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Mask ventilation is lifesaving, especially in cases of difficult intubation. Many publications have offered distinct techniques for optimizing mask ventilation. This article reviews currently available difficult mask ventilation literature and theory. We divide difficult mask ventilation into 3 broad categories based on etiology: inadequate mask seal, increased airway resistance, and decreased respiratory compliance. Published strategies for overcoming difficulty are presented and organized by etiology. Key words: positive-pressure respiration; pulmonary ventilation; respiratory therapy; respiration; critical care; anesthesiology. [Respir Care 0;0(0):1–. © 0 Daedalus Enterprises]

Introduction

Maintaining adequate oxygenation in an apneic patient is paramount to patient safety. Failure to oxygenate, not failure to intubate, ultimately leads to brain injury and cardiovascular collapse.1 Mask ventilation is the most fundamental technique in maintaining oxygenation and can avoid catastrophic events, even when intubation is unsuccessful. Developing skills to optimize mask ventilation is therefore crucial for caregivers who find or render patients apneic, particularly anesthesia providers, emergency medicine clinicians, emergency medical service personnel, and respiratory therapists.

Despite the importance of mask ventilation and the correlation between difficult mask ventilation and difficult intubation,1 the mechanism of failed mask ventilation is not as extensively investigated as that of failed intubation. The majority of the literature on techniques to improve mask ventilation is dated, and fewer devices are available to facilitate mask ventilation than are available to facilitate intubation. Although difficult intubation may not be as challenging as in the past due to the availability of video laryngoscopes, the incidence of major complications related to airway management has not decreased.2
This review presents a conceptual framework for the etiologies of difficult mask ventilation based on available literature. It subsequently details appropriate etiology-specific management strategies. We focus on skills and resources readily available to clinicians. Although it is unlikely that all difficult mask ventilation can be eliminated, we hope that this working framework will provide clinicians a more simplified approach to difficult mask ventilation situations, expand the clinician’s repertoire of techniques to optimize mask ventilation, and help reduce the impact of difficult mask ventilation and its related complications.

**Definition and Epidemiology**

A standard, widely accepted, objective definition for difficult mask ventilation does not exist, and application of available definitions is complicated by interoperator variability. These issues complicate communication of patient history, reporting of epidemiologic data, and performance of objective studies on difficult mask ventilation. Most published definitions are binary (ie, difficult or not difficult) and include various aspects of inadequate oxygenation and/or ventilation. Just as with intubation, patients more often fall on a spectrum between easy and impossible mask ventilation. Therefore, a graded definition as we commonly use for intubation is likely more useful clinically. Although none have gained widespread acceptance, such classification systems have been proposed. A 2004 classification and description scale developed by Han et al suggests grades 0–4: grade 0 = mask ventilation not attempted, grade 1 = ventilation by mask, grade 2 = ventilation by mask with adjuvant, grade 3 = difficult mask ventilation (inadequate, unstable, or requiring 2 practitioners), and grade 4 = unable to ventilate.

Reported epidemiologic data suggest that difficult mask ventilation occurs in 1.4% (range 0.9–7.8%) of patients undergoing general anesthesia and 4–11% of patients in the emergency room. The actual rate of difficult mask ventilation in field resuscitation is unknown and likely higher than that encountered in the hospital given the suboptimal conditions. Predictive factors include high body mass index, edentulous and/or bearded patients, and high Mallampati class. Impossible mask ventilation is less predictable, with estimates of 0.07–0.16%. With 21 million general anesthesia procedures performed annually in the United States, this equates to ~15,000–34,000 impossible mask ventilations per year. As the obese and morbidly obese populations increase, it is reasonable to speculate that these numbers will grow, and difficult mask ventilation will remain challenging to health-care providers.

**Conceptual Framework for Difficult Mask Ventilation**

Difficult mask ventilation may be caused by patient-related or non-patient-related factors. Although they are important, non-patient-related factors, such as operator inexperience or faulty equipment, will not be the focus of this review.

Successful mask ventilation involves the flow of gas from a positive-pressure source to the patient’s lungs via a mask-patient interface, such as a face mask. The flow of gas along a path is inversely related to resistance and directly related to the decrease in pressure along that path. It follows that difficult mask ventilation must be caused by one or more of: (1) a low-resistance alternative path due to an inadequate seal, (2) an increase in air-flow resistance along the path to the lungs, or (3) a decrease in compliance of the lungs and/or chest wall leading to increased distal pressure. Understanding the etiology of difficult mask ventilation is essential to making the appropriate diagnosis and subsequent management in the emergency situation. Below, we outline an approach to difficult mask ventilation based on this model. A summary of underlying causes and recommended practices discussed below is presented in Tables 1 and 2.

