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When the ability to cough is impaired, secretion clearance may be assisted and augmented with mechanical insufflation-exsufflation (MI-E). In some individuals, the efficacy of MI-E may be hampered by counterproductive upper airway reactions, where the airways close in response to positive pressures. To fully utilize the therapeutic potential inherent in the MI-E technology, we need a better understanding of the pathophysiology behind these untoward reactions. There is increasing interest in monitoring and measuring upper airway responses to MI-E and how such information can be used to optimize MI-E settings. The purpose of this narrative review is to increase the theoretical understanding of the larynx as a respiratory organ, summarize the current literature in the area, and provide insight into how this knowledge can affect current clinical practice. Key words: mechanical insufflation-exsufflation; cough augmentation; upper airways; larynx; laryngoscopy; assessment; neuromuscular disease; motor neuron disease; bulbar paresis. [Respir Care 0:0 (0):1–. © 0 Daedalus Enterprises]
airways sufficiently, accumulation of secretion, and complications like dyspnea and pneumonia. Mechanical insufflation-exsufflation (MI-E) is used to assist cough in individuals with NMD. The device simulates normal cough by (1) applying a positive pressure leading to insufflation and lung expansion, followed by (2) a rapid switch to a negative pressure leading to an exsufflation that sucks air and consequently secretions out of the airways. MI-E is considered safe and effective\textsuperscript{3-10} and, in conjunction with noninvasive ventilation (NIV), may delay or prevent intubation or tracheostomy.\textsuperscript{11-13} On the basis of successful experiences in critically ill individuals under intensive care\textsuperscript{14-16} and in persons with other neurologic disorders like cerebral palsy,\textsuperscript{17-20} neuropa thy, multiple sclerosis, Parkinson disease,\textsuperscript{19} myotonic dystrophy,\textsuperscript{19,21} and Kennedy disease,\textsuperscript{22} MI-E therapy applied noninvasively (via a face mask) is not effective in all patients. Challenging individuals often share symptoms of disturbed laryngeal function and/or bulbar symptoms. The noninvasive use of MI-E has proven difficult in individuals with bulbar muscular dysfunction, such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), because poor laryngeal control appears to obstruct air flow.\textsuperscript{23-29} These observations have changed the current landscape of MI-E research. An understanding of upper airway function, especially the complexity of larynx as an organ, is necessary to make a difference. Numerous groups now engage in projects aiming to monitor and prevent counterproductive upper airway responses and thus increase the knowledge on optimal adjustments of the MI-E settings.
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The effort to overcome this resistance requires 12–30% of the total respiratory work. At quiet breathing, glottic abduction (opening) is fundamental for free air flow in and out of the lungs at the lowest possible resistance. The glottis widens during inspiration and narrows slightly during expiration. Forced inspiration and expiration, including cough, lead to increased activation of small intrinsic laryngeal muscles. In light of the importance of laryngeal abduction for proper air flow, it is somewhat unexpected that the larynx has several adductor muscles, but only one abductor muscle. The posterior cricoarytenoid muscle operates in a phasic relationship with the diaphragm, where diaphragmatic vagal stimulation is synchronized with increased posterior cricoarytenoid activity, leading to laryngeal abduction (opening) immediately before diaphragmatic contraction. The equilibrium of forces between the abductor and adductor muscles determines the size of the laryngeal inlet. This balance can be disturbed by weakness of the abductor muscle or increased activity of the adductor muscles, or vice versa.

Rapid occlusion to prevent foreign bodies entering the airways is a vital responsibility of the larynx, and it has been proposed that additive movements by nature are dominant to abductive. Two mechanisms prevent aspiration: reflexive laryngeal closure and a rapid expulsion of inhaled material, usually presenting simultaneously and synchronized. Stimulation of extremely sensitive supraglottic receptors normally induces complex adductor reflexes that prevent aspiration. In fact, otolaryngologists use positive air pressure stimulation to provoke laryngeal reflex activities, such as laryngeal closure and swallowing. Positive air pressure applied to the laryngeal vestibular mucosa activates nerve afferents in the internal branch of the superior laryngeal nerve, releasing the laryngeal closure reflex. Positive air pressure to the anterior facial area in the oral cavity activates glossopharyngeal afferents and elicits swallowing.

