Quantitative CT scan and response to pronation in COVID-19 ARDS

Francesco Zadek, MD¹, Luca Berta, PhD², Giulia Zorzi, MSc^{2,3}, Stefania Ubiali, MD⁴, Amos

Bonaiuti, MD¹, Giulia Tundo, MD¹, Beatrice Brunoni, MD¹, Francesco Marrazzo, MD⁵,

Riccardo Giudici, MD⁵, Anna Rossi, MD⁵, Francesco Rizzetto, MD⁶, Davide Bernasconi, PhD⁷,

Angelo Vanzulli, MD^{6,8}, Paola Enrica Colombo, PhD², Roberto Fumagalli, MD^{1,5}, Alberto

Torresin, PhD², Thomas Langer, MD^{1,5}.

¹Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milan-Bicocca, Monza, Italy

²Department of Medical Physics, ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda, Milan, Italy

³Department of Physics, INFN Milan Unit, Milan, Italy

⁴Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, University of Milan, Milan, Italy

⁵Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine, Niguarda Ca' Granda, Milan, Italy.

⁶Department of Radiology, ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda, Milan, Italy.

⁷School of Medicine and Surgery, Bicocca Bioinformatics Biostatistics and Bioimaging Center -B4, University of Milano-Bicocca, Monza, Italy

⁸Department of Oncology and Hemato-Oncology, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy.

Corresponding

Thomas Langer, MD; Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milan-Bicocca, Monza, Italy; Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine, Niguarda Ca' Granda, Milan, Italy, Italy. tel. +39 02 64448580; email: <u>Thomas.Langer@unimib.it</u>

Online supplementary materials

Supplementary methods

The CT scan measures the density (ρ_{Lung}). The lung is assumed to comprise two compartments: tissue, $\rho=1$, Hounsfield units, HU =0, and gas, $\rho=0$, HU = -1000. According to these premises, it is possible to calculate, in each voxel, both density and mass (density = ρ):

$$\rho = \frac{CT + 1000}{1000}$$

 $Voxel\ tissue\ mass = \rho_{voxel} \cdot V_{voxel}$

The total lung volume (V_{lung}) was computed as

$$V_{lung} = V_{voxel*} No of Voxels$$

where V_{voxel} equals the volume of a single voxel.

The total tissue mass of the lung was computed as the product of the density multiplied by lung volume:

Lung tissue mass =
$$\rho \cdot V_{lung}$$

While the gas volume was computed as

Total gas volume = $(1 - \rho) *$ Total lung volume

Supplementary Tables

	Tatal	Oxygen Non-	Oxygen	
Variables	10tai	responders	Responders	p-value
	(N - 125)	(N = 62)	(N = 63)	
Sex, Female n (%)	30 (24)	14 (23)	16 (25)	.44
Age, years	62 ± 11	62 ± 10	61 ± 11	.50
Weight, kg	86 ± 19	85 ± 19	87 ± 19	.63
Height, cm	171 ± 9	171 ± 8	170 ± 9	.38
BMI , kg/m ²	30 ± 6	29 ± 7	30 ± 6	.40
Comorbidities:				
Hypertension, n (%)	64 (51)	32 (52)	32 (51)	.93
Diabetes, n (%)	22 (18)	11 (18)	11 (17)	.97
Active Smoke, n (%)	5 (4)	3 (5)	2 (3)	.68
Obesity , n (%)	38 (30)	16 (26)	22 (35)	.27
Cancer, n (%)	14 (11)	11 (18)	3 (5)	.025
CKD , n (%)	9 (7)	6 (10)	3 (5)	.32
COPD , n (%)	13 (10)	6 (10)	7 (11)	.79
Atrial Fibrillation, n (%)	8 (6)	6 (10)	2 (3)	.16
CAD , n (%)	15 (12)	9 (15)	6 (10)	.39
Liver disease, n (%)	10 (8)	2 (3)	8 (13)	.10
SOFA	5 [4, 6]	5 [4, 6]	5 [3, 7]	.77
SAPS II	38 [33, 43]	40 [34, 45]	37 [33, 43]	.34
Days between the onset symptoms and CT scan, day	of 10±6	12 ± 7	9 ± 5	.009
Days between the onset symptoms and first pronation	of on, 11±6	12±7	9±4	.004
davs				

