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BACKGROUND: Assisted coughing via mechanical in-exsufflation (MI-E) is a first-line treatment for secretion management in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) with unassisted CPF < 4.25 L/s. Some devices enable oscillations to be added to MI-E (MI-E/O). We sought to determine whether adding oscillations to MI-E enables a reduction in the use of invasive secretion management procedures (ie, bronchoscopy or tracheostomy) in subjects with ALS. METHODS: We conducted a 12-month, prospective, randomized follow-up study of subjects with ALS for whom assisted coughing techniques were indicated. One group was treated with oscillations in addition to MI-E (MI-E/O), and the other group was treated with conventional MI-E. RESULTS: 29 subjects were included in the MI-E group and 27 subjects were included in the MI-E/O group. Five subjects (8.9%) required invasive techniques for secretion management (3 in the MI-E group and 2 in the MI-E/O group, P = .70). Treatment with MI-E/O did not alter the risk of invasive procedures (odds ratio 0.69, 95% CI 0.10–4.50, P = .70). The mean number of respiratory infections was 0.58 ± 0.16 in the MI-E group and 0.025 ± 0.08 in the MI-E/O group (P = .10). Survival was 8.96 ± 0.18 months in the MI-E group and 7.70 ± 0.70 months in the MI-E/O group (P = .10). CONCLUSION: Adding oscillations to MI-E did not enable a reduction in the need to perform invasive procedures for secretion management in subjects with ALS. Key words: amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; cough-assist; mechanical insufflation-exsufflation; survival; chest infection; bronchoscopy.

Introduction

The effective removal of respiratory secretions is a key issue for the successful management of respiratory problems in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). Motor neuron degeneration leads to weakness of the inspiratory, expiratory, and bulbar muscles, causing a decrease in cough effectiveness. During an acute chest infection, ineffective coughing may lead to a life-threatening encumbrance of respiratory secretions in patients with ALS. Respiratory failure may follow due to ventilation-perfusion mismatch and the subsequent overload of inspiratory muscles, requiring the performance of endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation.

The assisted coughing technique of mechanical insufflation-exsufflation (MI-E) has proven its effectiveness in noninvasive respiratory secretion removal in most patients with ALS who have an ineffective cough. Consequently, MI-E is recommended as a first-line treatment for mucus removal in patients with ALS, but the degree of its effectiveness is determined by the severity of bulbar dysfunction. Despite the utility of MI-E in these patients, invasive procedures such as bronchoscopy or tracheostomy are sometimes needed to remove thick secretions and mucus plugs that adhere to the tracheobronchial walls.

Some airway clearance techniques that seek to move mucus from the peripheral airways to the central air-
ways apply high-frequency oscillations, either from within the lungs or from around the chest. Several mechanisms have been proposed as explanations of the physiological effects of oscillations. With oscillations, a cephalad movement of mucus is produced as a result of air flow bias generated by the air-liquid shear forces. Moreover, sputum viscosity is reduced, presumably by altering the crosslink density of mucus glycoproteins. It has also been proposed that oscillations produce an enhancement in ciliary beating. Techniques using oscillations are advocated for their ability to mobilize secretions rather than to increase CPF. In an attempt to combine the effects of MI-E and peripheral airway clearance techniques, some devices now offer the feature of adding oscillations to MI-E (MI-E+O). These oscillations are generated by air pulses resulting from constant changes in the pressure applied (during insufflation, exsufflation, or in both cycles) with a set frequency.

Adding oscillations to MI-E in medically stable patients with ALS has been reported to have no effect on CPF. However, no studies have been published to assess the utility of adding oscillations to MI-E for secretion removal. Thus, the aim of this study was to determine whether adding oscillations to MI-E reduces the need for invasive procedures for mucus removal in ALS in an effort to improve the noninvasive management of respiratory problems in ALS patients.

**Methods**

This was a prospective randomized study lasting 2 years and included clinically stable patients with ALS diagnosed according to the revised El Escorial criteria, with an unassisted cough in a medically stable condition that was at risk of becoming ineffective during an acute chest infection (CPF < 4.25 L/s) and possessing effective mechanically assisted CPF (ie, > 2.67 L/s). Subjects were recruited from among patients with ALS who entered our respiratory care unit between January 2015 and December 2016. Exclusion criteria were refusal to participate in the study, the presence of lung disease, contraindications for the use of MI-E, or the presence of severe frontotemporal dementia associated with ALS that could interfere with procedures. Informed consent was obtained, and the protocol was approved by the hospital’s ethics committee. The study protocol was registered at the INCLIVA Health Research Institute in Valencia, Spain (2014/076).

