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Summary

Most children with neuromuscular disease eventually require assistance with airway clearance and with breathing, especially during sleep. Techniques and devices for airway clearance and noninvasive ventilation that are commonly used in adults have been successfully adapted for use in infants and young children. Both physiological differences and small size of young patients with neuromuscular disease, however, can limit the applicability of such interventions or require special consideration. Measurements to identify the appropriate time to begin airway clearance assistance are lacking for young children, and the role of early introduction of noninvasive ventilation to preserve or enhance lung growth and chest-wall mobility remains to be elucidated. The paucity of nasal interfaces and headgear commercially made for small patients can reduce patient tolerance of noninvasive ventilation and exacerbate patient-ventilator dysynchrony. Despite these issues, a greater number of children with neuromuscular diseases are living well past their second decade. Strategies to transition these patients to appropriate adult-care providers, to secure cost-effective health care for them, and to help integrate them into adult society must be developed. Key words: neuromuscular disease, pediatric, mechanical ventilation, noninvasive ventilation. [Respir Care 2006; 51(8):885–893. © 2006 Daedalus Enterprises]

Introduction

The onset of pulmonary symptoms in children with neuromuscular weakness depends in large part on the type of underlying disease. For instance, a boy with Duchenne muscular dystrophy may not experience any respiratory problems until mid-adolescence, whereas an infant with spinal muscular atrophy type I is likely to develop respiratory compromise within the first year of life. In either case, there is a typical sequence of events that leads to
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respiratory insufficiency and ultimately to respiratory fail-
ure.\textsuperscript{1,2} Initially, respiratory muscle weakness leads to im-
paired cough and airway clearance, so these patients are
prone to recurrent atelectasis and chest infections. Progres-
sive inspiratory muscle weakness first causes nocturnal
respiratory dysfunction, which is manifested by frequent
arousals, sleep fragmentation, and sleep-related hypovent-
ilation. Subsequently, hypercapnia extends into the day-
time and frank respiratory failure ensues. The duration of
this timeline can be expanded by interventions such as
assistance with clearance of respiratory secretions and noc-
turnal mechanical ventilation, or it can be compressed by
acute respiratory illnesses. Many of the interventions that
have been used in adults with neuromuscular disease to
support the respiratory system have also been applied to
infants and children. There are, however, unique consid-
erations and limitations of such therapies related to size
and to physiological characteristics of the respiratory sys-
tem of infants and young children. This review will dis-
cuss some of those aspects of respiratory care.

**Physiological Considerations**

Infants and young children with neuromuscular disease
are at higher risk of atelectasis and airway obstruction
from mucus plugging, compared with older children and
adults (Table 1). Young children’s airways are smaller,
and a greater proportion of intrathoracic resistance resides
in the small airways of children under 5 years of age.\textsuperscript{3} Any
disease process that affects small airways (eg, viral bron-
chiolitis), therefore, will cause a greater increase in total
respiratory system resistance in young children, compared
with older children and adults. There is also a normal
maturational reduction in airway wall compliance, so the
central airways of infants and young children are more
collapsible than are those of adults.\textsuperscript{4} The pores of Kohn
and other interalveolar pathways are not well developed
in infants, so collateral ventilation is not as effective as in
older children and adults.\textsuperscript{5}

Not only do airway characteristics place young children
with neuromuscular disease at higher risk for obstruction,
but so also do both normal and disease-specific changes in
airway/parenchyma interactions. Alveolar multiplication
is largely a postnatal event, occurring over the first
2–4 years of life. Thus, infants have fewer alveoli and also
fewer alveolar attachments to airway walls than do older
children and adults. Elastic recoil of the lung, a force that
tethers airways open and is exerted through the alveolar
attachments to the airway walls, is also less in infants and
increases with age.\textsuperscript{6–8} In addition, the chest wall is highly
compliant in infants and young children, and it does not
become as stiff as the lung until approximately 2 years of
age.\textsuperscript{9} The chest wall of a young child with neuromuscular
disease is even more compliant than that of a healthy
child,\textsuperscript{10} and it may not achieve the same passive stiffness
of the lung until 4 years or more. The outward recoil of
the chest wall, coupled via the pleural space to the lung pa-
renchyma, is another important force that helps maintain
airway patency. Thus, young children with neuromuscular
disease are at higher risk for developing areas of microat-
electasis from chronically breathing at low lung volume.

