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BACKGROUND: Fibromyalgia syndrome (FMS) is associated with a variety of symptoms, such as fatigue and dyspnea, which may be related to changes in the respiratory system. The objective of this work was to evaluate pulmonary function, respiratory muscle strength, and thoracoabdominal mobility in women with FMS and its association with clinical manifestations. METHODS: The study included 23 women with FMS and 23 healthy women (control group). Pulmonary function, respiratory muscle strength, and thoracoabdominal mobility were assessed in all participants. Clinical manifestations such as number of active tender points, pain, fatigue, well-being, and general pressure pain threshold and pressure pain threshold in regions involved in respiratory function were also assessed. For data analysis, the Mann-Whitney test and Spearman correlation coefficient were used. RESULTS: The FMS group showed lower values of maximum voluntary ventilation ($P = 0.030$), maximal inspiratory pressure ($P = 0.003$), and cirtometry at the axillary and xiphoid levels ($P < 0.001$ and $P < 0.001$, respectively) as well as higher cirtometry at the abdominal level ($P = 0.005$) compared with the control group. However, there was no significant difference between groups for maximum expiratory pressure. In predicted percentage, maximal inspiratory pressure showed significant positive correlation with axillary cirtometry ($r = 0.41, P = 0.049$) and negative correlation with the number of active tender points ($r = -0.44, P = 0.031$) and fatigue ($r = -0.41, P = 0.049$). CONCLUSIONS: Subjects with FMS had lower respiratory muscle endurance, inspiratory muscle strength, and thoracic mobility than healthy subjects. In addition, inspiratory muscle strength was associated with the number of active tender points, fatigue, and axillary mobility. Key words: fibromyalgia; respiratory muscle strength; lung function; respiratory function tests; respiratory mechanics; respiratory muscles. [Respir Care 0;0(0):1–].

Introduction

Fibromyalgia syndrome (FMS) is a rheumatic condition of increasing prevalence worldwide,\(^1\) characterized by diffuse chronic pain of non-inflammatory origin and hypersensitivity in specific anatomical sites, called tender points.\(^2\) Although pain in FMS is widespread, the most affected regions are related to respiratory mechanics, such as the upper trapezius muscle, the suboccipital muscles, the anterior cervical region, and the second rib.\(^3\) The literature reports that diseases that promote pain and stiffness of thorax and spine muscles can decrease the functional capacity of muscles involved in breathing, facilitating the development of respiratory disorders.\(^4\)

Thus, the presence of pain in regions related to respiratory mechanics and the presence of symptoms, such as dyspnea and fatigue, have drawn attention to the respiratory evaluation in women with FMS.\(^5-10\) However, the results are still incipient, and some of them are contradictory. Kesiktas et al\(^10\) reported an obstructive respiratory pattern in women with FMS, due to the lower values of...
FEV₁ and FEV₁/FVC. On the other hand, other studies have found no abnormalities in the lung function of women with FMS.

Regarding respiratory muscle strength and thoracic mobility, Ozgocmen et al. found reduced values in subjects with FMS compared with healthy subjects. However, Sa-hin et al. observed impairment only in respiratory muscle strength, with no change in thoracic mobility. The causes of these changes are still unknown, but some hypotheses have been proposed. Ozgocmen et al. suggested that respiratory muscle weakness in subjects with FMS can be explained by the low thoracic mobility and that painful reflex inhibition, caused by the fear of pain, might be one of the major factors explaining this relationship. Conversely, some studies have proposed that the low respiratory muscle strength and thoracic mobility are due to respiratory muscle dysfunction that stems from low levels of physical activity in this population. However, despite the hypotheses raised by previous studies, whether the respiratory dysfunction is related to clinical manifestations, such as number of tender points, fatigue, and pain, still remains to be clarified. In addition, to our knowledge, no studies have assessed pressure pain threshold in specific regions involved in respiratory function or abdominal mobility in FMS.

Based on the above, it was hypothesized that subjects with FMS have lower respiratory muscle strength and lower thoracoabdominal mobility, despite normal lung function. In addition, these changes are expected to be associated with clinical symptoms, such as pain, fatigue, and low pressure pain threshold, in regions related to respiratory function. Thus, the present study aimed to evaluate lung function, respiratory muscle strength, and thoracoabdominal mobility as well as to evaluate the relationship between these variables and the clinical symptoms presented by women with FMS.