After inclusion in the study, subjects underwent a clinical assessment and a functional respiratory evaluation. The clinical assessment was performed using the Revised Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Scale (ALSFRS-R), and bulbar dysfunction was assessed in accordance with the Norris scale bulbar subscore. The respiratory function assessment included spirometry (MS 2000, C. Schatzman, Madrid, Spain) performed in accordance with European Respiratory Society guidelines and suggested reference values. Maximum inspiratory pressure (P_{imax}) and maximum expiratory pressure (P_{emax}) at the mouth were measured (Electrometer 78.905, Hewlett-Packard, Andover, MA) according to the Black and Hyatt technique. Sniff nasal inspiratory pressure was measured in an occluded nostril during a maximum sniff through the contralateral nostril (Micro RPM, MicroMedical, Rochester, Kent, United Kingdom). Maximum insufflation capacity, CPF, and manually and mechanically assisted CPF were measured as described in previous studies.

The subjects included in this protocol were randomized into 2 groups: one group was assigned conventional treatment using MI-E (E70, Philips-Respironics, Murrysville, PA), and the other group was assigned treatment using MI-E with oscillations (MI-E+O) added in both the insufflation and exsufflation cycles. Both groups were trained in the use of the device, both for regular daily use and during acute respiratory infections; every subject enrolled in the study was observed for a period of 1 year.

MI-E was provided using an oronasal mask. It was set according to patient tolerance and to achieve an effective mechanically assisted CPF (ie, > 2.65 L/s). Oscillations were applied with a frequency of 15 Hz and an amplitude of 10 cm H_2O. When the subjects were in a medically stable condition, 2 sessions of 6–8 cycles were applied each day and additionally when necessary due to secretion encumbrance. During acute respiratory
infections, MI-E treatment was provided at least twice every 8 h and whenever the subject experienced an increase in dyspnea, a sensation of retained secretions, or, in the case of the use of home noninvasive ventilation, the ventilator peak inspiratory pressure increased or $SpO_2$ decreased. In accordance with European Respiratory Society Guidelines, subjects were said to be suffering an acute lower respiratory tract infection in the presence of a cough with one or more of the following criteria: sputum production, dyspnea, wheezing, or chest discomfort. Criteria for hospitalizations during an acute respiratory infection at the respiratory care unit were respiratory failure, the need for ventilatory support (invasive or noninvasive), the need for intensive MI-E (ie, > 4 sessions per hour), the need for intravenous medication, the presence of persistent dyspnea despite appropriate management at home, the inability to remove mucus with mechanically assisted coughing techniques at home, or an episode of $SpO_2 < 90\%$ during a respiratory tract infection that could not be relieved at home with noninvasive ventilation and MI-E.

During the study period, a scheduled clinical and functional assessment was undertaken every 3 months. In addition, monthly telephone calls were made to collate data on both groups regarding their clinical respiratory situations.

**Outcomes**

The primary outcome was the need for a bronchoscopy or a tracheostomy for mucus removal due to ineffective MI-E within the study year after randomization. Secondary outcomes included the number of respiratory tract infections, the number of hospitalizations due to respiratory tract infections and the outcomes of these hospitalizations, and the rate of the decline of respiratory parameters during the period of the study.

A subanalysis was made for those subjects who required hospital admission due to an acute respiratory tract infection. During these episodes, as well as during medical treatment and noninvasive ventilation when necessary, respiratory secretions were managed with mechanically assisted coughing in the same way as they had been at home (ie, with or without oscillations). Data were collected with regard to the outcome of these episodes (ie, discharge, death, tracheostomy), days spent in hospital, and the need for a bronchoscopy or a tracheostomy to remove secretions due to ineffective MI-E.

**Statistical Analysis**

Assuming a risk of 5% and a power of 80% to detect differences, basing our results on results concerning ALS subjects reported in previous studies, and considering success to be the avoidance of invasive procedures for mucus removal, a sample size of 60 was needed to detect differences with an estimated patient dropout rate of 10%.