**Inadequate Seal at the Mask-Patient Interface**

A gas will flow discriminately to lower-resistance paths. An inadequate seal at the mask-patient interface provides a lower-resistance escape for positive-pressure breaths. Similarly, an increased airway resistance will make alternative paths (eg, the mask-patient interface or esophagus) more likely to receive flow. Factors that contribute to a poor seal at the mask-patient interface include improper mask size, shape/design or positioning, facial hair, edentulism, micrognathia, maxillomandibular deformities, and foreign objects (eg, nasogastric tubes).

Independent of the cause of inadequate seal, higher fresh gas flows may help compensate for the leak (when using a valveless systems [Mapleson] or anesthesia ventilators). In the more commonly found bag-valve-mask systems, increasing flow does not compensate for a leak unless a PEEP valve is applied. Even when possible, compensation is limited because most operating room ventilators maximally deliver 12 L/min. This can be improved by using the oxygen flush feature available on all ventilators. Although not generally available in the operating room, the use of a ventilator designed for noninvasive ventilation or the use of ICU ventilators with leak compensation can dramatically improve ventilation. Many of these ventilators are designed to compensate for leaks up to 60 L/min.

Face masks are available in different shapes, and some can be reshaped to optimize fit to the patient’s face. The
Comparing 1-handed ventilation, 2-handed approaches provide better seal\cite{9,10} and produce greater tidal volumes\cite{11,12}. However, this version may differ from the final published version in the online and print editions of RESPIRATORY CARE.

Facial hair and edentulism are 2 commonly encountered causes of inadequate seal. Facial hair can be shaved, although it is often undesired by patients and may not be feasible in emergency situations. Alternatively, large occlusive dressings can be placed over facial hair to provide an adequate surface for a seal. This has been described with clear adhesive tape (such as Tegaderm, 3M, St Paul, Minnesota), plastic wrap, gel, gauze, and even defibrillator pads.\cite{16,17} Placing the inferior aspect of the mask inside the lower lip at the alveolar ridge has also been described.\cite{16} Edentulism leads to atrophy of bone and buccinator muscles. This loss of structure creates a gap between the cheeks and the mask.\cite{18,19} Using 2 hands to pull the patient’s cheeks to oppose the mask during mask ventilation often helps minimize leak. Recreating structure can be achieved by leaving the dentures in place\cite{18} or by packing the patient’s cheeks with gauze,\cite{20} although the latter risks foreign object obstruction or aspiration.

In cases in which an inadequate face-mask seal persists despite optimization strategies, alternative mask-patient interfaces can help. A nasal mask or a toddler-sized mask with the lower border resting above the patient’s upper lip can provide adequate seal if the patient’s mouth is occluded with a hand or dressing.\cite{21} The nasal mask may also relieve airflow obstruction as described in the next section. The double nasopharyngeal tube, which delivers positive pressure directly to the pharyngeal cavity,\cite{22} is no longer commercially available, but successful utilization of an ordinary nasopharyngeal airway connected to an endotracheal tube adapter while compressing the contralateral nostril has been described.\cite{23} Supraglottic airways provide another patient interface that is discussed further below.
Table 2.  Summary of Recommended Practices for Difficult Mask Ventilation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inadequate Mask Seal</th>
<th>Increased Airway Resistance</th>
<th>Decreased Respiratory Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General principles</td>
<td>General principles</td>
<td>General principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase gas flows to overcome leak</td>
<td>Positioning</td>
<td>Ensure appropriate anesthetic depth or paralysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Try different mask size or shape</td>
<td>Sniffing position</td>
<td>to treat dysarryhythm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Try 2-handed mask</td>
<td>Jaw thrust</td>
<td>Increase driving pressures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider nasal mask</td>
<td>Chin lift</td>
<td>Reverse Trendelenburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Move seal into nose or mouth (see devices under “Increased Airway Resistance”)</td>
<td>Reverse Trendelenburg</td>
<td>Tension pneumothorax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider an alternative ventilator designed for noninvasive ventilation with leak compensation</td>
<td>Consider deepening anesthetic or paralyzing</td>
<td>Emergency needle decompression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial hair</td>
<td>Devices</td>
<td>Intra-abdominal hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shave or cover beard with occlusive material (eg, gel, Tegaderm) with hole over mouth</td>
<td>Oral/nasal airway</td>
<td>Paracentesis for fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edentulism</td>
<td>Laryngeal mask airway</td>
<td>Laporatomy to release hypertension in emergency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leave dentures in, pull cheeks anteriorly, or pack cheeks</td>
<td>Pharyngeal endotracheal tube</td>
<td>Pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign bodies</td>
<td>Increase driving pressures or apply CPAP</td>
<td>Operative delivery of the fetus in emergency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider removing (eg, remove nasogastric tube)</td>
<td>Consider nasal mask</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower-airway etiologies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consider suctioning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Remove foreign bodies or displace into distal branch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Treat bronchospasm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Determine likely etiologies or consider addressing nonspecifically with general principles.