Another consideration for pediatric patients with neu-
romuscular disease is growth, both of the lung and of the
body. Chest-wall distortion can lead to acquired pectus
excavatum deformity,\textsuperscript{2} which can compromise tidal vol-
ume further. The degree to which chronic postnatal low-
tidal-volume breathing impacts subsequent lung develop-
ment is not known, but there are concerns that it reduces
the potential for lung growth.\textsuperscript{11} In addition, because of the
compliance characteristics of the chest wall, young chil-
dren with neuromuscular weakness must expend extra en-
ergy, in the form of intercostal muscle contraction, to de-
 fend tidal volume and to offset the tendency of the chest
wall to deform during inspiration. Thus, some children
maintain adequate gas exchange at a much higher energy
cost, and can have growth failure as a result.

**Airway Clearance in Children
With Neuromuscular Weakness**

Cough is the chief mechanism responsible for clearing
the central airways of secretions when the mucociliary
escalator is made ineffective or is overwhelmed by infec-
tion and increased mucus production. A normal cough
requires a pre-cough inspiration to 60–90% of total lung
capacity, followed by brief glottic closure and simulta-
neous contraction of expiratory muscles. The glottis then
opens and the pressurized thorax forcibly expulses air at a
high flow (36–1,000 L/min in healthy adults).\textsuperscript{12}

Cough in patients with neuromuscular disease can be
compromised for several reasons. Inspiratory muscle weak-
ness impairs one’s ability to take a deep breath and so
dilate intrathoracic airways and increase driving (elastic
recoil) pressure. Bulbar weakness or presence of a trache-
ostomy tube impairs glottic closure so that thoracic pres-
surization is compromised. Expiratory muscle weakness
reduces transmural airway pressure, resulting in a diminu-

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline
Smaller absolute airway size  \\
Distribution of intrathoracic resistances  \\
Higher airway compliance  \\
Ineffective collateral ventilation  \\
Lower elastic recoil pressure  \\
Higher chest-wall compliance  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Some Physiological Considerations in Infants and Young Children Regarding the Risk for Mucus Plugging and Atelectasis}
\end{table}
tion of airway compression and a reduction in or absence of supramaximal cough-flow transients. Cough-flow transients accentuate the shearing forces that help propel mucus toward the central airways. The ability to continue to generate these “flow spikes” during coughing correlates with improved survival among adults with neuromuscular disease, despite the presence of profound respiratory muscle weakness.\textsuperscript{13}

Assistance with airway clearance is a critical component in the care of children with neuromuscular disease, because of their propensity to develop mucus plugging and atelectasis with chest infections, and their greater exposure to common viral respiratory illnesses. In fact, acute respiratory illness leading to respiratory compromise was found to be the most common cause of unplanned admission to a pediatric intensive care unit among children with neuromuscular disease.\textsuperscript{14} Most of the techniques used in adults with neuromuscular disease to enhance secretion clearance have also been successfully used in children. Thus, manual assisted cough, breath stacking, manual and mechanical insufflation, and mechanical exsufflation with negative pressure have all been used to treat pediatric patients with neuromuscular disease.\textsuperscript{15}

The common goal of all of these interventions, used alone or in combination, is to increase the velocity of expiratory flow during a cough maneuver. Peak cough flow was the single most important factor in determining whether the artificial airway (endotracheal or tracheostomy tube) could be removed in a group of 37 adults with neuromuscular disease who required assistance with secretion removal.\textsuperscript{16} Only those patients who, alone or with assistance, could generate peak cough flow > 160 L/min were able to have the airway decannulated, independent of their need for ventilatory assistance. Those with peak cough flow < 160 L/min required ongoing intrathoracic airway access to facilitate suctioning and removal of secretions.

In both healthy subjects\textsuperscript{17} and those with neuromuscular disease,\textsuperscript{18} acute viral illness can cause a transient reduction in respiratory muscle strength. Thus, using a peak-cough-flow cutoff value of 160 L/min to identify those patients at risk for impaired secretion removal may be too stringent. In 2 series,\textsuperscript{19,20} when peak cough flow in adults with neuromuscular disease was < 270 L/min during periods of wellness, it routinely fell below 160 L/min during acute respiratory illness. In fact, only one patient among those groups who was able to generate an assisted peak cough flow > 270 L/min developed pneumonia or respiratory distress.