Binary and categorical variables were summarized using frequency counts and percentages. Continuous normally distributed variables were expressed as mean ± SD; data that was not normally distributed were expressed as medians. All primary and secondary analyses were made according to the intention-to-treat principle. Data comparisons were performed using the Student $t$ test and the Mann-Whitney test for normally and non-normally distributed data, respectively. Dichotomic variables were compared with the chi-squared test. Logistic regression analysis was performed with and without adjusting for variables related to ineffective MI-E (ALS onset, mechanically assisted CPF, ALSFRS-R, and Norris scale bulbar subscore). Survival was considered as the time from study inclusion to tracheostomy (or death if tracheostomy was refused) and was assessed with Kaplan-Meier charts, applying a log-rank test for between-group comparisons.

**Results**

During the study period, 61 patients with ALS presented CPF values < 4.25 L/s, with mechanically assisted CPF > 2.67 L/s, but 5 did not meet the inclusion criteria (4 due to severe frontotemporal dementia and 1 due to lung pathology). Thus, 56 subjects with ALS were enrolled in the study and were randomized; 29 were assigned to the MI-E group and 27 were assigned to the MI-E + O group (Fig. 1). No statistical differences were found between the 2 groups in demographic, respiratory function, or functional assessment values upon inclusion in the study (Table 1). At the time of randomization, 65.1% of subjects in the MI-E group were using noninvasive ventilation at home; this figure was 70.4% in the MI-E + O group ($P = .61$). No differences were found in time using noninvasive ventilation at home over the course of the day (10.3 ± 5.3 vs 12.8 ± 7.1 h, $P = .21$). Of the subjects in the MI-E group, 41.4% had a gastrostomy for enteral nutrition, whereas 33.3% of subjects in the MI-E + O group had a gastrostomy ($P = .53$).

No subject reported complications related to the mechanically assisted cough treatment during the study period and none withdrew from the study. The mean set parameters used for MI-E were 35.7 ± 3.6 cm H$_2$O for the insufflation pressure, −40.4 ± 1.1 cm H$_2$O for the
suffered a respiratory infection episode in the MI-E group, and 10 subjects (37.0%) in the MI-E+O group experienced a respiratory infection episode (P = .34). For a respiratory tract infection within 12 months, there was an adjusted odds ratio of 0.44 (95% CI 0.09–2.02, P = .30) and an unadjusted odds ratio of 0.57 (95% CI 0.18–1.79, P = .34).

Within the 12-month follow-up period, 5 subjects (8.9%) presented with a failure of MI-E requiring invasive procedures to remove secretions (3 subjects in the MI-E group and 2 in the MI-E+O group, P = .70). Bronchoscopy was performed in each case, and a tracheostomy was indicated for 4 subjects (2 subjects refused the tracheostomy). No subjects suffered complications related to the bronchoscopy or the tracheostomy. With regard to the need for invasive procedures to remove respiratory secretions, the adjusted odds ratio was 0.69 (95% CI 0.10–4.50, P = .70), and the unadjusted odds ratio was 0.25 (95% CI 0.02–2.95, P = .27).

At the end of the study, a tracheostomy had been performed in 5 subjects and 25 subjects died without a tracheostomy. The causes of tracheostomy and death were ineffective noninvasive ventilation due to severe bulbar dysfunction (n = 10), sudden death (n = 6), failure of respiratory secretion management (n = 4), ineffective noninvasive ventilation and failure of secretion management (n = 4), refusal to continue with respiratory muscle aids (n = 2), and other causes (n = 4).

