) the factors associated with a possible altered autonomic function.

Methods

This systematic review is reported in accordance with PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines.21

Eligibility Criteria

To be included in this systematic review, the studies had to report on either the autonomic function (outcomes) of subjects with COPD (population) versus healthy participants (comparator) and/or the relationship between autonomic function and influencing factors. Only randomized control trials or patient control or cohort studies were retained.

Information Sources and Search Strategy

To identify relevant articles, the databases of PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science were searched. Only articles published between January 2004 and May 2014 were included. The search strategy was based on a combination of the following key words: autonomic function OR autonomic dysfunction OR heart rate variability OR baroreceptor sensitivity OR muscle sympathetic nerve activity OR sympathetic skin response AND chronic obstructive pulmonary disease OR COPD. For the PubMed database, key words were also converted to possible MeSH terms. The search strategy for the Web of Science was done in the topic field using the key words autonomic function AND COPD. For the Embase database, the search terms were: autonomic AND function AND COPD. The search strategy for all databases was also filtered based on article peer review. However, this version may differ from the final published version in the online and print editions of RESPIRATORY CARE.
type (clinical trial), language (English), and species (human). Additionally, a secondary search of the reference list of the included studies was undertaken to make the review as complete as possible.

**Study Selection**

To be included in the review, the following inclusion criteria had to be fulfilled for each article. (1) Subjects had to be clinically diagnosed with COPD (explicitly stated). (2) The autonomic function parameters should comprise at least one of the following parameters for studies comparing subjects with COPD and healthy controls and/or reporting relationships between any of the parameters with influencing factors: (a) heart rate variability in a time and/or frequency domain. The time domain may consist of (i) the square root of the mean of the sum of the squares of differences (root mean square of successive differences [RMSSD]), (ii) the RR interval (RRi), (iii) the SD of the averages of NN intervals in all 5-min segments of the entire recording (SDANN), (iv) the SDs of all NN intervals (SDNN), (v) the total number of differences between adjacent RRi above 50 ms (sNN50), (vi) the percentage of RRi that differ from each other by >50 ms (pNN50), (vii) the ratio between the shortest RRi around the 15th beat and the longest RRi around the 30th beat (30:15 ratio), (viii) the difference in heart rate during inspiration and expiration (ΔIE), (ix) the triangular interpolation of the RRi, (x) the SD of instantaneous beat-to-beat variability (SD1), (xi) the SD in long term of the RRi (SD2), (xii) the Valsalva ratio (the ratio of the longest RRi after release from the maneuver to the shortest RRi during the maneuver), (xiii) the ratio of the longest RRi during the 5 beats before lying down to the shortest RRi during the 10 beats after lying down (S/L ratio), (xiv) the SD of the RRi, (xv) the variability triangular index, (xvi) the average/mean NN interval, and (xvii) the coefficient of variation of the RRi. The frequency (the density of beat-to-beat oscillation in the RRi) domain was recorded in the high (0.15–0.4 Hz), low (0.04–0.15 Hz), and very low (0.00–0.04 Hz) frequency bands and may be described using parameters such as: (i) total power (TP), (ii) high frequency (HF), (iii) very-low frequency (VLF), (iv) low frequency (LF), and (v) low-high frequency ratio (LF/HF). Additionally, the spectral components may be expressed as normalized units (nu), which is the absolute power/total power – VLF power × 100 (depicted as LFnü or HFnü); (b) the baroreceptor sensitivity expressed in ms/mm Hg; (c) the muscle sympathetic nerve activity expressed in burst frequency (bursts/min) and/or burst incidence (bursts/100 heartbeats); and (d) the sympathetic skin response expressed in terms of latency, area, and amplitude in m, mVs, and mV, respectively. (3) The article had to be published in English, and (4) it had to be a full-text original research report.

Initially, all search results were screened based on title and abstract. Thereafter, the full-text articles of studies that were considered potentially eligible and relevant were retrieved. All full-text articles were evaluated to ascertain whether they fulfilled the inclusion criteria. If an article did not fulfill all 4 criteria, it was excluded from the review.