Increased Airway Resistance

Increased resistance is most commonly due to supraglottic issues, although infraglottic contributions to airflow resistance (which are typically more difficult to circumvent) are discussed at the end of this section. The upper airway is composed primarily of soft tissue, bordered proximally by the bones and cartilage of the nose and distally by the rigid trachea. The transitional area of unsupported soft tissue or pharyngeal tube is prone to collapse, especially when the upper-airway dilator muscles, such as the genioglossus, are depressed due to loss of consciousness or pharmacologic paralysis. This is further compromised by tonsillar and adenoidal hypertrophy, redundant soft tissue (eg, morbid obesity or obstructive sleep apnea), large tongue or epiglottis, airway edema (eg, after repeated intubation attempts, trauma, or angioedema), oropharyngeal tumors, external compression (eg, large neck masses or hematoma), or laryngospasm. To mask-ventilate when an upper-airway obstruction is present, the generated positive pressure must overcome the critical closing pressure of the collapsed pharynx, or the obstructed airway can be bypassed with placement of an airway adjuvant or supraglottic airway device.

Positioning maneuvers can reduce upper-airway obstruction. The sniffing position (lower-cervical flexion and upper-cervical extension) and chin lift stent open pharyngeal soft tissue by increasing longitudinal tension. Jaw thrust displaces the mandible, increasing the retrolingual and retropalatal spaces by pulling the tongue anteriorly, although this benefit may be less present in the obese patient. With a reverse Trendelenburg position, gravity pulls down the diaphragm and trachea, stretching the pharyngeal tube and decreasing its collapsibility. Application of CPAP increases airway patency by maintaining the outward pressure gradient in the pharyngeal cavity. CPAP also has an added benefit of increasing lung volume, which improves oxygenation and provides longitudinal tension on the pharyngeal tube. Nasal mask ventilation provides pressure that anteriorly displaces the tongue and palate, and it may be more effective than combined oronasal ven-
Optimal positioning, which excludes the esophagus and epiglottis, is achieved only 45–60% of the time. Suboptimal placement may result in partial obstruction, but gas flow is often sufficient. Complete failure to adequately ventilate through a laryngeal mask airway is reported in 0.4–6% of cases and may result from complete obstruction by the epiglottis, a rotated laryngeal mask airway, or an inadvertently bent laryngeal mask airway tip. Laryngeal mask airways are more difficult to place in patients with small mouths, large tongues, large tonsils, or posterior larynges. In such cases, a laryngoscope may facilitate placement. When a laryngeal mask airway is not available or unsuccessful, placing an endotracheal tube in the supraglottic area and then hyperinflating the cuff with 50–60 mL (the poor man’s laryngeal mask airway) has been described as a successful rescue technique.