### Table 1. Demographic and Pulmonary Function in the Total Population and the MI-E and MI-E+O Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Population</th>
<th>MI-E Group</th>
<th>MI-E+O Group</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>69.33 ± 10.21</td>
<td>68.58 ± 9.33</td>
<td>70.14 ± 11.21</td>
<td>.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female), n</td>
<td>27/29</td>
<td>11/18</td>
<td>16/11</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset (spinal/bulbar), n</td>
<td>34/22</td>
<td>19/10</td>
<td>15/12</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from ALS onset, months</td>
<td>47.92 ± 44.97</td>
<td>51.13 ± 48.57</td>
<td>44.34 ± 41.25</td>
<td>.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>26.20 ± 4.67</td>
<td>25.92 ± 4.17</td>
<td>27.53 ± 4.87</td>
<td>.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALSFRS-R</td>
<td>23.83 ± 7.77</td>
<td>23.24 ± 7.60</td>
<td>24.47 ± 8.08</td>
<td>.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norris scale bulbar subscore</td>
<td>22.22 ± 12.02</td>
<td>22.38 ± 12.74</td>
<td>22.04 ± 11.44</td>
<td>.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC, L</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.61</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.55</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.67</td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of predicted FVC</td>
<td>40.89 ± 19.37</td>
<td>39.72 ± 17.65</td>
<td>42.14 ± 21.34</td>
<td>.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC supine, L</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.52</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.59</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.43</td>
<td>.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum insufflation capacity, L</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.67</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.68</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.69</td>
<td>.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPF, L/s</td>
<td>2.60 ± 1.41</td>
<td>2.63 ± 1.42</td>
<td>2.57 ± 1.42</td>
<td>.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manually-assisted CPF, L/s</td>
<td>2.79 ± 1.34</td>
<td>2.82 ± 1.36</td>
<td>2.75 ± 1.34</td>
<td>.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanically-assisted CPF, L/s</td>
<td>2.98 ± 0.94</td>
<td>2.95 ± 0.95</td>
<td>3.01 ± 0.96</td>
<td>.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pmax, cm H₂O</td>
<td>−24.33 ± 14.03</td>
<td>−22.75 ± 12.93</td>
<td>−26.12 ± 15.23</td>
<td>.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEmax, cm H₂O</td>
<td>38.53 ± 29.20</td>
<td>34.24 ± 21.95</td>
<td>43.52 ± 35.67</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sniff inspiratory pressure, cm H₂O</td>
<td>−24.13 ± 19.75</td>
<td>−20.71 ± 12.83</td>
<td>−27.96 ± 25.13</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total population: N = 56; MI-E group: n = 29; MI-E+O group: n = 27.
ALSFRS-R = Revised Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Functional Rating Score
MI-E = mechanical insufflation-exsufflation
MI-E+O = mechanical insufflation-exsufflation with oscillations
CPF = cough peak flow
Pmax = maximum expiratory pressure
PEmax = maximum inspiratory pressure
Survival was $8.96 \pm 0.18$ months in the MI-E group and $7.70 \pm 0.70$ months in the MI-E+O group ($P = .10$) (Fig. 2). The unadjusted hazard ratio for failure (tracheostomy or death) within the 12-month study period was 0.65 (95% CI 0.09–4.64, $P = .67$), and the adjusted hazard ratio was 1.44 (95% CI 0.12–17.08, $P = .77$). No statistical differences were found between the groups in the rate of deterioration in functional respiratory parameters over the year studied (Table 2).

Episodes of Severe Respiratory Infection

In the MI-E group, 8 subjects required hospitalization due to a severe respiratory infection, with a total of 9 hospital admissions; 10 subjects required 11 hospital admissions in the MI-E+O group ($P = .44$). For the MI-E group and the MI-E+O group, mean hospitalizations during the study were $0.31 \pm 0.54$ and $0.40 \pm 0.57$ ($P = .45$), respectively, and the mean hospital stays were $3.67 \pm 9.45$ d and $5.25 \pm 10.93$ d ($P = .57$), respectively. Five subjects required invasive procedures for secretion management (ie, bronchoscopy) during episodes of severe respiratory infection as reported above; of these, 2 subjects underwent tracheostomy and 2 subjects died after refusing tracheostomy. No statistical differences was found between the 2 groups.

Table 2. Rate of Deterioration in Functional Respiratory Parameters Over 1 Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MI-E Group</th>
<th>MI-E+O Group</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC, mL</td>
<td>11.22 ± 47.73</td>
<td>38.08 ± 66.28</td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC supine, mL</td>
<td>25.46 ± 47.73</td>
<td>21.88 ± 51.80</td>
<td>.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum insufflation capacity, mL</td>
<td>20.57 ± 57.12</td>
<td>9 ± 110.72</td>
<td>.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPF, L/s</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.09</td>
<td>.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanically-assisted CPF, L/s</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.09</td>
<td>.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{max}, cm H_{2}O</td>
<td>0.33 ± 1.11</td>
<td>0.96 ± 1.39</td>
<td>.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{max}, cm H_{2}O</td>
<td>0.45 ± 1.98</td>
<td>1.44 ± 3.25</td>
<td>.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sniff inspiratory pressure, cm H_{2}O</td>
<td>0.47 ± 1.24</td>
<td>2.01 ± 4.98</td>
<td>.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MI-E group: $n = 29$; MI-E+O group: $n = 27$.
MI-E = mechanical insufflation-exsufflation
MI-E+O = mechanical insufflation-exsufflation with oscillations
CPF = cough peak flow
P_{max} = maximum expiratory pressure
P_{max} = maximum inspiratory pressure

Fig. 2. Cumulative survival and 1-year tracheostomy-free survival. MI-E = mechanical insufflation-exsufflation; O = oscillations.
Discussion

Our results indicate that the noninvasive use of oscillations with MI-E for respiratory secretion management in subjects with ALS does not reduce the need to perform invasive procedures for mucus removal; moreover, the addition of oscillations to MI-E does not decrease the risk of respiratory tract infections nor improve 1-year survival compared to MI-E alone.