**Qualification of Searchers**

The literature was searched and screened by JM and PC (who has published systematic reviews).12,22-24

**Data Items and Collection**

Information extracted from each of the included studies is presented in Table 1 (see the supplementary materials at http://www.rcjournal.com). This table provides: (1) author and year, (2) sample size and characteristics of participants, (3) inclusion and exclusion criteria, (4) results of autonomic function parameter comparison with controls, and (5) results of influencing factors.

**Risk of Bias in Individual Studies**

To establish the validity of the selected articles, the risk of bias (methodological quality) in the articles was determined using specific checklists per design provided by the Dutch Cochrane Centre. The methodological quality was determined by asking 7, 9, and 10 questions for the patient control and cohort studies and randomized control trials, respectively, from the checklists as shown in Table 2 (see the supplementary materials at http://www.rcjournal.com).

Methodological quality was assessed by 2 researchers (JM and HDS) who were not acquainted with each other’s evaluation at first. We scored each question as either + (informative description of the issue and the study meets the criterion) or – (the study does not meet the criterion).

After rating the selected articles, the results of both researchers were compared, and the differences were analyzed. In case of disagreement, the reviewers screened the manuscript a second time, and each point of difference was discussed. Both reviewers also had the opportunity to argue and to convince one another to obtain a consensus. When a consensus could not be reached, a third and final opinion was provided by PC. Each study received a total method score, which was the sum of all positive (+) ratings from the checklist questions. For uniformity across different designs, the overall method scores were converted to percentages. A study was considered to be high quality if the methodological quality score was ≥60% and low quality if the methodological quality was <60%.25

The evidence listings for the outcomes were classified according to the methods used by Bakker et al.25 The...
possible evidence levels are: strong, moderate, limited, conflicting evidence, and no evidence. Strong evidence represents consistent findings reported in at least 2 high-quality studies. Moderate evidence connotes consistent findings in one high- and one low-quality study or at least 2 independent studies of low quality. Limited evidence suggests that only one study was available. Conflicting evidence connotes inconsistent findings in the available studies. No evidence refers to when no study that reported any autonomic function outcomes was found. Additionally, findings in the studies were considered consistent only if at least 75% of the available studies reported the same conclusion for the outcome in question.

Results

Study Selection

A total of 154 studies were identified from the database and reference search. After the first and second screening, 33 articles were included in the review for quality synthesis as shown in Figure 1.

Risk of Bias and Level of Evidence

The risk of bias and the level of evidence showed that, in most cases (263 of 277 items), the 2 researchers (JM and HDS) agreed in the quality assessment. After the second review, the researchers reached a consensus for 11 of the 14 items on which they initially disagreed.

The remaining 3 questions were scored by PC. The evaluation criteria and final scores for the studies are presented in Table 2 (see the supplementary materials at http://www.rcjournal.com).

After converting to percentages, 82% of the studies scored as high methodological quality (ie, >60% from the checklist score percent) because only 6 studies were of low methodological quality scores.10,26-30

Study Characteristics and Outcome Measures

The characteristics (study size, participants, inclusion criteria, autonomic function parameters, and influencing factors) for each study are presented in Table 1 (see the supplementary materials at http://www.rcjournal.com). Of the 20 studies that compared the autonomic function between subjects with COPD and healthy controls, 15 studies reported heart rate variability as outcome, whereas baroreceptor sensitivity and muscle sympathetic nerve activity31-33 were the reported outcomes in 5 and 3 studies, respectively. Only one study assessed the sympathetic skin response14. Accordingly, heart rate variability was expressed in ms or ms², muscle sympathetic nerve activity was expressed as bursts/min and/or bursts/100 heartbeats, and baroreceptor sensitivity was expressed as ms/mm Hg. Furthermore, an overview (trend) of the autonomic function outcomes for time and frequency domain heart rate variability, baro receptor sensitivity, and muscle sympathetic nerve activity of subjects with COPD in the included studies is illustrated in Figure 2.