The use of a target peak cough flow < 270 L/min to identify patients at risk for lower-respiratory-tract disease, however, may not be appropriate in children. Among healthy 5–18-year-old volunteers studied, the majority of those < 13 years of age generated peak cough flow < 270 L/min.\textsuperscript{21} Among this group, however, most were able to generate maximum expiratory pressure in excess of 60 cm H\textsubscript{2}O. In another study, involving a group of 22 adolescents and young adults with neuromuscular disease, the ability to generate cough flow transients was associated with generation of maximum expiratory pressure above 60 cm H\textsubscript{2}O.\textsuperscript{22} Adequacy of unassisted peak cough flow also correlated with lung function in a group of 6–18.6-year-old boys with Duchenne muscular dystrophy,\textsuperscript{23} but many children with other types of neuromuscular disease are either too young or may be too intellectually impaired to perform standard spirometry. Aside from this one study, at present there are no established respiratory-muscle or lung-function data to help the practitioner determine which young children are at greater risk for secretion retention, atelectasis, and pneumonia.

**Secretion-Extraction Maneuvers**

Among a group of 21 ventilator-assisted adults with neuromuscular disease, breath stacking, manually assisted cough, and use of a mechanical insufflator-exsufflator all significantly increased peak cough flow, compared to the flow during unassisted cough.\textsuperscript{24} With all the treatments tested, the patients generated peak cough flow > 2.7 L/s (160 L/min). The highest values were achieved with the insufflator-exsufflator.

Chatwin et al studied a group of patients with a variety of neuromuscular diseases, eight of whom were 10–16 years old.\textsuperscript{15} As a group, these children had profound respiratory muscle weakness (maximal inspiratory pressure 22.7 ± 14.3 cm H\textsubscript{2}O, maximal expiratory pressure 19.7 ± 12.2 cm H\textsubscript{2}O). Peak cough flow was measured using standard physiotherapy-assisted cough (a component of which included manual assisted cough), in which inspiration was augmented with a noninvasive ventilator, exsufflation-assisted cough, and insufflator-exsufflator-assisted cough. Although the inspiratory and expiratory pressures used with the insufflator-exsufflator were modest (+15 ± 3 cm H\textsubscript{2}O during insufflation, and −15 ± 9 cm H\textsubscript{2}O during exsufflation), the insufflator-exsufflator still generated significantly higher peak cough flow than did unassisted cough. Increases in peak cough flow with the other methods were not statistically higher than those generated without cough assistance. All methods of cough assistance were well tolerated by the patients.

Miskie et al reported their experience with the use of an insufflator-exsufflator with 62 children and young adults, ranging in age from 3 months to 28.6 years (median 12.6 years).\textsuperscript{25} Median insufflation pressure used was +30 cm H\textsubscript{2}O (range 15–40 cm H\textsubscript{2}O), and median exsufflation pressure was −30 cm H\textsubscript{2}O (range −20 to −50 cm H\textsubscript{2}O). There was no correlation between the pressures used and either age or type of underlying neuromuscular disease. The device was found to be well tolerated.
and safe, the only adverse effect being the appearance of premature ventricular contractions during insufflator-exsufflator use in an adolescent with Duchenne muscular dystrophy and cardiomyopathy. Five patients reported fewer episodes of pneumonia after starting insufflator-exsufflator therapy, and four had demonstrable improvement in chronic atelectasis (Fig. 1).

**Mucus Mobilization Devices**

Two techniques that have been used in children and adults with neuromuscular disease to mobilize secretions from more peripheral to central airways are high-frequency chest-wall oscillation and intrapulmonary percussive ventilation. Both techniques result in oscillation of the airways and generation of high-velocity but short-frequency waves of airflow. With high-frequency chest-wall oscillation, energy is applied to the chest wall and transmitted to the airways, whereas with intrapulmonary percussive ventilation, oscillations are applied directly to the airway opening. Critical evaluation of high-frequency chest-wall oscillation in patients with neuromuscular disease has been sparse. In 7 children with quadriplegic cerebral palsy, routine use of high-frequency chest-wall oscillation resulted in fewer episodes of pneumonia and fewer respiratory-related hospitalizations in the year after initiating its use, compared with the year before.26 In addition, among the children with tracheostomies, suctioning was more effective after institution of chest-wall oscillation.