Methods

Study Design and Participants

A cross-sectional case-control study was carried out between December 2013 and August 2014 at the Federal University of São Carlos. One hundred twenty potential volunteers with clinical diagnosis of primary FMS (the FMS group), according to criteria from the American College of Rheumatology, were screened, and 23 eligible subjects participated in the study. Twenty-three healthy women matched to the FMS group for age, body mass index, and level of physical activity composed the healthy control group. Participants were recruited through announcements made in the university physical therapy and rheumatologic and orthopedic clinics, social media, and personal invitation.

What this paper contributes to our knowledge

Exclusion criteria were smoking, history of systemic diseases such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension or any respiratory, cardiac or neurological disease, difficulties in understanding the experimental procedures, obesity (body mass index, BMI > 40 kg/m²), regular practice of physical activity, according to the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ), or use of drugs that could influence the variables studied (i.e. bronchodilators, analgesics, tranquilizers or antidepressants). All participants read and signed an informed consent form approved by the Institution Ethics Committee for Research on Human Subjects (protocol 112.508).

Experimental Procedures

All measurements were carried out in the morning (8 AM to 12 PM) by the same evaluator. Room temperature was maintained at 22°C, and relative air humidity was maintained at 40–60%.

All participants attended the laboratory 3 times, separated by an interval of at least one week. The first visit consisted of anamnesis and clinical and physical examinations. In the second visit, participants underwent exercise testing, supervised by a cardiologist. The hemodynamic variables evaluated during this test showed behavior as expected, and no evidence of electrocardiographic changes was found. On the same day, participants were acquainted with the research protocol and were instructed to abstain from stimulants (e.g., coffee, tea, soft drinks) and alcoholic beverages for 24 h before examination and to have a light meal at least 2 h before the test. To avoid any residual fatigue, subjects were asked to refrain from strenuous activity.
uous physical activity at least 2 days before the tests. The third visit was devoted to clinical manifestations, functional, respiratory muscle strength, and thoracoabdominal mobility assessments. Upon arrival at the laboratory, participants were asked about their health status and whether they had complied with the experimental instructions.

**Pressure Pain Threshold and Tender Points.** Pressure pain threshold was determined at 18 tender points described by Wolfe et al.2 using a digital algometer (OE-220: Tissue Hardness Meter & Algometer, Ito Co, Tokyo, Japan) according to the methodology described by Chesterton et al.12 The general pressure pain threshold was considered as the average of all points assessed.2 To determine the pressure pain threshold at the regions most involved in respiratory function, the average at the following points, bilaterally, was considered: (1) intertransverse spaces between C5 and C7 (sternocleidomastoid muscle); (2) midpoint of the top edge of the upper trapezius muscle belly at the midpoint of the upper border; (3) second costochondral joint. Tender points were counted when the pressure pain threshold reported was a pressure of <4 kg.2,13

**Pain, Fatigue, and Well-Being.** Current levels of general pain, fatigue, and well-being were assessed using a 100-mm visual analog scale. Participants recorded pain intensity, fatigue, and well-being by drawing a vertical line on the respective horizontally positioned visual analog scale. The left end of the visual analog scale (0 mm) represented no pain, no fatigue, and the worst well-being condition, respectively. The right end (100 mm) represented the most severe pain imaginable, most severe fatigue imaginable, and best well-being condition, respectively. No intermediate divisions or descriptive terms were present in the scale.

**Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire.** Participants with FMS answered the Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire to determine the impact of fibromyalgia on their quality of life. The higher the score, the greater the impact of FMS on subjects’ quality of life.14 This questionnaire was used to better characterize the FMS group (Table 1).

**Pulmonary Function Testing.** Spirometry tests were performed using a flow module (ULTIMA PFX, Medical Graphics, St. Paul, Minnesota). Technical procedures and acceptability and reproducibility criteria were performed according to the American Thoracic Society standards.15 All subjects remained seated, used a nose clip during the course of the maneuvers, and completed at least 3 acceptable maneuvers. The following variables were recorded: slow vital capacity (SVC), FVC, FEV₁, and maximum voluntary ventilation (MVV). Absolute values were compared with those predicted by Pereira16 for Brazilian adult populations.