Evidence for Autonomic Function in Subjects With COPD

Heart Rate Variability. Fifteen studies of high methodological quality investigated the differences in heart rate
variability values between subjects with COPD and healthy controls.\textsuperscript{3,10,13,19,34-44} Most of these studies reported significantly lower heart rate variability in subjects with COPD. Specifically, the time-domain parameters in most of the studies (12 of 15) showed that subjects with COPD had lower heart rate variability compared with healthy controls. The studies reported that parameters such as \(sNN\textsubscript{SD}^5\), average NN interval,\textsuperscript{44} \(pNN\textsubscript{SD}^3\textsuperscript{.44}\), SDANN,\textsuperscript{35} SDNN,\textsuperscript{3,35,38,43,44} SDNN index,\textsuperscript{35} RMSSD,\textsuperscript{3,35,38,43,44} RRi,\textsuperscript{19} SD\textsubscript{1},\textsuperscript{13,43} SD\textsubscript{2},\textsuperscript{13,43} SD\textsubscript{1}/SD\textsubscript{2},\textsuperscript{43} triangular interpolation of the RRi,\textsuperscript{35} and the variability triangular index\textsuperscript{13} were all reduced (or negatively altered) in subjects with COPD compared with healthy controls (\(P < .05\)). Furthermore, other time-domain values reported to be impaired in subjects with COPD include the SD of the RRi,\textsuperscript{34} coefficient of variation of the RRi,\textsuperscript{34} Valsalva ratio,\textsuperscript{37,42} 30:15 ratio,\textsuperscript{37,42} S/L ratio,\textsuperscript{46} and \(\Delta F\).\textsuperscript{33} However, 3 studies reported comparable time-domain parameters between subjects with COPD and healthy controls.\textsuperscript{36,39,41}

The frequency-domain values for heart rate variability showed more variation across the studies. Lower frequency-domain values were reported in some studies for LF,\textsuperscript{3,34,36,38,39,44} HF,\textsuperscript{3,34,38,43,44} LF/HF,\textsuperscript{39,41} LFnu,\textsuperscript{39,44} and TP,\textsuperscript{34,40,44} whereas other investigators reported comparable findings for LF,\textsuperscript{10,41} HF,\textsuperscript{10,36,39,41} LF/HF,\textsuperscript{34,38,40,44} LFnu,\textsuperscript{10,34,38,44} and HFnu in subjects with COPD compared with their healthy counterparts.\textsuperscript{10,34,38,44} Additionally, higher values were reported in a few studies for LF,\textsuperscript{40} HF,\textsuperscript{40} LF/HF,\textsuperscript{43} and HFnu\textsuperscript{39} in subjects with COPD compared with healthy controls.

Based on the quality and level of evidence and the results from the majority of the studies reviewed, there is strong evidence to support impairment of time-domain heart rate variability in subjects with COPD. For frequency-domain heart rate variability, the evidence is inconsistent.

Baroreceptor Sensitivity. Five studies of low\textsuperscript{10,27} and high\textsuperscript{11,19,31} methodological quality reported that baroreceptor sensitivity was significantly depressed in subjects with COPD compared with healthy controls. Across all of the studies, the baroreceptor sensitivity of subjects with COPD ranged from 1.9 ± 2.92 to 8.9 ± 1.70 ms/mm Hg, whereas that of the healthy controls ranged from 6.2 ± 2.26 to 14.3 ± 2.00 ms/mm Hg. Raupach et al\textsuperscript{31} reported that baroreceptor sensitivity in subjects with mild COPD was significantly lower compared with controls (5.0 ± 0.60 vs 9.3 ± 1.10 ms/mm Hg). Similarly, significantly lower values were also reported for subjects with different stages (mild to moderate) of COPD in studies by Costes et al\textsuperscript{10} (2.7 ± 1.50 vs 7.8 ± 4.90 ms/mm Hg, \(P < .01\)), Bartels et al\textsuperscript{11} (1.9 ± 2.92 vs 6.2 ± 2.26 ms/mm Hg, \(P < .001\)), and Haider et al\textsuperscript{19} (6.2 ± 1.05 vs 10.7 ± 1.65 ms/mm Hg, \(P = .03\)). Another study on subjects with mild COPD also showed baroreceptor sensitivity depression compared with healthy age-matched controls (8.9 ± 1.70 vs 14.3 ± 2.00 ms/mm Hg).\textsuperscript{27} Based on the quality and level of evidence, there is strong evidence to support baroreceptor sensitivity depression in subjects with COPD.