Intrapulmonary percussive ventilation is used in conjunction with aerosol therapy. High-frequency mini-bursts of air are applied to the airway opening while liquid (often containing a bronchodilator) is nebulized. Birnkrant et al described the use of intrapulmonary percussive ventilation to treat atelectasis or pneumonia in 4 patients with neuromuscular disease.27 Two children and one adult experienced rapid improvement in chest radiographic appearance and oxyhemoglobin saturation following institution of intrapulmonary percussive ventilation, after routine chest physiotherapy and manually assisted cough failed to improve their clinical status. The fourth patient also improved, but more slowly.

Among 8 ventilator-dependent young adults with Duchenne muscular dystrophy, intrapulmonary percussive ventilation improved secretion removal, but only in those patients considered to be “hypersecretors” (> 30 mL of secretions per day).28 Those who did not produce excessive secretions had no significant increase in secretion removal, compared with standard physiotherapy techniques, including manually assisted cough.

Intrapulmonary percussive ventilation has also been studied in intubated children without neuromuscular disease who developed atelectasis.29 In a retrospective review of 46 patients between 1 month and 15 years of age (median 4.2 years), intrapulmonary percussive ventilation significantly improved atelectasis, as quantified by a scoring system. Two patients < 3 kg developed hypotension during its use, so subsequent use of the device was limited to patients > 3 kg. In a small prospective portion of the study, 7 patients who were treated with intrapulmonary percussive ventilation had greater improvement and more rapid resolution of atelectasis (3.1 d vs 6.2 d, p = 0.018) than did 5 patients treated with standard chest physiotherapy.

**Mechanical Ventilatory Support**

American30 and European31 guidelines suggest that patients with neuromuscular disease should receive ventilatory support when daytime hypercapnia (PCO₂ > 50 mm Hg) exists. Others have instituted nocturnal mechanical ventilation when the patient has sleep hypoventilation (PCO₂ > 50 mm Hg) accompanied by oxyhemoglobin desaturation (< 92%) or a history of recurrent hospitalization for pneumonia or atelectasis.32 Nocturnal noninvasive positive-pressure ventilation (NPPV) improves survival33–35 and reduces the frequency of hospitalization,32 even in children with progressive neuromuscular diseases. Nocturnal NPPV also improves diurnal gas exchange34 and normalizes sleep-disordered breathing.32,36

Nevertheless, the timing of institution of NPPV remains controversial. The role of mechanical ventilation in promoting lung growth, or at least preventing decline in lung...
function, in children with respiratory muscle weakness has not been fully explored. A multicenter study of the role of “preventive” NPPV in boys with Duchenne muscular dystrophy disappointingly found no evidence for preservation of lung function in those patients treated with NPPV.\(^{37}\)

The patients were randomized to receive NPPV or conventional therapy if vital capacity was 20–50% predicted and gas exchange was normal. Early institution of NPPV failed to halt the progressive loss of lung function or appreciably improve blood-gas abnormalities in the treatment group, compared with controls. Most alarmingly, however, was the finding of greater mortality in the group that received NPPV \((n = 8)\) than in the controls \((n = 2)\).

The majority of deaths resulted from respiratory infection with retention of secretions. The authors speculated that NPPV may have given those patients in the treatment group a false sense of security and perhaps reduced their monitoring of their condition. The study was designed to have patients use NPPV for at least 6 hours per night. Fifteen of the 35 NPPV users, however, used their ventilators for < 6 hours per night or not at all.

More recently, Ward and coworkers conducted a randomized controlled trial of NPPV in patients with neuro-muscular disease who were hypercarbic at night but who had daytime normocapnea.\(^{38}\) The 12 patients randomized into the experimental cohort were instructed to use their ventilators during sleep and during physiotherapy sessions. A control group of 10 patients received conventional therapies. Another group of 19 patients who had daytime hypercapnia were immediately started on nocturnal NPPV.

A priori criteria for instituting ventilatory support were established for the control group. Small differences in nocturnal gas exchange were detected between the experimental and control groups at 6 months, with the NPPV group having a greater decrease in percentage of time with elevated transcutaneously-measured \(\text{CO}_2\) from baseline and a statistically greater increase in nocturnal oxygen saturation (measured via pulse oximetry). Importantly, by 12 months, 70% of the control patients met criteria for ventilatory support and so began NPPV, and by 24 months 90% of the control patients were using NPPV. Nightly use of the ventilator among the experimental NPPV group was only 4.65 ± 2.2 hours, and among the control group it was 6.2 ± 2.5 hours. In contrast, those with daytime hypercapnia used their ventilators for 9.07 ± 2.96 hours. These 2 studies highlight both the importance of monitoring adherence to therapy and the need to understand what factors contribute to or detract from acceptance of nocturnal NPPV use in children.