**Cough Is Not Cough Without a Functioning Larynx**

Normal cough proceeds in 3 phases. First, the inspiratory muscles create a negative intrathoracic pressure that leads to air flowing through the upper airways to the lungs that become inflated (deep inspiration phase). Second, the thoracic pressure increases by a rapid closure of the glottis and simultaneous active expiration against this closed valve. In this phase, the laryngeal adductor muscles narrow or close the glottis completely; there is no flow, and the expiration muscles contract forcefully, which allows the subglottic pressure to build up (compression phase). Third is the expulsion phase, which consists of an abrupt opening of the glottis combined with a forceful contraction of the expiratory muscles that leads to the rapid expulsion of air. The air flow might be interrupted by intermittent glottic closures, known as a cough epoch, which consists of consecutive compressive and expulsive phases without intermediate inspirations. Effective cough is a highly dynamic phenomenon, requiring
muscular strength and fine-tuned coordination of inspiratory, expiratory, and laryngeal muscles.\(^{48,49}\)

Without glottic closure and opening, cough is not a cough, but a forced exhalation. When the expiratory muscles contract against a closed glottis, the built up pressure is \(\sim 50-100\%\) greater than that obtained during other forced expiratory maneuvers in which the glottis is open.\(^{49}\) Laryngeal closure and opening is related to cough peak flow (CPF), the highest measured air flow spike during cough, appearing immediately after opening of the glottis during the cough cycle.\(^{50,51}\) Duration of the cough compression phase has been suggested to primarily manipulate the pace of cough (fast/slow), whereas CPF affects the power of cough (strong/weak).\(^{52}\) The threshold for clinically effective secretion removal has been proposed at a CPF of 160–180 L/min.\(^{5,53-56}\) CPF is the most commonly used measure to determine the effect of spontaneous or assisted cough in persons with NMDs.\(^{57}\) This rests on clinical work performed by Barach et al\(^{58}\) in the 1950s. Later research has discussed whether other parameters might be more precise.\(^{57}\)

The Dysfunctional Larynx

The protective laryngeal reflexes may disturb voluntary actions and even become counterproductive for other important functions, thereby creating a dysfunctional larynx.\(^{31}\) Common respiratory symptoms like shortness of breath and abnormal breath sounds that are caused by laryngeal dysfunction may mimic symptoms of pulmonary diseases. This may confuse respiratory care professionals and lead to laryngeal dysfunction being overlooked.

In the critically ill, the larynx is commonly dysfunctional following endotracheal extubation, possibly due to the rigid endotracheal tube impairing the reflex response patterns of the extremely sensitive laryngeal area.\(^{59}\) Chronic neurological diseases, such as ALS, spinal muscular atrophy, cerebral palsy, and Parkinson disease, may affect the function of bulbar innervated muscles. Malfunctioning sensory afferent nerves and abnormal reflex responses impair muscle coordination, causing weakness or spasms.\(^{39,60-66}\) This disturbs speech, cough, and swallowing.\(^{67}\)

In persons with ALS and bulbar involvement, MI-E may fail to increase CPF.\(^{25-29}\) Tabor-Gray et al\(^{68}\) explored voluntary cough in individuals with ALS, stating that subjects with ALS cough differently compared to healthy individuals, and that both inspiratory and expiratory air flows are affected, in that the inspiratory phase is prolonged with a reduced peak flow, and the expiratory rise time is increased with lower peak flows (Fig. 2). This results in reduced cough volume acceleration, a gradual loss of adequate cough flow spikes, and lower CPF values.\(^{68}\)

Furthermore, the larynx might not be able to respond appropriately to the external airway pressures that are applied during noninvasive ventilation (NIV)\(^{69-75}\). In a case report from 1991, Delguste et al\(^{70}\) reported complete upper-airway obstruction with NIV-induced hypocapnia in 3 of 4 examined subjects treated with long-term NIV. The authors suggested that the NIV-induced hyperventilation increased upper airway resistance.\(^{70}\) Jounieaux et al\(^{72}\) documented progressive glottic narrowing with NIV in healthy awake subjects, particularly in the absence of diaphragmatic activity. This increased inspiratory resistance and thereby reduced the fraction of air delivered to the lungs.\(^{72}\) This situation was aggravated during stable sleep, and even more so during deep sleep.\(^{71}\) Georges et al\(^{73}\) observed immediate obstruction from the tongue base during NIV in subjects with ALS. The most frequent solution was to reduce upper airway collapsibility by increasing the expiratory positive airway pressure to high levels; however, this was not always effective. Studies in lambs support these findings, indicating increased activity of laryngeal adduction muscles during NIV.\(^{76}\) Oppersma et al\(^{77}\) examined glottic patency during NIV in individuals with COPD and reported findings in apparent conflict with earlier studies.\(^{69-74}\) Oppersma et al\(^{77}\) noted that
### Table 1. Study Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Population, n (age, y)</th>
<th>Upper Airway Assessment Method</th>
<th>MI-E Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sancho et al(^{25})</td>
<td>Additional examination in cross-sectional study examining the effect of MI-E in ALS</td>
<td>3 subjects with ALS (adults; age not stated)</td>
<td>CT scan of upper airways during baseline and exsufflation Visual interpretation of the CT scans and calculation of diameter reduction from baseline to exsufflation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andersen et al(^{78})</td>
<td>Cross-sectional observational study</td>
<td>20 healthy volunteers (24.2 ± 1.9)</td>
<td>Video-recorded TFL during ongoing MI-E; visual interpretation of the video-recordings and preparation of an observation scheme; assessment of all observed movements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Andersen et al\(^{23}\) | Cross-sectional observational study | 20 subjects with ALS (68.7 ± 9.3), and 20 healthy volunteers (66.9 ± 7.2) | Same as in Andersen et al\(^{78}\) | Same as in Andersen et al\(^{78}\) and:

- Patient-triggered insufflation: on and off
- Oscillation: frequency 5 and 10 Hz, amplitude 5 and 10 cm H\(_2\)O
- Asymmetric use of pressure settings: Positive pressure range +15 to +40 cm H\(_2\)O combined with the negative pressure range of –30 to –40 cm H\(_2\)O

Insufflation flow: high, medium, and low Pressure: ± 20, ± 30, ± 40, and ± 50 cm H\(_2\)O Insufflation flow: low MI-E cycle time: administrated manually |
| Andersen et al\(^{24}\) | Prospective, longitudinal, observational study | 13 subjects with ALS (67.1 ± 8.5) | Same as in Andersen et al\(^{78}\) |
| Allen and O’Leary\(^{21}\) | Case report | 1 patient with DM1 (21) | Video-recorded TFL prior to and after MI-E; visual interpretation of swallowing, volitional coughing, pooling of secretions, food, and fluids from pharynx to larynx Instruction: N/A |

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Population, n (age, y)</th>
<th>Upper Airway Assessment Method</th>
<th>MI-E Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lacombe et al&lt;sup&gt;79&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Prospective observational study, 27 subjects with NMDs&lt;sup&gt;†&lt;/sup&gt; (30.6 ± 9.2)</td>
<td>Videofluoroscopy prior to and after MI-E; visual interpretation of material movement from upper airways to lower airways.</td>
<td>Flow-volume curve registration during MI-E; interpretation of flow curve: detected abrupt flattening or flow decrease vs previous less negative exsufflation pressure after cough peak flow; categorized with 3 exsufflation flow profiles; measurement of effective cough volume.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andersen et al&lt;sup&gt;80&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Explorative, longitudinal, descriptive, observational study, 13 subjects with ALS (68 ± 7.7), and Same as in Andersen et al&lt;sup&gt;78&lt;/sup&gt; with concurrent flow and pressure registration during TFL and MI-E; air flow and pressure signals evaluated on a cough-by-cough basis, and patterns were described and categorized; air flow geometry pattern categories were paired and compared to visualized laryngeal events and to participant category.</td>
<td>Same as in Andersen et al&lt;sup&gt;78&lt;/sup&gt; and air-flow patterns registered by the MI-E device were studied.</td>
<td>Pressure: individually adjusted from +10 cm H₂O to a maximum of +40 cm H₂O, where the lowest insufflation pressure producing the highest inspiratory capacity was chosen (from +24 to +40 cm H₂O). Exsufflation pressure individually adjusted from −20 cm H₂O to −70 cm H₂O, where the pressure producing the highest volume exsufflated at flow &gt; 180 L/min was chosen (from −40 to −70 cm H₂O). Insufflation flow: N/A MI-E cycle time: 2.5 s Insufflation 2.5 s Exsufflation N/A Pause Instruction: strong encouragement to actively cough.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vollseter et al&lt;sup&gt;81&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Case report, 1 patient with spinal muscular atrophy (1)</td>
<td>Same as in Andersen et al&lt;sup&gt;78&lt;/sup&gt; and air-flow patterns registered by the MI-E device were studied.</td>
<td>Pressure: +25 cm H₂O and +35 cm H₂O combined with −40 cm H₂O Insufflation flow: medium and low MI-E cycle time: 1.8 s Insufflation 1.2 s Exsufflation 1 s Pause Instruction: To breathe in and to cough.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bench studies Paz et al&lt;sup&gt;82&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Bench study, Computational laryngeal model based on realistic geometry, epiglottis and calculation of deformation of the flexible</td>
<td>Pressure: Increased from ±5 to 40 cm H₂O in steps of 5 cm H₂O Insufflation flow: N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>†</sup> NMDs: Neuro-Muscular Diseases

Note: The table continues on the next page.
Table 1. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Population, n (age, y)</th>
<th>Upper Airway Assessment Method</th>
<th>MI-E Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Lachal et al. | Laryngeal model (with and without collapsible latex tube) combined with lung simulator with resistance of 5 and 20 cm H₂O/L/s, and compliance of 20, 40, and 60 L/cm H₂O. | Measurement of pressure and flow, where primary outcome was peak inspiratory flow. | MI-E cycle time: N/A  
Pressure: ±30, ±40, and ±50 cm H₂O  
Insufflation flow: N/A  
MI-E cycle time: 3 s  
Insufflation 3.2 s  
Exsufflation 2 s  
Pause |

Age presented as mean ± SD.