Muscle Sympathetic Nerve Activity. Three studies of high methodological quality compared the muscle sympathetic nerve activity between subjects with COPD and healthy controls, and all concluded that muscle sympathetic nerve activity was impaired in subjects with COPD.\textsuperscript{31-33} The values in bursts/100 heartbeats ranged between 55 ± 4.7 to 86.9 ± 2.0 for COPD and 33 ± 6.0 to 49 ± 6.0 for healthy controls, respectively. In one study, subjects with COPD were reported to present with significantly elevated muscle sympathetic nerve activity compared with healthy controls (burst frequency of 62 ± 2.0 vs 29 ± 3.0 bursts/min, which corresponds to a burst incidence of 86 ± 2.0 vs 49 ± 6.0 bursts/100 heartbeats).\textsuperscript{32} Furthermore, similar findings were reported in 2 other studies that reported baseline muscle sympathetic nerve activity to be significantly elevated in subjects with COPD compared with healthy controls (\(P < .05\)).\textsuperscript{31,33} Based on the quality and level of evidence, there is strong evidence to support elevation of muscle sympathetic nerve activity in subjects with COPD.

Sympathetic Skin Response. Only one study of high methodological quality reported the sympathetic skin response of subjects with COPD.\textsuperscript{17} The outcome demonstrated that the sympathetic skin response was impaired in subjects with COPD compared with healthy controls. The evidence supporting impairment of the sympathetic skin response in subjects with COPD is limited.

Factors Influencing Autonomic Function in Subjects With COPD

Disease Severity (Stage), Duration, and Exacerbation. Four studies of low\textsuperscript{26,29} and high\textsuperscript{37,45} methodological quality evaluated the influence of disease severity on autonomic function in subjects with COPD. Two of the studies concluded that disease severity had a significant effect on autonomic function.\textsuperscript{26,37} Chhabra and De\textsuperscript{17} revealed that autonomic neuropathy was observed more often in subjects with moderate-to-severe COPD compared with subjects with mild COPD (\(P < .05\)). Antonelli Incalzi et al\textsuperscript{26} also reported significant correlations between LF/HFnu and the indices of disease severity. Conversely, the other 2 studies reported that disease severity did not influence autonomic function. Tug et al\textsuperscript{45} found no significant difference between mild and moderate-to-severe COPD groups for the isolated parasympathetic, sympathetic, or mixed form (\(P > .05\)). Similarly, Ramos et al\textsuperscript{29} reported that different stages of COPD (Global Initiative for Chronic
Obstructive Lung Disease [GOLD] stages I-III) had no influence on RMSSD.

Two studies of high methodological quality assessed the influence of disease duration on autonomic function in subjects with COPD, and both studies concluded that it had none \( (P > .05) \).\textsuperscript{17,45} Furthermore, one study of high methodological quality indicated that COPD exacerbation was associated with a significant increase in LF, HF, LF/HF, and TP \( (P < .05) \) compared with subjects with stable COPD and/or healthy controls.\textsuperscript{40}

The evidence supporting the effect of disease severity on autonomic function is inconsistent. However, there is strong evidence to support that disease duration has no influence on autonomic function, and there is limited evidence to support that exacerbation influences autonomic function in subjects with COPD.

**Pulmonary Function and Respiratory Parameters.**

Eight studies of low\textsuperscript{10,26} and high\textsuperscript{1,17,19,34,37,41} methodological quality reported a relationship between spirometric indices (FEV\textsubscript{1}, percent-of-predicted FEV\textsubscript{1}, FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC) and autonomic function in subjects with COPD. Three of these studies reported that there were no significant correlations between pulmonary function variables and autonomic function.\textsuperscript{1,10,34} In contrast, the remaining 5 studies reported significant correlations between the lung function variables and autonomic function parameters.\textsuperscript{26,17,19,34,37,41} Weak correlations were found between percent-of-predicted FEV\textsubscript{1} and the Valsalva ratio \( (0.39) \) and the 30:15 ratio \( (r = 0.31) \), between FEV\textsubscript{1} and LF/HF \( (r = 0.34) \) and LF/HFnu \( (r = 0.32) \), and also between baroreceptor sensitivity and FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC \( (r = 0.38) \).\textsuperscript{19} Haider et al\textsuperscript{19} reported a more moderate correlation between baroreceptor sensitivity and FEV\textsubscript{1} \( (r = 0.46, P < .01) \) and also between the RRi and FEV\textsubscript{1} \( (r = 0.56, P < .01) \) and FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC \( (r = 0.53, P < .01) \). Similarly, Bir et al\textsuperscript{17} revealed a moderately strong relationship between FEV\textsubscript{1} and LF/HF and latency \( (r = -0.47) \), amplitude \( (r = 0.41) \), and area \( (r = 0.40) \) and between percent-of-predicted FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC and latency \( (r = -0.64) \), amplitude \( (r = 0.52) \), and area \( (r = 0.52) \) \( (P < .05) \).

