

RESPIRATORY CARE
Guidelines for Reviewers
REVIEW submissions

1. Does the Introduction identify the topic early and clearly?
2. Does the Introduction tell why the author thinks it is timely to review the topic?
3. If the topic needs to be defined, is it well defined in the Introduction?
4. Do you believe that the author has reviewed all of the pertinent literature on the topic?
5. Has the author reviewed all aspects of the topic?
6. Have the references been cited to support statements in the text when such support is called for?
7. Does the author note the limitations as well as the positives of current knowledge on the topic?
8. Did the author critically evaluate the literature?
9. Is the state of the art made clear?
10. Does the author provide important conclusions based on the literature?
11. Does the author suggest directions that future research should take?
12. If the paper is meant to offer practical guidance (or you believe it should), does it do so?
13. Are you satisfied with the way the paper is organized?
14. Do you find the paper to be clearly written?
15. Is the paper's title accurate, complete, and useful?
16. Is the paper written objectively enough?
17. Are the figures and tables clear and appropriate?
18. Is there an appropriate description of how calculated values were determined?
19. Are unsettled points or limitation of the method adequately discussed?
20. Are other positions or opinions stated and documented?