* Description of the laryngeal and pulmonary model used.
† NMDs include Duchenne muscular dystrophy, congenital myasthenia gravis, Charcot-Marie-Tooth, limb girdle muscular dystrophy, spinal muscular atrophy, and mitochondrial myopathy.

MI-E = mechanical insufflation-exsufflation
ALS = amyotrophic lateral sclerosis
CT = computed tomography
N/A = not applicable or available
TFL = transnasal fiberoptic laryngoscopy
DM1 = congenital myotonic dystrophy type 1
NMD = neuromuscular disorders
neither the inspiratory pressure level nor the inspiratory flow pattern affected glottic patency in subjects with COPD. The authors postulated that this could be due to reflex pathways in patients with COPD being different from those in healthy individuals due to chronic exposure to CO₂ or to the effects of tobacco smoking that harmed their receptors’ response to chemical stimuli.⁷⁷

Laryngeal function is complex as it carefully modulates and safeguards the entrance to the airway tree and serves several vitally important functions. We are far from understanding the complex interplays of all laryngeal functions, both in health and disease.

**Literature Review**

We searched for literature on assessment and interpretation of upper airway responses to MI-E. The review is based on repeated searches of the MEDLINE database (accessed through PubMed) using the terms (with synonyms) “mechanical insufflation-exsufflation” and “upper airway responses,” focusing on studies including either clinical outcomes or laryngeal models. Studies describing either the methods used to assess the upper airways in combination with MI-E or the upper airway responses to MI-E were of interest. Furthermore, we reviewed reference lists of relevant studies. Papers written in English were considered. Studies are presented mainly in chronological order, subcategorized by the examination method used. Ten studies addressing both the assessment and findings were identified (Table 1). Additionally, some studies discussed the role of the glottic closure and opening in the MI-E therapy without assessing it.

As one of the first researchers to set focus on upper airway responses during MI-E therapy, Bach introduced the term “exsufflation-associated airway narrowing or collapse during MI-E treatment” in 1993, assuming that this phenomenon disturbed MI-E treatment efficacy in sub-lapse during MI-E treatment.⁶ Air flow curve detection, computerized tomography (CT) scanning, and transnasal fiberoptic laryngoscopy (TFL) have been applied to assess the upper airways during MI-E therapy, and bench testing with laryngeal models has been performed. One case report included the use of videofluoroscopy prior to and after the use of MI-E.²¹ To our knowledge, one review on upper airway function and responses to MI-E and NIV, focusing alone on TFL as the mode of examination, has been published.⁸⁴

**CT Scanning**

Sancho et al²⁵ were pioneers in systematically examining cough efficacy in subjects with ALS. In 2004, they examined the effect of MI-E in subjects with non-bulbar and bulbar ALS. MI-E generated clinically effective CPF in stable subjects, but not in those with bulbar dysfunction or with maximum insufflation capacity < 1 L and CPF < 160 L/min.²⁵ Similar to Bach’s postulation,⁶ they proposed severe upper airway collapse during exsufflation. Three subjects with ALS were studied with the user of upper airway (pharynx and oropharynx) CT scans at baseline and during exsufflation. Failure to increase CPF adequately was associated with dynamic collapse of the upper airway during exsufflation. The investigators suggested that coordinated glottic movements and intact bulbar function are key elements for MI-E efficacy.²⁵

**TFL**

Andersen et al⁷⁸ introduced dynamic TFL during MI-E (Fig. 3, Fig. 4) as a method in 2013, describing laryngeal response patterns to MI-E in healthy volunteers comparable to those described in normal cough. Healthy subjects initially abducted the glottis during both insufflation and exsufflation and displayed coordinated glottic closure when instructed to cough. When instructed to exhale during exsufflation, the glottis stayed open in the majority of subjects. However, subsequent to an initial abduction, various obstructing laryngeal movements were observed during insufflation, such as adduction of the vocal folds, retroflex movement of the epiglottis, and backward movement of the tongue base, as well as hypopharyngeal constriction during exsufflation (Table 2). The researchers advocated that MI-E should not be thought of as a device that simply “fills and empties” the lungs.⁷⁸

In 2017, Andersen’s group published a cross-sectional study of laryngeal response patterns to MI-E in subjects with ALS and healthy controls.²³ Supraglottic structures (ie, aryepiglottic folds) adducted in subjects with ALS and bulbar symptoms, especially during insufflation with high pressures (Table 2, Response B), response patterns that clearly contrasted the inspiratory abduction observed in subjects with non-bulbar ALS and healthy controls. The authors suggested these responses might explain failure of MI-E treatment in persons with bulbar ALS, as the compromised laryngeal inlet obstructs inspiratory air flow during the initial phase of cough. The study also revealed that subjects with ALS without bulbar symptoms did not always coordinate their laryngeal movements during MI-E cycles. In all subjects with ALS (with or without bulbar symptoms), short initial abduction of true vocal folds was followed by subsequent adduction during insufflation and exsufflation (Table 2, Response A). Backward movement of the tongue base was prominent (Table 2, Response D), and hypopharyngeal constriction during exsufflation (Table 2, Response E) was observed in all (a supplemental video is available at http://www.rcjournal.com).²³