Only one study of low methodological quality reported the influence of breathing frequency on autonomic function in subjects with COPD.\textsuperscript{28} The study results showed that both LF and HF were significantly correlated with breathing frequency \( (r = -0.76 \text{ and } -0.70, \text{respectively}) \) and ventilatory effort \( (r = -0.52 \text{ and } -0.49, \text{respectively}) \) in subjects with COPD.

Seven studies of low\textsuperscript{10,26,30} and high\textsuperscript{17,34,37,45} methodological quality investigated the relationship between arterial blood gas parameters \( (P_{\text{aO}_2}, P_{\text{aCO}_2}, \text{pH}, \text{and arterial oxygen saturation}) \) and autonomic function parameters in subjects with COPD. Most of these studies demonstrated that arterial blood gas parameters did not significantly correlate with autonomic function. Tug et al\textsuperscript{45} reported that both \( P_{\text{aO}_2} \) and \( P_{\text{aCO}_2} \) did not significantly correlate with sympathetic skin response and RRi \( (P > .05) \). Antonelli Incalzi et al\textsuperscript{30} also reported that there was no significant correlation between \( P_{\text{aO}_2} \) and LF/HFnu \( (P > .05) \) except for a weak but significant relationship with \( P_{\text{aCO}_2} \) at nighttime, \( r = -0.29 \).

Further, no significant relationship was reported between sympathetic skin response and \( P_{\text{aCO}_2} \), \( P_{\text{aO}_2} \), O\textsubscript{2} saturation, and pH\textsuperscript{17}; between baroreceptor sensitivity and \( P_{\text{aO}_2} \); and between heart rate variability (variability triangular index, pNN\textsubscript{50}, and HF) and \( P_{\text{aCO}_2}, P_{\text{aO}_2} \), and pH.\textsuperscript{37} However, 2 studies of high methodological quality reported significant correlations between \( P_{\text{aCO}_2} \) and HFnu \( (r = -0.60) \), LFnu \( (r = 0.44) \), and LF/HF \( (r = 0.37) \) and between \( P_{\text{aO}_2} \) and the Valsalva ratio \( (r = 0.27) \) and 30:15 ratio \( (r = 0.29) \).\textsuperscript{37} Moreover, significant correlations were reported between the alveolar volume transfer factor and 30:15 ratio \( (r = 0.36) \) and also between the mean pulmonary artery pressure and Valsalva ratio \( (r = -0.33) \).

Two studies assessed the influence of dyspnea on autonomic function in subjects with COPD.\textsuperscript{2,26} One study (high methodological quality) showed a significant relationship between all domains of dyspnea and RMSSD \( (P < .05) \).\textsuperscript{26} However, the other study (low methodological quality) was unable to demonstrate any significant correlation between dyspnea and LF/HFnu \( (P > .05) \).\textsuperscript{26} Based on the quality and level of evidence of the studies, the evidence supporting the influence of pulmonary function, arterial blood gas, and dyspnea on autonomic function in subjects with COPD is inconsistent.