 Table S1. Population demographic characteristics at ICU admission divided by the oxygen

 response to pronation

The median PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio increase observed during prone ventilation was used as cut-off, defining Oxygen Responders subjects with a PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio above the median value and Oxygen Non Responders when below. *Acronyms: BMI body mass index; CAD coronary arterial disease; CKD: chronic kidney disease; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CT computed tomography; ICU: intensive care unit; SAPS: Simplified Acute Physiology Score; SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment.*

Variables	Total (N = 125)	Oxygen Non-	Oxygen	p-value
		responders (N = 62)	Responders	
			(N = 63)	
ARDS Severity				.60
Mild n (%)	1 (0.8)	0 (0.0)	1 (2)	
Moderate n (%)	65 (52.0)	33 (53)	32 (51)	
Severe n (%)	59 (47.2)	29 (47)	30 (47)	
Ventilator setting:				
Tidal Volume/PBW,	6.7 ± 0.9	6.6 ± 1.0	6.8 ± 0.8	.31
ml/kg				
RR , breath/minute	19 ± 3	19 ± 4	19 ± 3	.84
PEEP , cm H_2O	12 ± 2	12 ± 2	12 ± 2	.85
Plateau Pressure, cm	24 ± 3	24 ± 3	23 ± 3	.44
H ₂ O				
Crs, ml/cm H ₂ O	41 ± 11	39 ± 10	42 ± 12	.19
Driving Pressure, cm	11 ± 3	11 ± 3	11 ± 3	.31
H ₂ O				
Arterial blood Gas:				
рН	7.36 ± 0.07	7.36 ± 0.07	7.37 ± 0.07	.41
PaCO ₂ , mm Hg	47 ± 9	49 ± 10	46 ± 8	.064
Ventilatory Ratio	1.6 ± 0.5	1.7 ± 0.5	1.6 ± 0.4	.56
PaO ₂ , mm Hg	77 ± 16	77 ± 16	77 ± 16	.77
FiO ₂ , %	77 ± 2	75 ± 2	79 ± 2	.19
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂	103 [82, 123]	105 [82, 133]	101 [76, 120]	.42

 Table S2. Ventilatory parameters of the population divided by oxygen response to pronation in supine position before the first pronation

The median PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio increase observed during prone ventilation was used as cut-off, defining Oxygen Responders subjects with a PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio above the median value and Oxygen Non Responders when below. *Acronyms:ARDS: acute respiratory distress syndrome; Crs: compliance of the respiratory system; FiO₂ inspiratory fraction of oxygen; PaCO₂ arterial*

partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PaO₂ arterial partial pressure of oxygen; PBW: predicted body weight, PEEP: positive end-expiratory pressure; RR: respiratory rate.

	Total	Oxygen Non-	Oxygen	
Variables	(N = 125)	responders	Responders	p-value
		(N = 62)	(N = 63)	
Number of Pronation, n	4 [2, 6]	4 [2, 6]	4 [2, 7]	.56
Total Pronation Time, hour	80 [46, 146]	76 [41, 148]	90 [48, 146]	.67
iNO , n (%)	32 (26)	22 (35)	10 (16)	.01
Days of Ventilation	30 [17, 41.5]	33 [19, 43]	28 [16, 36]	.19
Tracheostomy, n (%)	76 (61)	36 (58)	40 (63)	.53
Hospital LOS, days	45 [26, 65]	47 [25, 63]	43 [26, 65]	.91
ICU LOS days	33 [19, 45]	35 [19, 47]	32 [19, 42]	.54
ICU Outcome				.54
Deceased n (%)	51 (41)	27 (44)	24 (38)	
Discharged n (%)	74 (59)	35 (56)	39 (62)	

Table S3. Clinical outcomes of the population divided by oxygen response to pronation

The median PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio increase observed during prone ventilation was used as cut-off, defining Oxygen Responders subjects with a PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio above the median value and Oxygen Non Responders when below. *Acronyms: ICU: intensive care unit; iNO: inhaled nitric oxide; LOS: length of stay.*