**Respiratory Muscle Strength.** Respiratory muscle strength was assessed by measuring the maximal respiratory pressure using a digital manometer MVD300 (Globalmed, Porto Alegre, Brazil) connected to a microcomputer (MVD300 software, Globalmed). Maximal inspiratory pressure (PImax) was performed from residual volume, whereas maximal expiratory pressure was assessed from the total lung capacity. All measurements were performed with the participant seated and using a 2-mm aperture mouthpiece17 and a nose clip to prevent air leakage. Five maximal inspiratory and expiratory effort maneuvers were performed without perioral air leakage, sustained for at least 2 s, with values close to each other (±10%). The measurement with the greatest value was used for analysis.17,18 Once the measurement had been obtained, the percent-of-predicted PImax and maximal expiratory pressure values were calculated according to the prediction equation proposed by Neder et al.18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics/Clinical Manifestations</th>
<th>FMS Group (n = 23)</th>
<th>Control Group (n = 25)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>48.7 ± 7.4</td>
<td>49.3 ± 7.0</td>
<td>.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>27.6 ± 2.9</td>
<td>26.4 ± 4.6</td>
<td>.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiration, breaths/min</td>
<td>14.7 ± 4.0</td>
<td>15.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, beats/min</td>
<td>70.5 ± 7.5</td>
<td>73.0 ± 7.9</td>
<td>.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP, mm Hg</td>
<td>115.6 ± 11.9</td>
<td>109.1 ± 9.9</td>
<td>.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP, mm Hg</td>
<td>67.6 ± 5.1</td>
<td>69. ± 8.2</td>
<td>.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical manifestations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of active tender points</td>
<td>17.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>10.5 ± 6.1</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPT-G, kg/cm²</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPT-R, kg/cm²</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual analogue scale pain, mm</td>
<td>54 ± 23</td>
<td>68 ± 18</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual analogue scale fatigue, mm</td>
<td>45 ± 23</td>
<td>12 ± 21</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual analogue scale well-being, mm</td>
<td>61 ± 24</td>
<td>85 ± 20</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration, y</td>
<td>8.0 ± 4.7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIQ, total score</td>
<td>68.0 ± 14.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. FMS = fibromyalgia syndrome. BMI = body mass index. SBP = systolic blood pressure. DBP = diastolic blood pressure. PPT-G = pressure pain threshold - general. PPT-R = pressure pain threshold in most regions involved in respiratory function. NA = not applicable. FIQ = Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire.
marks were the anterior axillary line, the tip of the xiphoid process, and the navel, respectively. Measurements were performed with participants in the standing position with hands on hips and chest half-naked. Thoracoabdominal expansion was obtained from the difference between measurements of maximal expiration followed by maximal inspiration. Measurements were performed 3 times at each level, with the highest value being used for the study. For each level evaluated, participants were asked to perform maximal expiration (until residual volume), followed by maximal inspiration (until total lung capacity). The differences between inspiratory and the expiratory measurements for each of the 3 levels were considered as the thoracoabdominal mobility indices.

Statistical Analysis. Sample size calculation was based on the study by Ozgocmen et al using the GPower 3.1 software (Heinrich-Heine-Universität, Düsseldorf, Germany). To detect a difference of 15 cm H2O for Pmax, with significance level α = 0.05 and power of 80%, a sample size of 19 participants in each group was suggested. Statistical procedures were performed using BioEstat 5.0 (Instituto Mamirauá, Belém, Pará, Brazil) and Statistica 6.1 for Windows (StatSoft, Tulsa, Oklahoma) software. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to verify the normality of data. For comparisons between groups, the Mann-Whitney test was used. The relationships between respiratory variables and the number of active tender points, pain, fatigue, and pressure pain threshold were verified using the Spearman correlation coefficient. Correlation coefficients were classified as weak correlation (r = 0–0.30), moderate correlation (r = 0.31–0.70), and strong correlation (r > 0.70), according to criteria proposed by Dancey and Reidy. The level of significance was set at 5%.