Another recent study examined the conditions under which long-term mechanical ventilatory support in children with neuromuscular disease was initiated. Of 73 children, home mechanical ventilation was begun electively in only 21%.\(^{39}\) The remainder of children were placed on home-mechanical-ventilator support nonelectively, usually following failure to wean from support, in association with acute lower-respiratory-tract infection. The authors identified almost 200 missed opportunities to discuss long-term mechanical ventilation and end-of-life issues with these patients during prior hospitalizations, office visits, and after polysomnography.

**NPPV in Young Children**

Two important factors in patient adherence with NPPV are patient-ventilator synchrony and the fit and comfort of the interface. Aside from the usual possible complications related to nasal mask ventilation described in adults, including skin irritation or breakdown, sinus and ear pain, eye irritation, gastric distention, and excessive leak leading to inadequate ventilation,\(^{40}\) certain problems and complications are unique to infants and small children that can undermine adherence with therapy. There is a paucity of nasal interfaces commercially available for infants and toddlers (Fig. 2), and the ability to make custom masks\(^{41}\) is not as readily available in the United States as it is in Europe.\(^{42}\) Often, nasal prong systems are adapted for use, but they leak around the prongs, and the resistance across their narrow orifices reduces or eliminates small and weak children’s ability to trigger and cycle assisted breaths, so patient-ventilator synchrony is compromised. The resistance across small prongs, coupled with the leak, can also simulate patient effort, causing some bi-level generators to auto-trigger when set in spontaneous/timed mode. For such children, a common practice is to wait for the child to fall asleep and then set the ventilator in a timed or control mode at a rate that overrides the patient’s respiratory drive.\(^{35}\)
Even when nasal systems can be created or adapted from setups designed for adults, the headgear used to hold them in place often has to be improvised. Recently, some headgear systems have been adapted for smaller children (Fig. 3), and occasionally toddlers can fit adequately into systems designed for adults (Fig. 4).

Often, because of poor-fitting interfaces or inadequate headgear, nasal masks are placed firmly on a child’s face, and injury to the underlying skin occurs. The pressure on facial structures from a nasal mask is associated not only with skin injury in children, but also with flattening of facial structures. A child’s face has achieved only 60% of its adult final growth at 3–4 years of age, and 90% by 12 years of age. Forces that are normally responsible for the broadening and outward growth of the face can be counteracted by the inward pressure exerted by a nasal interface. The result is flattening of the face, especially involving the mid-face, and malocclusion with retrusion of the maxillary ridge (class III angle malocclusion) (Figs. 5 and 6).

Recently, mask-related facial injuries were quantified among a group of 40 children using NPPV for obstructive sleep apnea (n = 16), neuromuscular disease (n = 14), or cystic fibrosis (n = 10). For the group, mask ventilation began at 8.8 years (range 0.2–17.0 years), and duration of use was 15 months (range 1–85 months). Importantly, all the children < 6 years of age were fitted with custom masks, whereas those older than 6 years were initially given commercially available masks. Older children were given the option of changing to custom masks if the commercial ones were uncomfortable.

Global facial flattening was present in 68% of the children, 43% had flattening of the forehead, 38% had flattening of the malar area, 28% had flattening of the maxilla. Twelve percent were described as having a concave face. Facial flattening was more common among those children with obstructive sleep apnea or neuromuscular disease.
Approximately 90% of children born with a disability in the United States will reach age 20.46 Because of some of the advances in care discussed above, technology-assisted children with neuromuscular disease now routinely live beyond the second decade of life.47–52 In Pennsylvania’s Ventilator Assisted Children’s Home Program, for instance, there has been a 360% increase (from 13 to 47) in the number of ventilator-assisted adolescents who reached or were soon to reach adulthood in the years 2001–2006, compared with the period 1991–1996.53 Of that group, 50% require mechanical ventilation for disorders that cause weakness or paralysis. Older adolescents and young adults who began to receive mechanical ventilation in childhood, however, often continue to receive their care in pediatric centers, despite advancing to an age beyond the usual scope of pediatric care. As ventilator-dependent patients with neuromuscular disease age, pediatric care programs may not address issues related to adulthood, and pediatric practitioners may not have the training or resources to address such issues.