In 2018, the same group²⁴ described laryngeal response patterns to MI-E with disease progression, following
Fig. 4. The transnasal fiberoptic laryngoscopy examinations were recorded using 2 continuously running and synchronized video streams on a single screen, with the laryngeal view depicted at the right and the various phases on the mechanical insufflation-exsufflation device depicted at the left. Anatomic landmarks are illustrated on the laryngeal view. From Reference 23, with permission.

Fig. 3. A laryngoscope passing through a modified mechanical insufflation-exsufflation (MI-E) interface with the laryngoscope supported and adjusted manually. Examination visualized on the screen: 2 continuously running video recordings with the endoscopic video from the transnasal fiberoptic laryngoscopy (right) and an external video camera to the control panel of the MI-E device (left), documenting the phases of insufflation and exsufflation from the device manometer. Situation arranged. From Reference 23, with permission.

Fig. 4. The transnasal fiberoptic laryngoscopy examinations were recorded using 2 continuously running and synchronized video streams on a single screen, with the laryngeal view depicted at the right and the various phases on the mechanical insufflation-exsufflation device depicted at the left. Anatomic landmarks are illustrated on the laryngeal view. From Reference 23, with permission.
Table 2. Five Adverse Laryngeal Events and Typical Bulbar Features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Laryngeal Level</th>
<th>Adverse Laryngeal Response During MI-E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Glottic</td>
<td>True vocal folds adduction of true vocal folds during insufflation, paradoxical movement of true vocal folds during inhalation creating either a slim glottic opening or a total glottic closure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Supraglottic</td>
<td>Aryepiglottic folds adduction of aryepiglottic folds during insufflation, to the extent that it prevents observation of the glottic laryngeal level below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Epiglottis</td>
<td>A retroflex movement of the epiglottis (a passive dorsal rotation) covering the glottis, either as a brief movement or lasting throughout the insufflation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Hypopharyngeal</td>
<td>Tongue base adduction of the tongue base during insufflation constricting the laryngeal entrance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Hypopharynx</td>
<td>A severe hypopharyngeal narrowing during exsufflation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Reference 24.

* Typical bulbar features are based on findings in the studies of Andersen et al23,24,81 in contrast with normal cough.

MI-E = mechanical insufflation-exsufflation

Fig. 5. Kaplan-Meier survivor function for events of adduction during mechanical insufflation-exsufflation insufflation of (A) true vocal folds in spinal onset ALS (n = 9) and (B) aryepiglottic folds in all subjects (n = 13), at pressures of 50, 40, 30, and 20 cm H2O. The y axis depicts fraction of group without the event in question, and the x axis months since ALS onset. ALS = amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. From Reference 24.

Subjects with ALS for up to 5 y. The first signs of laryngeal adduction (aryepiglottic fold adduction, Table 2, Response B) occurred with the highest insufflation pressures and prior to any clinically evident signs of bulbar involvement (Fig. 5). Cough became less expulsive and was paralleled by laryngeal adduction occurring also at lower insufflation.
pressures. Backward movement of the tongue base (Table 2, Response D) appeared in most, and retroflex movement of the epiglottis (Table 2, Response C) was observed in half of the cases. Hypopharyngeal constriction during exsufflation (Table 2, Response E) was observed in all subjects, but later in the disease progression than adverse events during insufflation. Triggering of swallowing reflexes by the positive MI-E pressure further complicated these matters. Customized use of MI-E, with lower insufflation pressures and flows and patient-triggered insufflations, led to less laryngeal adduction. This prolonged the time for which the treatment was perceived to be efficient by subjects with ALS, thus facilitating elongated successful use of MI-E. 24

The laryngeal role with respiratory therapies in children has been less studied but nonetheless is equally important. Vollset et al81 described a 12-month-old spinal muscular atrophy type I case with periodic problems with the MI-E device, including difficulties inflating the chest and mobilizing secretions. TFL during ongoing MI-E revealed signs of aspiration as well as laryngeal closure during insufflation in response to high inspiratory pressures, thus indicating bulbar effect, in line with clinical observations. This is similar to what was previously observed in adult subjects with ALS.23,24 The examination with TFL was well tolerated, and the findings led to immediate and targeted adjustments of the MI-E.81