Variables	All natients	Oxygen Non-	Oxygen	
	(N = 125)	responders	Responders	p-value
	(1, 123)	(N = 62)	(N = 63)	
Bilateral Lung				
Volume, ml	3526 ± 1009	3534 ± 997	3518 ± 1028	.93
Density, HU	-545 ± 106	$\textbf{-534} \pm 102$	$\textbf{-557} \pm 110$.22
Tissue mass, g	1541 ± 390	1593 ± 409	1490 ± 366	.14
Hyper inflated lung				
Volume, ml	160 ± 157	163 ± 168	157 ± 147	.84
Density, HU	-968 ±2	-968 ± 3	$\textbf{-968}\pm2$.46
Tissue mass, g	5 ±5	5 ± 5	5 ± 4	.96
Well aerated lung				
Volume, ml	$2092 \pm \! 895$	2037 ± 843	2145 ± 947	.50
Density, HU	-740 ± 31	-740 ± 31	-740 ± 32	.90
Tissue mass, g	530 ± 208	518 ± 201	542 ± 216	.52
Poorly aerated lung				
Volume, ml	891 ± 324	902 ± 351	879 ± 297	.69
Density, HU	-343 ±20	-342 ± 18	-344 ± 22	.72
Tissue mass, g	584 ±210	593 ± 227	576 ± 193	.67
Non aerated lung				
Volume, ml	$319\pm\!\!192$	353 ± 196	285 ± 184	.050
Density, HU	-51 ±8	-49 ± 9	-53 ± 5	.004
Tissue mass, g	303 ± 185	337 ± 190	271 ± 176	.047

 Table S4. Baseline quantitative CT parameters of the population divided for the oxygen response to pronation.

The median PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio increase observed during prone ventilation was used as cut-off, defining Oxygen Responders subjects with a PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio above the median value and Oxygen Non Responders when below. *Acronyms: CT: computed tomography.*

Variables	Total	CO ₂ Non-responders	CO ₂ Responders	p-value
v al labits	(N = 125)	(N = 74)	(N = 51)	
Sex, Female n(%)	30 (24)	17 (23)	13 (25)	.75
Age, years	62 ± 11	62 ± 11	62 ± 10	.98
Weight, kg	86 ± 19	86 ± 21	87 ± 17	.44
Height, cm	171 ± 9	171 ± 9	171 ± 9	.68
BMI , kg/m ²	30 ± 6	30 ± 7	30 ± 6	.57
Comorbidities:				
Hypertension, n(%)	64 (51)	36 (49)	28 (55)	.49
Diabetes , n(%)	22 (18)	12 (16)	10 (20)	.63
Active Smoke, n(%)	5(4)	1 (1)	4 (8)	.07
Obesity , n(%)	38 (30)	21 (28)	17 (33)	.55
Cancer, n(%)	14 (11)	10 (14)	4 (8)	.32
CKD , n(%)	9 (7)	6 (8)	3 (6)	.64
COPD , n(%)	13 (10)	6 (8)	7 (14)	.31
Atrial Fibrillation, n(%)	8 (6)	4 (5)	4 (8)	.58
CAD , n(%)	15 (12)	4 (5)	11 (22)	.007
Liver disease, n(%)	10 (8)	6 (8)	4 (8)	1.00
SOFA	5 [4, 6]	5 [3, 6]	5 [4, 6]	.37
SAPS II	38 [33, 43]	40 [33, 44]	37 [33, 41]	.25

Table S5. Population demographic characteristics at ICU admission divided by CO₂ response to pronation.

Acronyms: BMI body mass index; CAD coronary arterial disease; CKD: chronic kidney disease; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CT computed tomography; ICU: intensive care unit; SAPS: Simplified Acute Physiology Score; SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment.

Variables	Total	CO ₂ Non-responders	CO ₂ Responders	n valua
variables	(N = 125)	(N = 74)	(N = 51)	p-value
ARDS Severity				.40
Mild n(%)	1 (0.8)	0 (0)	1 (2)	
Moderate n(%)	65 (52.0)	37 (50)	28 (55)	
Severe n(%)	59 (47.2)	37 (50)	22 (43)	
Ventilator setting:				
Tidal Volume/PBW,	6.7 ± 0.9	6.6 ± 0.8	6.9 ± 1.0	.019
ml/kg				
RR , breath/minute	19 ± 3	18 ± 3	21 ± 4	< 0.001
PEEP, cm H ₂ O	12 ± 3	12 ± 2	12 ± 3	.80
Plateau Pressure, cm	24 ± 3	24 ± 3	24 ± 3	.96
H ₂ O				
Crs, ml/cm H ₂ O	41±11	39 ± 10	43 ± 13	.09
Driving Pressure, cm	11 ± 3	11 ± 3	11 ± 3	.78
H ₂ O				
Arterial blood Gas:				
рН	7.36 ± 0.07	7.37 ± 0.07	7.35 ± 0.07	.026
PaCO ₂ , mm Hg	47 ± 9	46 ± 9	49 ± 9	.09
Ventilatory Ratio	1.6 ± 0.5	1.5 ± 0.4	1.9 ± 0.5	< 0.001
PaO ₂ , mm Hg	77 ± 16	77 ± 17	77 ± 14	.96
FiO ₂ , %	0.8 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.2	.47
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂	103 [82, 123]	100 [82, 120]	107 [81, 130]	.44