Some of the challenges for ventilator-assisted young adults with neuromuscular disease include increased reliance on others for activities of daily living, funding for home-care skilled nurses or attendants, coordination of care among multiple subspecialists, and access to transportation, employment, and independent housing. Though various transition models and discussion of obstacles to transition have been described for other specific diseases,54–56 no such examples have been published for ventilator-assisted individuals with neuromuscular disease.

At a time when achievement of independence and parental separation are paramount, adolescents and young adults with neuromuscular disease typically require more life-sustaining support and assistance with activities of daily living. The requirement for more encompassing personal assistance and the need for parental separation are not necessarily contradictory. Skilled nurses, personal care attendants, or friends can supplant parents in the role of providing basic care needs. The amount of support patients receive through skilled professionals or trained caregivers and assistive technologies relates directly to a better quality of life.57 How those skilled nurses or trained caregivers are found, and how such services are paid for, however, remain challenges.

Presently, home nursing for ventilator-assisted children is funded in part by Medicaid and special waiver programs that exist to support children who require home mechanical ventilation. Similar funding sources are not available for adults who develop chronic respiratory failure and require home ventilation. Furthermore, as patients age and are no longer covered under their parents’ insurance policies, they suddenly find that home nursing care is no longer a covered benefit. Ventilator-assisted children who grow into adulthood thus find themselves in a double bind: at the same time that concerns arise about aging parents who eventually will not be able to care for the child, the customary support of nonfamily skilled caregivers is threatened by absence of funding. This dilemma can be reversed only by changing the status quo. In addition to lobbying the federal and state governments for long-term funding for nursing or skilled attendant care,53 alternative ideas,
such as group homes for ventilator-assisted young adults, should be fostered and subsidized.

Successful transition of patients from pediatric to adult caregivers has been associated with an orientation toward attaining future goals. Several authors have stressed that older ventilator-dependent patients, including those with progressive neuromuscular weakness, have a positive outlook regarding their quality of life and look to the future with regard to life plans. In contrast, Miller et al noted that, in the United States, vocational agencies routinely have minimized the vocational potential of patients with Duchenne muscular dystrophy, because they are perceived to have a poor prognosis. Only continued efforts to educate elected officials and the lay public about the valuable contributions that ventilator-assisted adults can make to their communities will reverse this trend.

Summary

Children with neuromuscular disease face challenges not only from their underlying medical conditions, but also from limitations in equipment and systems needed to support them. A better understanding of the impact of the developing respiratory system on cough, as well as standards for cough measurement in healthy children and those with neuromuscular disease would allow for earlier detection of children in need of assistance with secretion removal. Devices that mobilize rather than extract secretions are expensive, and their role in the care of infants and children with neuromuscular disease needs to be critically assessed. Pediatric-specific causes for reduced adherence with NPPV must be characterized. A wider variety of commercially available interfaces, and ready access to custom mask fabrication may be important factors in improving adherence and preventing long-term morbidity. Triggering and cycling mechanisms for very weak children and small infants should be developed to improve patient-ventilator synchrony. Finally, government officials and medical directors of health-care systems must be educated to understand the extraordinary demands of caring for ventilator-assisted children and young adults with neuromuscular disease outside of health-care facilities; the limited options those young adults face regarding access to housing, jobs, education, transportation, and care attendants; and the need to develop rational funding mechanisms and care plans that are humane, helpful, and cost-effective.
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Discussion

Giordano:* Many pediatric patients on mechanical ventilation are maintained at home. With regard to utilizing noninvasive mechanical ventilation, what level of professional services do you think is indispensable to successful management of those patients?

Panitch: I’ll talk about both invasive and noninvasive ventilation in the same breath. The parents need time to sleep and to go to work, so when it comes to very young children who can’t call for help or replace the mask if it becomes displaced, we have to have somebody there, at least to watch for mask displacement or airway obstruction from secretions, so that there can be immediate intervention if necessary. So, at bare minimum, 8 hours, so the parents can work and pay for their health insurance. We typically ask for 16 hours, 18 hours if they have to travel. A lot has to do with how much the child can help out with care, and, luckily, insurance companies recognize that in people under the age of 21 there is a role for skilled care, whether it’s nurses or the durable medical-equipment company or a respiratory therapist who comes in to make assessments.