**Air Flow Curve Registration**

Air flow curve registration during spontaneous breathing is used widely to diagnose sleep-apnea.55,56 As lung insufflation and exsufflation require an adequately sized laryngeal inlet,57 one may assume that visually observed laryngeal adduction causes air flow obstruction, influencing treatment efficacy.9,10,56,79 Elaborating on Bach’s postulation of exsufflation being the main challenge, Lacombe et al79 analyzed exsufflation air flow curves from subjects with NMDs. Following CPF, they described an abrupt flattening of the curve (ie, a flow decrease during exsufflation), assumed to indicate upper airway collapse. They introduced the parameter of effective cough volume, defined as the volume exhaled above CPF > 180 L/min. Even though the upper airways were not directly observed, the authors postulated that low effective cough volume indicates upper airway collapse with 100% sensitivity and specificity. It was suggested that using CPF alone failed to detect upper airway collapse during negative

**Fig. 6. Four MI-E air flow geometry patterns that were associated with being healthy and the ALS subtypes. ALS = amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. From Reference 86, with permission.**
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pressure titration in MI-E, and that studying the complete exsufflation air flow curve, not just the peak value, could reveal laryngeal opening or closure.\textsuperscript{79}

When comparing flow curves and visualized laryngeal events during MI-E, preliminary findings from Andersen et al.\textsuperscript{80} indicated that efforts to cough or exhale during exsufflation affect flow curve geometry (shape). The authors were unable to link visualized laryngeal response characteristics to unique air flow geometry patterns, but recognized several MI-E air flow geometry patterns (Fig. 6).\textsuperscript{80} Vollseter et al.\textsuperscript{81} studied air-flow and pressure patterns registered by the MI-E device following the examination with TFL during ongoing MI-E (Figure 7). Air-flow patterns registered by the MI-E device revealed altered insufflation flow geometry with the initial settings, improving after modifying the flow and pressure.\textsuperscript{23,24}

**Laryngeal Models**

Physical and mathematical models may simplify the complex structures of the human larynx. Paz and co-workers\textsuperscript{82} used an advanced computational model of the upper airways, the “Eulerian wall film model,” which is based on realistic upper airway geometry including epiglottis, glottis, and vocal fold movements resembling those of a healthy human. An MI-E device was used to reduce mucus thickness in the upper airways (Fig. 8). The results indicated that viscous shear force was the main mechanism clearing secretions, and neither glottic closure time nor epiglottic position had significant effect. The cough efficiency was almost unaffected by the time of laryngeal adduction as long as the inspiration phase was sufficiently effective. The MI-E device improved viscous shear force, and the enhancement rate grew logarithmically with the operating pressure.\textsuperscript{82}

Lachal et al.\textsuperscript{83} used a lung simulator with several resistance-compliance models and a latex tube to mimic laryngeal collapsibility. They simulated various respiratory conditions to explore the role played by the upper airways while using MI-E. Contrary to what was expected, CPF was higher with the collapsible tube. The generation of peak expiratory flow occurred within the first 100 ms of exsufflation, leading the authors to hypothesize that flexible tube walls accelerate the flow increase during exsufflation.\textsuperscript{83}

**Discussion**

**Summary of the Findings**

In this narrative review, we focus on methods to assess upper airways during MI-E therapy and summarize the current state of the art of responses. The interpretation of findings and the following recommendations are based on the available literature as well as the authors’ personal experiences. Enhancing the success rate of MI-E and NIV is of major clinical importance to vulnerable patient groups with devastating diseases, but our knowledge of upper airway responses to respiratory therapy is still limited. Due to the nature of diseases like ALS, clinical studies include small populations. Direct observations with TFL indicate that laryngeal function is highly important to the efficacy of MI-E treatment. Laryngeal bench models have shortcomings in imitating the complex and dynamic nature of the larynx during insufflation.
upper airway, but they may enhance our understanding of air flow dynamics, and a wide range of MI-E settings may be systematically applied to suggest possible combinations to be used in patients.52,87

The dogma that upper airway collapse during exsufflation causes MI-E treatment failure influenced former evaluation methods that assessed the exsufflation phase alone. The CT scans by Sancho et al25 and the flow-curve shapes by Lacombe et al79 confirmed Bach’s postulate that exsufflation caused upper airway collapse in subjects with bulbar dysfunction.6 Later studies indicated that this exsufflation-related hypopharyngeal narrowing occurs also in healthy individuals.23,78 A certain degree of narrowing is beneficial, increasing linear air flow velocity and shear forces to move secretions proximally.1,2 However, the studies by Bach,6 Sancho et al,25 and Lacombe et al56,79 have been essential in introducing the vital understanding that MI-E air flows and pressures delivered via the upper airways may cause structural responses. In studies where the larynx was visualized during the whole MI-E cycle, adduction of laryngeal structures during insufflation was revealed in subjects with bulbar ALS, the opposite of the findings in healthy controls and in subjects without bulbar involvement.23,24 A compromised laryngeal inlet during insufflation will obstruct inspiratory air flow and lead to reduced filling of the lungs during the first phase of cough. This again will compromise the expiratory cough phase, conceivably creating a vacuum in the upper airways during exsufflation that leads to inefficient MI-E.23,24 This line of thinking turns the picture around in that the observed exsufflation challenges might be a consequence of laryngeal inspiratory closure rather than the cause of treatment failure.