Results

Data regarding age, body mass index, resting cardiorespiratory variables, and clinical manifestations are shown in Table 1. There was no significant difference between groups for age, body mass index, and cardiorespiratory variables. However, as expected, the FMS group showed higher visual analog scale pain (P < .001), visual analog scale fatigue (P < .001), number of active tender points (P = .001), lower general pressure pain threshold (P < .001), lower pressure pain threshold in regions most involved in respiratory function (P < .001), and visual analog scale well-being (P = .001) compared with the control group.

Table 2 shows the results referring to lung function, respiratory muscle strength, and thoracoabdominal mobility measurements. Regarding lung function, the FMS group showed lower absolute SVC (P = .003), FVC (P = .003), and FEV1 values (P = .01) as well as lower MVV, expressed as absolute and percent-of-predicted values (P = .001 and P = .030, respectively), compared with the control group. However, there was no significant difference between groups for SVC (P = .20), FVC (P = .052), and FEV1 (P = .43) as expressed as percent of predicted.

Regarding respiratory muscle strength, the FMS group showed lower absolute and percent-of-predicted Pmax values compared with the control group (P = .002 and P = .001, respectively). There was no significant difference between groups for absolute and percent-of-predicted maximal expiratory pressure values (P = .10 and P = .14, respectively).

Concerning the thoracoabdominal mobility, the FMS group showed lower axillary cirtometry (P < .001) and xiphoid cirtometry values (P < .001) compared with the control group. However, abdominal cirtometry was higher in the FMS group (P = .005; Table 2).

Positive correlations were found between Pmax values in percent of predicted and axillary cirtometry (r = 0.41, P = .049). In addition, negative correlations were observed between Pmax percent of predicted and the number of...
of active tender points ($r_s = -0.44, P = .031$) as well as between $P_{\text{Imax}}$ percent of predicted and visual analog scale fatigue ($r_s = -0.41, P = .049$) (Table 3). No significant correlations between other variables were found.

**Discussion**

The present study aimed to evaluate and compare pulmonary function, respiratory muscle strength, and thoracoabdominal mobility between women with FMS and healthy women and to assess the association between respiratory variables with the clinical manifestations studied. The main findings of the present study revealed that the FMS group had lower spirometric absolute values (SVC, FVC, and FEV$_1$) and lower MVV, indicating lower respiratory muscle endurance, compared with the control group. In addition, the FMS group showed lower inspiratory muscle strength, with preserved expiratory muscle strength. Regarding thoracoabdominal mobility, the FMS group showed lower thoracic mobility but higher abdominal mobility compared with the control group.

Whether patients with FMS have impaired pulmonary function is controversial. Kesiktas et al.$^{16}$ found that women with FMS have an obstructive spirometric pattern. It was justified that physical inactivity may be the most responsible for findings. However, it is noteworthy that the authors included smokers in their study, which may have influenced results. On the other hand, some authors have reported normal lung function in women with FMS, in accordance with our findings. Although the FMS group showed lower spirometric absolute SVC, FVC, and FEV$_1$ values compared with the control group, these values are within the normal range according to predicted values,$^{16}$ indicating, therefore, normal lung function. However, the lower MVV values in the FMS group must be highlighted. The MVV maneuver simulates a strenuous physical effort and reflects the respiratory muscle endurance.$^{22}$ Endurance capacity is strongly related to the mechanical properties of the lung and the chest wall and to the conditions of the respiratory muscles (muscle fiber type, proper blood supply, and integrity of the muscle fiber contractile elements).$^{23}$ Studies have shown that physical inactivity may promote some changes in contractile proteins and in the mitochondria metabolism, resulting in hypotrophy, weakness, and decrease in the number of sarcomeres.$^{24}$ Moreover, fatigue, which is one of the main limitations of FMS,$^{13}$ may have contributed to the lower MVV values found. In order to clarify this aspect, we assessed the relationship between the endurance of respiratory muscles and the clinical manifestations in the FMS group. However, no association between MVV values and the clinical manifestations studied was found. A possible explanation for this result may rely on the fact that despite the MVV values being lower in the FMS group compared with the healthy subjects, the values were within the normal range according to Pereira.$^{16}$ Thus, it may be inferred that the FMS group presented reduced respiratory muscle endurance but that this reduction may not account for the symptoms studied.