**Physical Activity, Physical Fitness, and Body Composition.**

Five studies of low\textsuperscript{28} and high\textsuperscript{1,2,8,45} methodological quality reported the relationship between autonomic function and physical activity, physical fitness, and exercise capacity in subjects with COPD. Camillo et al\textsuperscript{1} concluded that total daily energy expenditure, daily energy expenditure in activities requiring >3 metabolic equivalents, number of steps/d, and time spent daily in activities demanding >3 metabolic equivalents significantly affected SDNN \( (0.36 < r < 0.60, P < .05) \). The energy expenditure also correlated with the SDNN index \( (r = 0.60, P = .003) \) and LF/HF \( (r = 0.36, P < .05) \). Furthermore, they also found significant correlations between the RRi and time spent walking \( (r = 0.46) \) and standing \( (r = 0.41) \) and between the SDNN index and total score of activities of daily living \( (r = -0.44) \). van Gestel et al\textsuperscript{8} reported that there was a significant correlation between mean NN intervals and 6-min walk distance \( (r = 0.43) \) and physical activity levels \( (r = 0.42) \). However, no correlation was reported between mean NN intervals and peak oxygen uptake and maximum work load \( (P > .05) \). Similarly, the time spent walking/d was significantly correlated with heart rate variability \( (r = 0.47, P < .05) \). In another study, loss
of activities of daily living was significantly correlated with LF/HFnu (r = −0.41, P = .003), in addition to a weak relationship that was reported between functional status and LF/HFnu analysis over 24 h (r = 0.29) and in the daytime (r = 0.29).45 Conversely, a study of low methodological quality reported that there was no significant relationship between exercise capacity and LF/HFnu in subjects with COPD.26

The influence of muscle force and muscle strength on autonomic function in COPD was reported in 3 studies of high methodological quality.1,2,36 SDNN significantly correlated with the biceps brachii (r = 0.61)2 and triceps brachii (r = 0.56) muscle force,2 and the quadriceps and triceps muscle force also correlated with SDNN and LF/HF (0.37 > r < 0.44, P < .05) in another study.1 Furthermore, inspiratory muscle weakness was significantly associated with ΔIE (r = 0.60).36

Only one study reported the relationship between body composition and autonomic function.1 The results revealed that there was a significant relationship between body mass index and SDNN (r = 0.44) and RRi (r = 0.37) and also between fat-free mass and SDNN (r = 0.49) and LF/HF (r = 0.40). However, no significant correlation was reported between heart rate variability and total fat mass (P > .05). Based on the quality and level of evidence of the studies, there is strong evidence to support the influence of physical activity level and muscle function (force and strength) on autonomic function in subjects with COPD, but only limited evidence to support the influence of body composition.

**Sociodemographic Variables.** Four studies of high methodological quality reported the relationship between QOL and autonomic function.1,2,5,44 The results showed inconsistent findings. Significant relationships were reported between QOL and RMSSD (r = 0.34, P = .01),3 SDNN (r = 0.54, P = .002), RRi (r = −0.38, P < .05),1 HF (r = 0.35, P = .01),5 and LF/HF (r = −0.40, P < .05). However, in 2 studies, there were no significant correlations (P > .05) between QOL and several heart rate variability parameters.1,2 In a similar trend, the relationship between age and autonomic function in subjects with COPD was reported in 3 studies of high methodological quality.32,41,45 In one of the studies, the results indicated that there was a significant correlation between age and LF/HF (r = −0.32, P = .044),41 whereas the other 2 studies reported that age was not significantly associated with LF/HFnu (P > .05)32 and autonomic function (P > .05).45

The influence of cigarette smoking on autonomic function in COPD subjects was investigated in 3 studies of low27 and high1,45 methodological quality. Despite this, no correlation was found between smoking and RMSSD,1 baroreceptor sensitivity,27 sympathetic skin response,45 and RRi.45 Also, one study of high methodological quality on the influence of anxiety on autonomic function revealed that anxious subjects with COPD had impaired SDNN and HF values compared with nonanxious subjects (P < .05).6

Based on the quality and level of evidence of the studies, the evidence supporting the influence of QOL and age on autonomic function is inconsistent. Furthermore, there is strong evidence to support that smoking does not influence autonomic function, and there is limited evidence to support the influence of anxiety on autonomic function in subjects with COPD.