This is the first published study designed to assess the effects of adding high-frequency oscillations to MI-E in subjects with ALS, both for long-term use at home to prevent respiratory complications related to secretions and during a decline in respiratory status to avoid noninvasive secretion management failure. A previous study using conventional MI-E in subjects with ALS reported that 32% of subjects suffered an acute respiratory infection despite long-term use of MI-E, \(^{19}\) with 16–21% of subjects needing hospitalization. \(^{3,10}\) Moreover, around 7% of patients with ALS treated with MI-E who are admitted to a hospital require a bronchoscopy for mucus removal. \(^{2,20}\) Our results are similar, regardless of the type of MI-E used: 32% of our subjects suffered a respiratory tract infection over the year, 32.1% required hospitalization due to a severe chest infection, and 8.9% of our subjects required a bronchoscopy for mucus removal due to MI-E failure. Previous studies using small sample sizes to assess the effect of high-frequency oscillation techniques in comparison with no treatment or conventional management of subjects with ALS have reported no increase in survival, no changes in the rate of decline in FVC, and no changes in hospitalizations or tracheostomies. \(^{21,22}\) These negative results can be explained by the fact that, after the use of oscillations, proximal airway clearance techniques are still needed to clear secretions from the central airways. \(^{4}\)

Our study was designed to assess the effectiveness of adding oscillations to MI-E in 2 different situations in subjects with ALS: during regular daily use over the course of a year, and during acute deterioration in respiratory status in which the amount, purulence, and viscosity of respiratory secretions increase and respiratory muscle weakness may become life-threatening. The results of our study indicate that the long-term addition of oscillations to MI-E in clinically stable subjects with ALS does not reduce the risk of respiratory tract infections, nor does it produce any benefit in the rate of deterioration in functional respiratory parameters. Moreover, our findings show that, during an acute respiratory infection that is managed noninvasively, adding oscillations to MI-E for the purpose of improving respiratory secretion management does not reduce the risk of invasive procedures (eg, bronchoscopy or tracheostomy) being required for removal of respiratory secretions. In addition, no differences were found in 1-year survival between the MI-E and MI-E+O groups. Similarly, the adjusted risk for long-term noninvasive management failure, taking into account only noninvasive management failure due to ineffective respiratory secretion management, was not significantly different.

The severity of bulbar dysfunction is the factor that determines the effectiveness of MI-E for secretion management in patients with ALS. \(^{23}\) Insufflation and exsufflation induce a variety of upper airway responses depending on the degree of both upper and lower motor neuron dysfunction, predominantly at the bulbar level. The upper airway response conditions the mechanically-assisted CPAP that are generated, and therefore is the gauge of the technique’s effectiveness. \(^{23}\) Thus, the results of our study in subjects with ALS may be caused by the interaction of bulbar dysfunction with oscillations. Henke and Sullivan \(^{24}\) found, in normal subjects during sleep, that the application of oscillations produced activity in the upper airway muscles. Recently Andersen et al \(^{25}\) reported that oscillations promoted a variety of laryngeal movements in subjects with ALS, namely severe adduction and abduction during both insufflation and exsufflation.

The main limitations of this study are related to the use of a single value for the frequency and amplitude of the oscillations and the fact that oscillations were applied in both exsufflation and insufflation. The values we selected for frequency and amplitude are in the range of those used or generated with peripheral airway clearance techniques applying oscillations. \(^{26,27}\) Moreover, Andersen et al \(^{25}\) reported that using an amplitude of 10 Hz (the same value that we used) achieved a more stable laryngeal opening in some cases compared to conventional MI-E.

Conclusions

The addition of oscillations to noninvasive MI-E therapy in subjects with ALS did not reduce the need for invasive procedures to remove respiratory secretions, nor did it reduce the risk of acute respiratory infections. Moreover, the addition of oscillations to MI-E modified neither the rate of deterioration of respiratory parameters nor 1-year survival.
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