The air flow passing through the laryngeal lumen must obey simple physical laws; when air flow or turbulence

---

**Fig. 8.** Effect of mechanical insufflation-exsufflation (MI-E) device on cough efficacy. Top: The improvement of the mucus thickness contours after use of MI-E. Bottom: Cough efficiency increase at different operating pressures. The first (Q1), second (Q2), and third (Q3) quartiles of healthy people cough values are compared with and without the use of MI-E. From Reference 80, with permission.
Upper Airway Assessment During Mechanically Assisted Cough

Table 3. Aspects to Guide Laryngeal Evaluation With Dynamic TFL During MI-E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assess the drooling/pooling of secretions. How does the therapy affect this?</td>
<td>Retention/aspiration of saliva and/or secretions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the laryngeal response to MI-E normal?</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In case of abnormal laryngeal responses, determine the location of the counterproductive response.</td>
<td>Hypopharynx, epiglottis, base of the tongue, aryepiglottic folds, true vocal folds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describe the abnormal response/movement.</td>
<td>Abduction, adduction: constriction or collapse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detect the phase of the MI-E cycle in which the counterproductive response appears.</td>
<td>Insufflation, pressure drop, exsufflation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detect the onset of the counterproductive event.</td>
<td>Immediately/During</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify the frequency of the counterproductive events.</td>
<td>In all the cycles or in some cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examine the response to altered therapy.</td>
<td>Fine-tune insufflation settings (ensure triggering on every insufflation, decrease inspiratory flow, decrease inspiratory pressure, increase inspiratory time)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other adjustments (describe)

TFL = transnasal fiberoptic laryngoscopy
MI-E = mechanical insufflation-exsufflation

Exceeds a critical value, the pressure within the structure that confines the air flow must decrease, and deformations of that structure will eventually occur. Structural deformation subsequently affects air flow, a situation labeled “flow-structure interactions” by physicists.88 In the human larynx, reflex mechanisms as well as voluntarily controlled neuromuscular interactions add complexity to this model. MI-E pressures may provoke disadvantageous laryngeal movements, and the supraglottic area seems most prone to collapse.23,24 Therapeutic use of positive pressure provokes laryngeal narrowing.69-75 Thus, it is not surprising that positive insufflation pressures during MI-E promote laryngeal closure in individuals with ALS.23,24 ALS affects motor neurons in the brain and spinal cord. Both afferent and efferent innervation may play a part, laryngeal reflex circuits may be hypo-responsive or hyper-responsive or dysregulated,89-91 and loss of motor control and strength, spasticity, and sensory insufficiency in the laryngeal muscles further reduce laryngeal control.89,92,93 Inefficacy of MI-E treatment is multifactorial and varies with each patient. This research field is still in an embryonic phase, and further assessment of upper airway responses during the complete MI-E cycle is crucial to understand these complex interactions. We acknowledge and certainly encourage future research in this field.

Where Should We Go From Here?

TFL was previously a specialized tool used in otolaryngology clinics. It is presently used in several functional contexts (eg, during swallowing,94 inspiratory muscle training,95 exercise tests96,97) performed by medical doctors, speech therapists, and other trained health professionals. Sayas Catalán et al98 postulated that NIV titration by TFL led to fewer obstructive events in subjects with upper airway obstruction. The knowledge of upper airway responses during MI-E in the pediatric population is scarce and should be targeted. One clinical case report81 and our clinical experience with individual cases support the notion that TFL is feasible and tolerated in small children as well, especially in those familiar with airway suction through the nose. In preterm infants with dysphagia, simultaneous videofluoroscopy and TFL in evaluation of swallowing is feasible and has higher diagnostic yield than each procedure done separately.98

We believe that TFL performed during ongoing noninvasive respiratory therapies improves our understanding of laryngeal responses and aids in tailoring optimal patient treatment (Table 3). However, TFL examination during MI-E has limitations. It is an invasive procedure that requires a skilled provider and may be judged unpleasant. During MI-E maneuvers, the larynx tends to move upward, requiring adjustments of the laryngoscope’s position. Anatomical structures may preclude visual access, such as a high standing epiglottis or a narrow hypopharynx. Supraglottic adduction by nature obscures the view of the glottis. Airway secretions may also obscure the view, so pretreatment to clear secretions should be considered. To produce adequate recordings, several MI-E cycles may be required.