**Time of Day (Circadian Rhythm).** Four studies of low26 and high3,41,46 methodological quality reported the influence of circadian rhythm on autonomic function in subjects with COPD. The results from the study of Bédard et al41 showed that subjects with COPD had reduced LF/HF ratios (median and interquartile range) compared with healthy controls during the daytime (2.6 [1.5–3.8] vs 3.5 [2.9–5.6]), nighttime (1.8 [1.1–4.3] vs 4.2 [2.7–6.9]), and the entire 24-h period (1.9 [1.5–3.4] vs 3.9 [3.2–5.6]) (P < .005). In another study, SDNN (P = .02) and SDANN (P = .02) measured at nighttime were significantly higher compared with daytime values in subjects with COPD.46 Similarly, time-dependent fluctuations in heart rate variability parameters were reported in subjects with COPD, which were significantly reduced during morning periods compared with night periods (P < .001).4 Antonelli Incalzi et al26 also reported that subjects with COPD showed fluctuations in VLF during 24-h and daytime periods and also lower LFnu, higher HFnu, and lower LF/HFnu during the daytime compared with the nighttime (all P < .05). Based on the quality and level of evidence of the studies, there is strong evidence to support that circadian rhythm influences autonomic function in subjects with COPD.

**Discussion**

The objective of this systematic review was to determine the level of evidence for autonomic function and its influencing factors in subjects with COPD. This was the first attempt to provide concise information and a systematic review of evidence on autonomic function in subjects with COPD. Our review included 33 studies comprising about 1,000 subjects with COPD. Additionally, the results of this review have provided a more global representation of the several parameters that make up autonomic function in these studies.

The results of this review showed that the heart rate variability time-domain parameters were significantly lower in subjects with COPD in most of the studies. In general, it would appear that this reduction in heart rate variability timing may be an indication of a problem with time series of normal RRi in subjects with COPD. This may also imply that these subjects have a lower autonomic
activity level compared with healthy controls. Consequently, the time domain could readily serve as a yardstick for ascertaining autonomic function. Moreover, time-domain indexes of heart rate variability have been reported to be of independent prognostic significance.47

On the other hand, frequency-domain heart rate variability parameters revealed a more conflicting outcome. The findings ranged from lower to comparable and even higher values for LF, HF, LF/HF, HFnu, LF/HF, and TP variables in subjects with COPD compared with healthy controls. Consequently, evidence supporting impaired frequency-domain parameters could not be ascertained. The results obtained with the frequency-domain parameters tend to suggest, for these variations and inconsistencies, that they are random and may not provide the best means for stratification. However, there appears to be a preponderance of lower LF presentations in subjects with COPD. This may be an indication of sympathetic tone dominance, as earlier reported in chronic diseases that are closely linked with cardiovascular death.48,49 Therefore, in view of our results, time-domain analyses may provide a better interpretation and prognostic value compared with frequency-domain analyses for patients with COPD. Moreover, Lanza et al50 demonstrated that there is a strong association between low time-domain heart rate variability and mortality. They further stated that little data are available regarding the prognostic value of frequency-domain heart rate variability analysis.

In this review, we also found strong evidence in support of baroreceptor sensitivity depression in subjects with COPD. The baroreflex mechanism plays a key role in cardiovascular function, especially in the short-term regulation of blood pressure in normal and pathological conditions.51,52 The possible contributors to the depression in baroreceptor sensitivity include intrathoracic pressure changes, hypoxia, and oxidative stress. Moreover, these are regular features of COPD. Therefore, it is not surprising that baroreceptor sensitivity was impaired even in subjects with mild COPD.10,19,27 Our review has shown that even during early stages of COPD, baroreceptor sensitivity is impaired. Hence, it can be utilized as a reliable marker by clinicians who are presented with patients with COPD for a more comprehensive intervention.

The results from 3 studies showed that muscle sympathetic nerve activity was considerably higher (reflection of increased sympathetic excitation) in subjects with COPD compared with their healthy counterparts.31-33 Furthermore, like baroreceptor sensitivity, muscle sympathetic nerve activity is significantly associated with some features of COPD, such as decreased oxygen concentration, impairment in baroreceptor sensitivity (through the inhibitory afferent systems), high oxidative stress, and systemic inflammation.5,53-55 Moreover, our review also found elevated muscle sympathetic nerve activity in normoxic subjects and in subjects with mild COPD.5