Magically, once you reach adulthood, you’re on your own, and it’s a huge issue for patients transitioning to adulthood, because they are used to one health-care system. We’re lucky in Pennsylvania; we have the “Michael Dallas waiver” that allows those patients to continue to receive skilled support after the age of 21. The Michael Dallas waiver is a program extension of the Pennsylvania Department of Public Welfare that provides supplemental funding above that provided by Medicaid. Funds can be used for nursing care, supplies, and case-management services, but office visits and hospitalizations are not covered by the waiver. But in most states, for these patients the financial transition to adulthood is a major problem.

Brown: Would you clarify some of the physiology you discussed? I understand that in infants, FRC [functional residual capacity] is determined dynamically. And the airways are more compliant. What is the pleural pressure at FRC in an infant?

Panitch: I’m not sure, but I think it’s about –5 cm H$_2$O, which we measure via esophageal catheter.

Brown: So, it’s the same as in an adult? The transmural pressure of the airway must be the same as in an adult?

Panitch:† True.

Brown: Since the airways are more compliant, they should be bigger in infants than in adults.

Panitch: During inspiration.

Brown: At FRC, pleural pressure is about –5 cm H$_2$O; airway pressure is zero.

Panitch: Sure.

Brown: But the airways are more compliant and should be pulled open more! But I always—

Panitch: But elastic recoil is less.

† Pleural pressure at FRC has actually been measured in healthy infants, and is –1.5 cm H$_2$O, not –5 cm H$_2$O, as was conjectured in the discussion [HBP].
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Brown: The pressure just outside the airways is the same as pleural pressure, so if the intraluminal pressure is zero and the peribronchial pressure is –5 cm H$_2$O, the airway should be pulled open, and they’re compliant airways, so they should be larger, not smaller. I’ve never been able to understand what I hear my pediatric colleagues say about this.

On another subject, all these studies of the Vest [high-frequency chest-wall oscillation device] and chest manual therapy—and so on—need to be controlled for the number of coughs per day. It’s cough that clears secretions. Reaching flow limitation and the related dynamic collapse of airways downstream from choke points—that’s what clears secretions. And all of the studies that I know of have not been properly controlled for the total number of coughs. So you never know whether there’s benefit because they’re coughing more or because they’re using the Vest.

King:‡ Just to expand on Sam Giordano’s comment, with my 20-plus years in home care, it’s unfortunate that I’ve never seen a mechanism by the insurance companies to reimburse the respiratory therapist for home-ventilator care. There is a nationwide shortage in nursing, particularly nurses experienced in home-ventilator care. There is also a shortage of nurses willing to work the night shift for home-ventilator cases. I think it would be helpful if Medicare and Medicaid and the insurance industry would pay for the respiratory therapist to provide some of the care for patients being mechanically ventilated at home. I’m not sure why that has never been a viable solution. I wonder how you feel about that as a possibility?

* Sam P Giordano MBA RRT FAARC, Executive Director, American Association for Respiratory Care.

‡ Angela King RPFT RRT-NPS, Pulmonetic Systems, Minneapolis, Minnesota.
Panitch: I think it’s one of a number of creative alternatives, and I think it’s a great idea.

Hill: Too bad the legislature hasn’t acted when this issue comes up, and it’s been coming up repeatedly for decades.

You commented about problems with adherence to therapy (which used to be called compliance), and you mentioned a couple of studies. In the one by Raphael et al,¹ they didn’t describe how they monitored compliance, but presumably they used patient self-reporting, which is notoriously unreliable (tends to overestimate). In the study by Ward et al they used timers to measure patient adherence.² Even with the timers the adherence rates were pretty low.

In my experience, even in adults, adherence is a major problem, and, as a general rule, if the patient isn’t motivated by a desire to alleviate symptoms, you’re going to have problems getting them to adhere. Many of them think, “This is uncomfortable, it’s no fun, and I’m not going to put up with the hassle unless I can see some benefit.” Of course, there are some patients who don’t realize they’re symptomatic until they realize how well they feel after succeeding with noninvasive ventilation. And in the pediatric age group the issues are the same. How do you deal with adherence, particularly with very young children, considering that you can’t reason with them? As a parent of very young children I know it’s very hard to get them to do things they don’t want to do.
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Panitch: That’s very true, and I guess we put the parent in the middle, and we try either to reason or to explain to them the rationale for what we’re doing, and ask them to advocate for us to have their child do something. It’s a difficult situation if a child is unwilling. Those little guys can really humble you.