Infraglottic causes of increased air-flow resistance are less commonly encountered but are important to consider as the management is etiology-specific. Causes include airway secretions or mucous plugs, foreign bodies, excessively applied cricoid pressure, bronchospasm, tracheomalacia, tracheal stenosis, and airway or mediastinal mass. Airway secretions can be suctioned. Foreign bodies can be removed with flexible or rigid bronchoscopy or, in the setting of complete airway obstruction, may need to be pushed distally into a branch. Bronchospasm is treated with β agonists such as albuterol, increased concentrations of volatile anesthetics, or increased PEEP. Intravenous epinephrine should also be considered because inhaled medications may not reach the intended target with severe bronchospasm. Tracheomalacia, tracheal stenosis, and airway or mediastinal tumors are traditionally managed by maintaining spontaneous ventilation and appropriate positioning, although CPAP may also help by increasing luminal pressure and lung volume. In the case of a fixed obstruction, increasing the driving pressure and lengthening inspiratory time assist in ventilating past the obstruction. These patients are often able to breathe with only minimal difficulty before induction of anesthesia, but as lung volume is reduced, partial occlusion of the lower airway becomes complete obstruction. Preoperative examination should identify the position in which the patient is able to breathe most easily. The patient should be placed in this rescue position if impossible ventilation develops after induction. In some cases, a rigid bronchoscope may be required to bypass the obstructed segment. In severe cases, emergency sternotomy and mass elevation may be necessary to avoid death due to impossible ventilation.

Referral to a tertiary care center with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation capabilities should be considered if the likelihood of impossible mask ventilation and intubation is high.
Decreased Distal Compliance

Decreased compliance of the lungs and chest wall causes difficult mask ventilation by increasing distal pressure, which decreases the driving-pressure gradient. Contributing factors include inadequate depth of anesthesia or inadequate paralysis (ie, ventilation asynchrony), large body habitus, restrictive lung disease (which may be chronic, as with kyphoscoliosis, or acute, as with ARDS), intra-abdominal hypertension, external compression (eg, a compressing orthotic), and tension pneumothorax. Treatment includes ensuring appropriate anesthetic depth or paralysis and provision of pressures sufficient to ventilate appropriately or accepting the presence of hypercapnia, as is often done in the setting of the ARDS. The pressures necessary will be high, which may exacerbate leak at the mask-patient interface and may increase risk of gastric insufflation. However, the transpulmonary pressures will remain normal given the decreased compliance unless lung compliance alone is decreased (eg, ARDS). Externally compressing devices should be removed when possible, and tension pneumothorax should be promptly treated with needle decompression because positive pressure may worsen the condition.

Summary of Recommendations for the Emergency Situation

Here, we organize the general principles into an approach to difficult mask ventilation based on etiology. Specific situations may be more suited to alternative approaches as previously discussed and summarized in Table 2. These recommendations are intended to simplify and optimize mask ventilation in emergency situations but are not intended to replace the American Society of Anesthesiologists’ difficult-airway algorithm. Most importantly, the above recommendations should not delay calling for help, attempting to intubate, or preparing for the emergent invasive airway.

1. Identify significant leak and compensate: a two-hand mask ventilation should be applied without delay using either a bag or ventilator with pressure mode ventilation. An alternative mask size or shape should be employed for the observed mask-patient mismatch. If using a ventilator, looking for collapse of the bellows (or a single-breath pressure trace if using a ventilator without visible bellows) allows the operator to determine whether the mask seal continues to be inadequate. If an inadequate seal is not fixable, maximize both the oxygen and air flow together over 20 L/min. If ventilation adequacy improves, oxygenation will improve despite the lower F(IO2). Consider moving to one of several different mask-patient interfaces, as discussed above.

2. Optimize airway patency: place the patient in the sniffing position, apply jaw thrust and chin lift, and move to a reverse Trendelenburg position. Adjuvant devices (eg, nasal or oral airway) should be employed. Consider paralysis, especially if a return to spontaneous breathing is unlikely. The nasal airway may be more effective than the oral airway particularly for those who have small mouths and large tongues. Ensure that the face mask does not occlude the nose, which frequently occurs during emergency mask ventilation, and maintain CPAP if possible because increased lung volume improves airway patency.

3. Overcome distal rigidity: the general maneuvers to decrease distal rigidity (if not already undertaken) include reverse Trendelenburg positioning, pharmacologic sedation or paralysis, and increased driving pressures.

Summary

Despite advances in intubation technology, difficult airways persist. Mask ventilation is a lifesaving skill that should be mastered by clinicians, and a structured algorithmic approach to mask ventilation can be critical to maintaining oxygenation and vitality.

This review provides a logical approach to optimizing mask ventilation based on a comprehensive review of the currently available difficult-airway literature and theory (summarized in Table 2). Inclusion of this type of algorithm in difficult-airway guidelines, training courses, and emergency crisis checklists may result in less morbidity and mortality from failure to ventilate.
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