Regarding respiratory muscle strength, our results showed that the FMS group had lower inspiratory muscle strength compared with the control group but with no change in expiratory muscle strength. Previous studies$^{7,8,25}$ have reported lower inspiratory and expiratory muscle strength in women with FMS compared with healthy subjects, thus contrasting with the present findings. The reason for this divergence is unclear; however, a difference in the severity of the syndrome among the populations studied may be the cause for the different results. Indeed, the participants with FMS in the present study reported no muscle pain in the region of abdominal muscles, which are the main expiratory muscles. Thus, it could be inferred that the function of abdominal muscles in the FMS group was not limited by the influence of pain, as observed for $P_{\text{Imax}}$.

The explanation for the lower respiratory muscle strength in patients with FMS is controversial. Ozgocmen et al.$^7$ found an association between respiratory muscle strength and thoracic mobility, with no association with pain, and concluded that painful reflex inhibition caused by fear of pain may be a major factor in this relationship. However, other studies$^7,25$ primarily assign the responsibility for the reduction in respiratory muscle strength to the inability of subjects with FMS to perform physical activity with suf-

**Table 3. Spearman Correlation Coefficient**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>FMS Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_{\text{Imax}}$, % predicted</td>
<td>$r_s$</td>
<td>$P$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of active tender points</td>
<td>$-0.44$</td>
<td>$.031$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{\text{IT}}$, kg/cm$^2$</td>
<td>$0.05$</td>
<td>$.79$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{\text{RT}}$, kg/cm$^2$</td>
<td>$0.10$</td>
<td>$.64$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual analogue scale pain, mm</td>
<td>$-0.29$</td>
<td>$.17$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual analogue scale fatigue, mm</td>
<td>$-0.41$</td>
<td>$.049$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA, cm</td>
<td>$0.41$</td>
<td>$.049$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVV, % predicted</td>
<td>$r_s$</td>
<td>$P$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of active tender points</td>
<td>$-0.36$</td>
<td>$.08$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{\text{IT}}$, kg/cm$^2$</td>
<td>$0.09$</td>
<td>$.67$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{\text{RT}}$, kg/cm$^2$</td>
<td>$0.03$</td>
<td>$.87$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual analogue scale pain, mm</td>
<td>$0.13$</td>
<td>$.54$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual analogue scale fatigue, mm</td>
<td>$-0.003$</td>
<td>$.98$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA, cm</td>
<td>$0.19$</td>
<td>$.36$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FMS = fibromyalgia syndrome  
CG = control group  
$P_{\text{Imax}}$ = maximal inspiratory pressure  
MVV = maximum voluntary ventilation  
$P_{\text{IT}}$ = pressure pain threshold - general  
$P_{\text{RT}}$ = pressure pain threshold in most regions involved in respiratory function  
CA = axillary axillary circumference
icient intensity to promote stress and beneficial adapta-
tions to the respiratory system.

To elucidate possible mechanisms associated with re-
duced muscle strength in women with FMS, the associa-
tion between P_{\text{Imax}} (percent of predicted), clinical symp-
toms, and thoracoabdominal mobility was evaluated. Our
findings showed that the weaker the inspiratory muscle
strength, the greater the number of active tender points, the
greater the fatigue, and the lower the thoracic mobility at
the axillary level.

One possible explanation for the association between
number of active tender points and inspiratory muscle
strength may stem from the findings of Klaver-Król et al.\textsuperscript{26}
The authors reported that subjects with FMS present higher
conduction velocity of the fiber muscle membrane, which
is associated with greater number of tender points. The
increased conduction velocity in the muscle membrane
can be attributed to abnormalities in the muscle oxidative
metabolism in Type 1 muscle fibers (moth-eaten fibers
and ragged-red fibers) and hypotrophy of Type 2 muscle
fibers.\textsuperscript{27,28} Thus, these changes may also have occurred in
the respiratory muscles, which would explain the associ-
ation observed in this study. In addition, the number of
active tender points has a functional character and pro-
vides complementary information to the self-reported
pain.\textsuperscript{26,29} Therefore, our results support the hypothesis that
not only the fear of pain but also the pain itself may be
associated with decreased muscle strength.