Table S6. Ventilatory parameters of the population divided by carbon dioxide response to pronation in supine position before the first pronation cycle.

Acronyms: ARDS: acute respiratory distress syndrome; Crs: compliance of the respiratory system; FiO₂ inspiratory fraction of oxygen; PaCO₂ arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PaO₂ arterial partial pressure of oxygen; PBW: predicted body weight, PEEP: positive end-expiratory pressure; RR: respiratory rate.

Variables	Total	CO ₂ Non-responders	CO ₂ Responders	n valua
variables	(N = 125)	(N = 74)	(N = 51)	p-value
Number of Pronation, n	4 [2, 6]	4 [2, 6]	3 [2, 6]	.82
Total Pronation Time , hour	80 [46, 146]	83 [46, 146]	76 [41, 150]	.94
iNO , n(%)	32 (26)	23 (31)	9 (18)	.07
Days of Ventilation	30 [17, 41.5]	29 [16, 39]	33 [19, 42]	.40
Tracheostomy, n(%)	76 (61)	41 (55)	35 (69)	.10
Hospital LOS, days	45 [26, 65]	40 [22, 63]	49 [33, 65]	.14
ICU LOS days	33 [19, 45]	33 [16, 43]	36 [19, 46]	.43
ICU Outcome				.46
Deceased n(%)	50 (40)	32 (43)	18 (35)	
Discharged n(%)	75 (60)	42 (57)	33 (64)	

Table S7. Clinical outcomes of the population divided by carbon dioxide response to pronation

Acronyms: ICU: intensive care unit; iNO: inhaled nitric oxide; LOS: length of stay.

Variablas	Total	Moderate	Severe	n voluo
v al labits	(N = 124)	(N = 65)	(N = 59)	p-value
Bilateral Lung				
Volume, ml	$3514\pm\!1005$	$3470 \pm \! 952$	3563 ± 1066	.61
Density, HU	-544 ± 106	-551 ± 104	-537 ± 108	.46
Tissue mass, g	1542 ± 391	1502 ± 380	1586 ± 402	.23
Hyper inflated lung				
Volume, ml	$159\pm\!\!157$	171 ± 178	145 ± 130	.36
Density, HU	-968 ±2	-968 ±2	-968 ±3	.46
Tissue mass, g	5 ±5	5 ±5	4 ±4	.38
Well aerated lung				
Volume, ml	$2079 \pm \! 887$	$2054 \pm \! 861$	2106 ± 921	.75
Density, HU	-740 ± 31	-741 ±32	-738 ±30	.64
Tissue mass, g	528 ±208	518 ±192	539 ±225	.57
Poorly aerated lung				
Volume, ml	$892\pm\!\!324$	$878 \pm \! 310$	$907\pm\!\!342$.62
Density, HU	-343 ± 20	-344 ± 20	-342 ± 20	.58
Tissue mass, g	585 ±211	576 ±204	596 ±219	.61
Non-aerated lung				
Volume, ml	$320\pm\!\!193$	305 ± 191	$337 \pm \! 195$.36
Density, HU	-51 ±8	-51 ±9	-50 ± 6	.66
Tissue mass, g	305 ± 185	$291 \pm \!\!184$	321 ±186	.37

 Table S8. Quantitative CT characteristics dividing the population based on the ARDS severity

Acronyms: CT: computed tomography.

Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. Antero-posterior regional lung tissue distribution in intubated patients divided by the O₂ response to pronation.





Figure S2. Antero-posterior regional lung tissue distribution in patients divided by the CO₂ response to pronation.



Figure S3. Association between the length of disease before pronation and response in PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio to pronation

Figure S4. Association between the length of non-invasive ventilation before ICU pronation and response in PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio to pronation