Alternatives to TFL should be explored. CT scanning applies ionizing radiation, is expensive, is stationary, and is hardly dynamic. Simple throat auscultation may provide information of laryngeal air flow and synchronizaton of glottic closure to MI-E cycles, similar to cervical auscultation used to evaluate swallowing.99 Ultrasound imaging may visualize laryngeal structures,100 and a study of laryngeal responses during MI-E and NIV combining both TFL and...
ultrasound is planned to explore the validity of ultrasound as a diagnostic tool in this context (ClinicalTrials.gov registration NCT04586855).

To what extent laryngeal adduction observed during MI-E influences the expiratory air flow velocity, which is crucial to move secretions, represents an important functional issue. Simultaneous monitoring of air flow curves and laryngeal movements during MI-E could reveal more information. Potential mask leaks, and the fact that the relationship between the structural responses in the upper airways and the air flow shapes still remains poorly understood, may interfere.

**Clinical Implications**

As alluded to by Simonds, individuals with poor laryngeal control may not have failed their MI-E therapy, rather the therapy may in fact have failed them. It is reasonable to assume that when the MI-E cycles are in synchrony with the upper airway responses, this will improve the success rate of MI-E.

**Individualizing MI-E Treatment**

MI-E use should be customized for the individual with counterproductive upper airway responses (Figure 9). Generally, the inspiratory air flow should not enter the upper airways too abruptly. High inspiratory pressures may generate laryngeal closure, so pressures should be gently titrated upward. Asymmetric settings with lower positive insufflation pressure than the corresponding negative exsufflation pressure used in the same respiratory cycle may be combined with lower insufflation flows. Increasing inspiratory time may be necessary to achieve the required insufflation volume prior to exsufflation. A prolonged inspiration seems to be physiological in inducing cough. It may be challenging or impossible for individuals with bulbar dysfunction to handle several rapid MI-E cycles. Successful treatment requires that the larynx is “reset” after exsufflation, and swallowing or closing reflexes should be brought to an end before the next insufflation. An increased time interval between exsufflation and insufflation, or the use of one cough cycle at a time, might be appropriate to prepare the larynx for the next insufflation. Dynamic TFL during ongoing MI-E could be a valuable and well-tolerated tool to further optimize treatment, providing direct anatomical views and potential aspiration tendency, as well as feedback on treatment responses. It will be important to perform further studies to provide a variety of subjects with the best possible treatment titrated in the most precise way.

**Effect Outcomes for Airway Clearance**

The important questions are whether individually optimized MI-E treatment will assist airway secretion clearance in individuals with poor laryngeal control, and how to measure this assistance. Efficient laryngeal closure and opening are linked to CPF, hence individuals with poor laryngeal control fail to create a prominent peak expiratory flow. We should consider whether CPF is an appropriate effect outcome measure for airway clearance in those with poor laryngeal control.

The findings of Andersen et al emphasize the importance of keeping the larynx open to achieve a sufficient insufflation volume prior to cough and to allow both volume and flow acceleration to move the secretions. Simulations with advanced laryngeal models indicate that MI-E can achieve effective shear forces even with a fixed glottic opening, but glottic closure and opening significantly improves this. Volpe et al emphasized the importance of expiratory flow bias on secretion movement, indicating that the relation and difference of peak insufflation and exsufflation flows influence upstream secretion movement. Expiratory flow bias and MI-E pressure gradients correlated significantly with mucus displacement, whereas CPF did not. In addition to keeping laryngeal structures open, lower insufflation flows might increase expiratory flow bias and, consequently, the efficacy of clearing secretions. The CPF phase accounts for only 25% of the cough shearing force, and the sustained flow that occurs after this is probably of great significance.

Lacombe’s group suggested there is a volume-dependent factor of effective cough volume that may detect upper-
airway obstruction,79 and they also suggested a time-dependent efficacy factor during exsufflation, defined as effective cough time (ie, the duration that CPF > 180 L/min).56

To conclude, judging MI-E efficacy is complex.57 The key element is that the upper airways must allow air to flow in and out of the lungs. Measurements of flow bias, cough velocity, effective cough time, and cough volume and assessment of upper airway structural responses will add further clinically important information. One should aim at always optimizing and tailoring MI-E settings individually in each person. In the long run, treatment compliance and quality of life, as well as rates of infections, exacerbations, and hospitalizations, should be studied.

Summary

The larynx is a highly complex organ that carefully modulates and safeguards the airway entrance. In individuals with poor laryngeal control, therapeutic positive pressures may provoke disadvantageous laryngeal responses, precluding the lungs from filling with air and compromising attempts to assist the expiratory phase of cough. This leads to inefficient MI-E therapy and discomfort. Great care must be taken to avoid applying pressures and cough cycles that the larynx is unable to handle. Video-recorded TFL is feasible to characterize laryngeal responses throughout MI-E and NIV interventions. Individually adjusted settings may prevent adduction of laryngeal structures during insufflation and thus may prolong successful use of MI-E and, possibly, NIV. In our opinion, direct laryngeal visualization during treatment is currently the best and most objective approach in the most challenging patients.
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