Another factor that may have influenced the low muscle
strength values in FMS participants is the axillary mobi-
licity. In a study by Lanza et al,\textsuperscript{30} the authors found through
linear regression analysis that axillary cirtometry was the
variable that best explained changes in P_{\text{Imax}}, agreeing
with the findings of the present study. Indeed, studies have
shown that the P_{\text{Imax}} performed from residual volume (max-
imal exhalation), which requires a higher thoracic expan-
sion, resulting in greater abdominal mobility during
maximum breathing required for the performance of cir-
tometry in order to compensate for the reduced thoracic
mobility.

To elucidate possible mechanisms associated with re-
duced muscle strength in women with FMS, the associa-
tion between P_{\text{Imax}} (percent of predicted), clinical symp-
toms, and thoracoabdominal mobility was evaluated. Our
findings showed that the weaker the inspiratory muscle
strength, the greater the number of active tender points, the
greater the fatigue, and the lower the thoracic mobility at
the axillary level.

One possible explanation for the association between
number of active tender points and inspiratory muscle
strength may stem from the findings of Klaver-Król et al.\textsuperscript{26}
The authors reported that subjects with FMS present higher
conduction velocity of the fiber muscle membrane, which
is associated with greater number of tender points. The
increased conduction velocity in the muscle membrane
can be attributed to abnormalities in the muscle oxidative
metabolism in Type 1 muscle fibers (moth-eaten fibers
and ragged-red fibers) and hypotrophy of Type 2 muscle
fibers.\textsuperscript{27,28} Thus, these changes may also have occurred in
the respiratory muscles, which would explain the associ-
ation observed in this study. In addition, the number of
active tender points has a functional character and pro-
vides complementary information to the self-reported
pain.\textsuperscript{26,29} Therefore, our results support the hypothesis that
not only the fear of pain but also the pain itself may be
associated with decreased muscle strength.

Another factor that may have influenced the low muscle
strength values in FMS participants is the axillary mobi-
licity. In a study by Lanza et al,\textsuperscript{30} the authors found through
linear regression analysis that axillary cirtometry was the
variable that best explained changes in P_{\text{Imax}}, agreeing
with the findings of the present study. Indeed, studies have
shown that the P_{\text{Imax}} performed from residual volume (max-
imal exhalation), which requires a higher thoracic expan-
sion, resulting in greater abdominal mobility during
maximum breathing required for the performance of cir-
tometry in order to compensate for the reduced thoracic
mobility.

Based on the above, our results have important clinical
implications encouraging a wider approach in the evalu-
ation and treatment of patients with FMS. Therefore, not
only the musculoskeletal system but also the respiratory
system should be of concern for professionals involved in
the rehabilitation of these patients. Thus, future studies
should propose specific training for respiratory muscles in
order to assess the effects on the respiratory system as well
as their influence on clinical manifestations in subjects
with FMS.

Despite the interesting results of this study, some limi-
tations should be considered. The evaluation of the degree
of dyspnea and pressure pain threshold in all muscles in-
volved in breathing (ie, rectus abdominis muscle, scalenes,
internal intercostals, etc) could contribute to the interpre-
tation of these results. It was also concluded that correla-
tions were moderate (r < 0.7),\textsuperscript{21} and due to the sample
size, it was not possible to perform a multiple linear re-
gression analysis to elucidate the association between re-
spiratory variables and clinical symptoms. Another study
limitation that should be considered is the use of the In-
ternational Physical Activity Questionnaire to estimate the
physical activity level. Although this questionnaire has
been validated and used in several studies,\textsuperscript{11} the use of an
accelerometer could allow a more accurate and reliable
measure, besides providing some insights regarding the
energy expenditure and its association with respiratory dys-
function in FMS. Moreover, the use of optoelectronic pleth-
ysmography, which enables the evaluation of the 3-dimen-
sional thoracoabdominal kinematics, may provide
important information about respiratory function and me-
Mechanics in patients with FMS and should be addressed in future studies.

Conclusions

Women with FMS in this study showed lower respiratory muscle endurance, inspiratory muscle strength, and thoracic mobility. Furthermore, lower inspiratory muscle strength was associated with lower axillary mobility as well as a higher number of active tender points and increased fatique.
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