Generally, this review has shown that heart rate variability was impaired mainly in the time-domain parameters in subjects with COPD. Similarly, the same outcome was found for baroreceptor sensitivity and muscle sympathetic nerve activity, thereby enhancing the inference that can be drawn from our results. Our review also found that fewer studies have reported variables (baroreceptor sensitivity and muscle sympathetic nerve activity) other than heart rate variability (see Fig. 2). Moreover, only one study reported the sympathetic skin response parameter.14 However, it is very likely that the sympathetic skin response is impaired in patients with COPD, despite limited evidence. Unlike heart rate variability, baroreceptor sensitivity, and muscle sympathetic nerve activity, the sympathetic skin response assesses the sudomotor function of unmyelinated sympathetic fibers through electromyography. Therefore, it is noninvasive, requires less equipment, and can be easily performed (requiring less expertise).56,57 and it may provide an alternative to assess autonomic function (sympathetic). This review has shown a strong level of evidence supporting autonomic function impairment in subjects with COPD. Subsequent research can focus on providing evidence for intervention modes to enhance autonomic function in this population.

This review also focused on the influencing factors of autonomic function in subjects with COPD. For these, we categorized the influencing factors into 5 groups: disease severity features, pulmonary function and respiratory parameters, physical activity and body composition, sociodemographic variables, and circadian rhythm. This categorization made analyzing the evidence easier. The results suggest that the physical activity level (energy expenditure, duration of activities of daily living, duration of walking/d, and number of steps/d) is the major factor that may be useful in enhancing autonomic function (heart rate variability). Additionally, this may be a useful intervention strategy due to the high level of deconditioning that is a common feature in these patients. Moreover, physical activity is known to modulate the autonomic nervous system.10,58 A similar level of evidence was also reported in support of the positive association of muscle function and autonomic function. However, further studies are needed to determine the optimal mode for incorporating these parameters as key components of COPD rehabilitation.

The results from the studies in our review showed strong evidence that cigarette smoking does not influence autonomic function in subjects with COPD. This finding is at variance with earlier reports that have linked smoking with reductions in the baseline levels of vagal-cardiac nerve activity through its effect on the arterial baroreceptor-cardiac reflex response and increasing sympathetic activity through arterial pressure reductions.59 Moreover, smoking
is one of the major causes of COPD, and patients with COPD are required to stop smoking. The evidence for other sociodemographic circumstances was mostly conflicting, limited, or nonexistent. For example, inconsistent evidence was reported for age and QOL. No explicit reason could be deduced except for the effect of the normal physiological aging process because subjects with COPD are generally older.60-62 Our review did not find any study that reported the influence of factors such as sleep quality, fatigue, depression, and sex. Hence, further studies are needed to explore the potential links between these variables and autonomic function in subjects with COPD.

In most of the studies, the use of medication that can influence autonomic function in subjects with COPD, such as systemic sympathomimetic drugs, calcium channel blockers, β blockers, other cardiac medications, caffeine/tea, smoking, and alcohol, were suspended before and/or during autonomic function assessment. However, in some studies, the subjects were not stopped from using their regular medications, such as anticholinergic, β agonists, corticosteroids, aminophylline, and bronchodilators.4,11,27,32,36,38,40 Still, in other studies, medication use was completely avoided for a period of 6–24 h before autonomic function assessment.17,29,34,39 Nevertheless, these variations in medication use are not likely to affect our results because none of the studies included medications that could have had any significant effect on the autonomic nervous system. We also noticed differences in heart rate variability assessment procedures across the studies. The differences were mostly in terms of number of RRi points utilized in the heart rate variability analysis. In some studies, a detailed description of the setting was given, whereas in others, this was not the case. On a general note, however, the analyses were reliable because of the large number of studies (some with very large samples) that were reviewed. For muscle sympathetic nerve activity and baroreceptor sensitivity, the sample sizes were comparatively smaller. However, this would not likely change the results due to the feasibility of recording a larger sample because the study procedures are not only technical, but also invasive, as in the case of muscle sympathetic nerve activity.

The findings of this systematic review are important to clinicians and other health-care providers given the high evidence backing our conclusion and the more concise information we presented. Moreover, this review has highlighted specific and important physiological markers that should be taken into consideration for prognostication, stratification, and management of patients with COPD. Additionally, our review can serve as a basis for future studies and reviews that will provide information on the effect of various intervention modes on autonomic function in these patients.

Summary

A strong level of evidence supports the impairment of autonomic function parameters in subjects with COPD. A similar evidence level also suggests that physical activity level, muscle function, and circadian rhythm significantly influence autonomic function and may play a role in autonomic function modulation during COPD rehabilitation.
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